



GLOBAL STRATEGY FOR ASTHMA MANAGEMENT AND PREVENTION

REVISED 2014

© 2014 Global Initiative for Asthma

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT ALTER OR REPRODUCE

Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2014 (revision)

GINA BOARD OF DIRECTORS*

J. Mark FitzGerald, MD, *Chair*
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, BC, Canada

Eric D. Bateman, MD
University of Cape Town Lung Institute
Cape Town, South Africa.

Louis-Philippe Boulet, MD
Université Laval
Québec, QC, Canada

Alvaro A. Cruz, MD
Federal University of Bahia
Salvador, BA, Brazil

Tari Haahtela, MD
Helsinki University Central Hospital
Helsinki, Finland

Mark L. Levy, MD
The University of Edinburgh
Edinburgh, UK

Paul O'Byrne, MD
McMaster University
Hamilton, ON, Canada

Pierluigi Paggiaro, MD
University of Pisa
Pisa, Italy

Soren Erik Pedersen, MD
Kolding Hospital
Kolding, Denmark

Manuel Soto-Quiroz, MD
Hospital Nacional de Niños
San José, Costa Rica

Helen K. Reddel, MBBS PhD
Woolcock Institute of Medical Research
Sydney, Australia

Gary W. Wong, MD
Chinese University of Hong Kong
Hong Kong, ROC

GINA SCIENCE COMMITTEE*

Helen K. Reddel, MBBS PhD, *Chair*
Woolcock Institute of Medical Research
Sydney, Australia

Neil Barnes, MD (to May 2013)
London Chest Hospital
London, UK

Peter J Barnes, MD (to Dec 2012)
National Heart and Lung Institute
London, UK

Eric D. Bateman, MD
University of Cape Town Lung Institute
Cape Town, South Africa.

Allan Becker, MD
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, MB, CANADA

Elisabeth Bel, MD (to May 2013)
University of Amsterdam
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Johan C. de Jongste, MD PhD
Erasmus University Medical Center
Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Jeffrey M. Drazen, MD
Harvard Medical School
Boston, MA, USA

J. Mark FitzGerald, MD
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, BC, Canada

Hiromasa Inoue, MD
Kagoshima University
Kagoshima, Japan

Robert F. Lemanske, Jr., MD
University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI, USA

Paul O'Byrne, MD
McMaster University
Hamilton, ON, Canada

Ken Ohta, MD PhD (to May 2012)
National Hospital Organization Tokyo
National Hospital
Tokyo, Japan

Soren Erik Pedersen, MD
Kolding Hospital
Kolding, Denmark

Emilio Pizzichini, MD
Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina
Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

Stanley J. Szefler, MD
Children's Hospital Colorado
Aurora, CO, USA

Sally E Wenzel, MD (to May 2012)
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Brian Rowe, MD MSc (Consultant to
Science Committee)
University of Alberta
Edmonton, AL, Canada

EXTERNAL REVIEWERS

Mary Ip, MBBS MD
University of Hong Kong Pokfulam
Hong Kong, ROC

Alan Kaplan, MD
Richmond Hill, ON, Canada

Huib Kerstjens, MD PhD
University of Groningen
Groningen, The Netherlands

Mike Thomas, MBBS PhD
University of Southampton
Southampton, UK

Thys van der Molen, MD
University of Groningen
Groningen, The Netherlands

Monica Federico, MD
Children's Hospital Colorado
Aurora, CO, USA

GINA ASSEMBLY MEMBER CONTRIBUTORS

Richard Beasley, MBChB DSc
Medical Research Institute of New
Zealand
Wellington, New Zealand

Carlos Baena Cagnani, MD
Catholic University of Córdoba
Cordoba, Argentina

Yu-Zi Chen, MD
Children's Hospital of the Capital
Beijing, China

Maia Gotua, MD PhD
Centre of Allergy & Immunology
Republic of Georgia

Carlos Adrian Jiménez
San Luis Potosí, México

Guy Joos, MD
Ghent University Hospital
Ghent, Belgium

Aziz Koleilat, MD
Makassed Hospital
Beirut, Lebanon

Le Thi Tuyet Lan, MD PhD
University of Pharmacy and Medicine
Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Jorg D. Leuppi, MD PhD
University Hospital
Basel, Switzerland

T.K. Lim, MD
National University Hospital
Singapore

Eva Mantzouranis, MD
University Hospital
Heraklion, Crete, Greece

Patrick Manning, MD
St. James' Hospital
Dublin, Ireland

Youssef Mohammad, MD
Tishreen University School of Medicine
Lattakia, Syria

Hugo E. Neffen, MD
Clinica Alergia E Immunologie
Santa Fe, Argentina

Ewa Nizankowska-Mogilnicka, MD
University School of Medicine
Krakow, Poland

Petr Pohunek, MD PhD
University Hospital Motol
Czech Republic

Gustavo Rodrigo, MD
Hospital Central de las Fuerzas
Armadas, Montevideo, Uruguay

Joaquin Sastre, MD PhD
Universidad Autonoma de Madrid
Madrid, Spain

Wan-Cheng Tan, MD
iCAPTURE Centre for Cardiovascular
and Pulmonary Research
Vancouver, BC, Canada

GINA PROGRAM

Suzanne Hurd, PhD
Scientific Director

OTHER CONTRIBUTORS

William Kelly, PharmD
University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, NM, USA

Christine Jenkins, MD
The George Institute
Sydney, Australia

Stephen Lazarus, MD
University of California San Francisco
San Francisco, CA, USA

Gregory Moullec PhD
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, BC, Canada

Marielle Pijnenburg, MD PhD
Erasmus MC-Sophia Children's Hospital
Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Mohsen Sadatsafavi, MD PhD
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, BC, Canada

D. Robin Taylor, MD DSc
Wishaw General Hospital
Lanarkshire, UK

Johanna van Gaalen, MD
Leiden University Medical Centre
Leiden, The Netherlands

OTHER ASSISTANCE

Kate Chisnall
Marianne Kirby
Beejal Viyas-Price

Preface

Asthma is a serious global health problem affecting all age groups. Its prevalence is increasing in many countries, especially among children. Although some countries have seen a decline in hospitalizations and deaths from asthma, asthma still imposes an unacceptable burden on health care systems, and on society through loss of productivity in the workplace and, especially for pediatric asthma, disruption to the family.

In 1993, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute collaborated with the World Health Organization to convene a workshop that led to a Workshop Report: Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention.¹ This was followed by the establishment of the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA), a network of individuals, organizations, and public health officials to disseminate information about the care of patients with asthma, and to provide a mechanism to translate scientific evidence into improved asthma care. The GINA Assembly was subsequently initiated, as an *ad hoc* group of dedicated asthma care experts from many countries. The Assembly works with the Science Committee, the Board of Directors and the Dissemination and Implementation Committee to promote international collaboration and dissemination of information about asthma. The GINA report ("Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention"), has been updated annually since 2002, and publications based on the GINA reports have been translated into many languages. In 2001, GINA initiated an annual World Asthma Day, raising awareness about the burden of asthma, and becoming a focus for local and national activities to educate families and health care professionals about effective methods to manage and control asthma.

In spite of these efforts, and the availability of effective therapies, international surveys provide ongoing evidence for suboptimal asthma control in many countries. It is clear that if recommendations contained within this report are to improve care of people with asthma, every effort must be made to encourage health care leaders to assure availability of, and access to, medications, and to develop means to implement and evaluate effective asthma management programs.

By 2012, there was increasing awareness of the heterogeneity of asthma, recognition of the spectrum of chronic airways disease, acknowledgement of major issues such as adherence and health literacy, and increasing interest in individualized asthma care. In addition, a strong evidence base had emerged about effective methods for implementation of clinical guidelines. These issues meant that provision of a framework for asthma care was not adequate in itself: recommendations needed to be integrated into strategies that would be both clinically relevant and feasible for implementation into busy clinical practice. To this end, the recommendations in the 2014 GINA report are presented in a user friendly way with extensive use of summary tables and flow-charts. The report also includes two new chapters, one about management of asthma in children aged 0–5 years (previously published separately), and the other about the important topic of diagnosis of the asthma-COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS); the latter chapter is co-published with the Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of COPD (GOLD). For clinical utility, recommendations for clinical practice are contained in the core GINA Report, while appendices containing background supporting material are available online at www.ginasthma.org.

It is a privilege for us to acknowledge the superlative work of all who have contributed to the success of the GINA program, and the many people who participated in the present project. This work has been supported by unrestricted educational grants from various companies (listed at the end of the report), together with income generated by GINA from the sale of materials based on the report. The members of the GINA Committees are, however, solely responsible for the statements and conclusions presented in this publication. They receive no honoraria or expenses to attend the twice-yearly scientific review meetings, nor for the many hours spent reviewing the literature and contributing substantively to the writing of the report.

We hope you find this updated report to be a useful resource in the management of asthma and that, in using it, you will recognize the need to individualize the care of each and every asthma patient you see.

J Mark FitzGerald, MD
Chair, GINA Board of Directors

Helen K Reddel, MBBS PhD
Chair, GINA Science Committee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Methodology	vi
SECTION 1. ADULTS, ADOLESCENTS AND CHILDREN 6 YEARS AND OLDER	1
Chapter 1. Definition, description, and diagnosis of asthma	1
Definition of asthma	2
Description of asthma	2
Making the initial diagnosis	3
Differential diagnosis	8
Making the diagnosis of asthma in special populations	9
Chapter 2. Assessment of asthma	13
Overview	14
Assessing asthma symptom control	15
Assessing future risk of adverse outcomes	19
Role of lung function in assessing asthma control	19
Assessing asthma severity	21
Chapter 3. Treating asthma to control symptoms and minimize risk	23
Part A. General principles of asthma management	24
Long-term goals of asthma management	24
The patient-health care provider partnership	25
Control-based asthma management	26
Part B. Medications and strategies for symptom control and risk reduction	28
Asthma medications	29
Reviewing response and adjusting treatment	35
Treating other modifiable risk factors	38
Non-pharmacological interventions	39
Indications for referral for expert advice	41
Part C. Guided asthma self-management education and skills training	42
Overview	42
Skills training for effective use of inhaler devices	42
Adherence with medications and other advice	43
Asthma information	44

Training in guided asthma self-management.....	45
Part D. Managing asthma with comorbidities and in special populations.....	47
Managing comorbidities.....	47
Managing asthma in special populations or settings	50
Chapter 4. Management of worsening asthma and exacerbations	57
Overview.....	59
Diagnosis of exacerbations	59
Self-management of exacerbations with a written asthma action plan	60
Management of asthma exacerbations in primary care	63
Management of asthma exacerbations in the emergency department	66
Chapter 5. Diagnosis of asthma, COPD and asthma-COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS)	73
Objective.....	74
Background to diagnosing asthma, COPD and ACOS	74
Definitions.....	75
Stepwise approach to diagnosis of patients with respiratory symptoms	75
SECTION 2. CHILDREN 5 YEARS AND YOUNGER.....	83
Chapter 6. Diagnosis and management of asthma in children 5 years and younger.....	83
Part A. Diagnosis.....	84
Asthma and wheezing in young children.....	84
Clinical diagnosis of asthma.....	85
Tests to assist in diagnosis.....	87
Differential diagnosis.....	88
Part B. Assessment and management.....	90
Goals of asthma management.....	90
Assessment of asthma.....	90
Medications for symptom control and risk reduction.....	92
Reviewing response and adjusting treatment.....	96
Choice of inhaler device	96
Asthma self-management education for carers of young children.....	97
Part C. Management of worsening asthma and exacerbations in children 5 years and younger.....	98
Diagnosis of exacerbations.....	98
Initial home management of asthma exacerbations.....	99

Primary care or hospital management of acute asthma exacerbations.....	99
Chapter 7. Primary prevention of asthma	105
Factors contributing to the development of asthma	106
Prevention of asthma in children	106
Advice about primary prevention of asthma.....	108
SECTION 3. TRANSLATION INTO CLINICAL PRACTICE	109
Chapter 8. Implementing asthma management strategies into health systems	109
Introduction	110
Adapting and implementing asthma clinical practice guidelines	110
Barriers and facilitators.....	112
Evaluation of the implementation process.....	112
How can GINA help with implementation?	112
REFERENCES	113

BOXED TABLES AND FIGURES

Box 1-1.	Diagnostic flowchart for clinical practice – initial presentation.....	4
Box 1-2.	Diagnostic criteria for asthma in adults, adolescents, and children 6–11 years.....	5
Box 1-3.	Differential diagnosis of asthma in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years.....	8
Box 1-4.	Confirming the diagnosis of asthma in a patient already taking controller treatment.....	10
Box 1-5.	How to step down controller treatment to help confirm the diagnosis of asthma.....	11
Box 2-1.	Assessment of asthma in adults, adolescents, and children 6–11 years.....	15
Box 2-2.	GINA assessment of asthma control in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years.....	17
Box 2-3.	Specific questions for assessment of asthma in children 6–11 years.....	18
Box 2-4.	Investigating a patient with poor symptom control and/or exacerbations despite treatment.....	22
Box 3-1.	Communication strategies for health care providers.....	25
Box 3-2.	The control-based asthma management cycle.....	26
Box 3-3.	Population level versus patient level decisions about asthma treatment.....	27
Box 3-4.	Recommended options for initial controller treatment in adults and adolescents.....	30
Box 3-5.	Stepwise approach to control symptoms and minimize future risk.....	31
Box 3-6.	Low, medium and high daily doses of inhaled corticosteroids.....	32
Box 3-7.	Options for stepping down treatment once asthma is well controlled.....	37
Box 3-8.	Treating modifiable risk factors to reduce exacerbations.....	38
Box 3-9.	Non-pharmacological interventions - Summary.....	39
Box 3-10.	Indications for considering referral for expert advice, where available.....	41
Box 3-11.	Strategies to ensure effective use of inhaler devices.....	42
Box 3-12.	Poor medication adherence in asthma.....	44
Box 3-13.	Asthma information.....	45
Box 3-14.	Investigation and management of severe asthma.....	55
Box 4-1.	Factors that increase the risk of asthma-related death.....	59
Box 4-2.	Self-management of worsening asthma in adults and adolescents with a written asthma action plan.....	61
Box 4-3.	Management of asthma exacerbations in primary care.....	64
Box 4-4.	Management of asthma exacerbations in acute care facility, e.g. emergency department.....	67
Box 4-5.	Discharge management after hospital or emergency department care for asthma.....	72
Box 5-1.	Current definitions of asthma and COPD, and clinical description of ACOS.....	75
Box 5-2a.	Usual features of asthma, COPD and ACOS.....	77
Box 5-2b.	Features that favor asthma or COPD.....	77
Box 5-3.	Spirometric measures in asthma, COPD and ACOS.....	78
Box 5-4.	Summary of syndromic approach to diseases of chronic airflow limitation.....	80
Box 5-5.	Specialized investigations sometimes used in distinguishing asthma and COPD.....	81
Box 6-1.	Probability of asthma diagnosis or response to asthma treatment in children 5 years and younger.....	85
Box 6-2.	Features suggesting a diagnosis of asthma in children 5 years and younger.....	86
Box 6-3.	Common differential diagnoses of asthma in children 5 years and younger.....	89
Box 6-4.	GINA assessment of asthma control in children 5 years and younger.....	91
Box 6-5.	Stepwise approach to long-term management of asthma in children 5 years and younger.....	95
Box 6-6.	Low daily doses of inhaled corticosteroids for children 5 years and younger.....	96
Box 6-7.	Choosing an inhaler device for children 5 years and younger.....	97
Box 6-8.	Initial assessment of acute asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger.....	100
Box 6-9.	Indications for immediate transfer to hospital for children 5 years and younger.....	100
Box 6-10.	Initial management of asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger.....	102
Box 7-1.	Advice about primary prevention of asthma in children 5 years and younger.....	108
Box 8-1.	Approach to implementation of the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention.....	111
Box 8-2.	Essential elements required to implement a health-related strategy.....	111
Box 8-3.	Examples of barriers to the implementation of evidence-based recommendations.....	112

Methodology

GINA SCIENCE COMMITTEE

The GINA Science Committee was established in 2002 to review published research on asthma management and prevention, to evaluate the impact of this research on recommendations in GINA documents, and to provide yearly updates to these documents. The members are recognized leaders in asthma research and clinical practice with the scientific expertise to contribute to the task of the Committee. They are invited to serve for a limited period and in a voluntary capacity. The Committee is broadly representative of adult and pediatric disciplines as well as from diverse geographic regions. The Science Committee meets twice yearly in conjunction with the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and European Respiratory Society (ERS) international conferences, to review asthma-related scientific literature. Statements of interest for Committee members are found on the GINA website www.ginasthma.org.

PROCESSES

For each meeting of the GINA Science Committee, a PubMed search is performed using search fields established by the Committee: 1) asthma, all fields, all ages, only items with abstracts, clinical trial, human; and 2) asthma and meta-analysis, all fields, all ages, only items with abstracts, human. Publications from July 1 to December 30 are reviewed during the following ATS meeting, and those from January 1 to June 30 during the following ERS meeting. The respiratory community is also invited to submit to the Chair of the GINA Science Committee any other peer-reviewed publications that they believe should be considered, providing an abstract and the full paper are submitted in (or translated into) English; however, because of the comprehensive process for literature review, such *ad hoc* submissions have rarely resulted in substantial changes to the report.

Each abstract identified by the above search is allocated to at least two Committee members, but all members receive a copy of all of the abstracts and have the opportunity to provide comments. Members evaluate the abstract and, by his/her judgment, the full publication, and answer four written questions about whether the scientific data impact on GINA recommendations, and if so, what specific changes should be made. A list of all publications reviewed by the Committee is posted on the GINA website.

During Committee meetings, each publication that was assessed by at least one member to potentially impact on the GINA report is discussed. Decisions to modify the report or its references are made by consensus by the full Committee, or, if necessary, by an open vote of the full Committee. The Committee makes recommendations for therapies that have been approved for asthma by at least one regulatory agency, but decisions are based on the best available peer-reviewed evidence and not on labeling directives from government regulators. In 2009, after carrying out two sample reviews using the GRADE system,² GINA decided not to adopt this methodology for its general processes because of the major resource challenges that it would present. This decision also reflected that, unique among evidence based recommendations in asthma, and most other therapeutic areas, GINA conducts an ongoing twice-yearly update of the evidence base for its recommendations. As with all previous GINA reports, levels of evidence are assigned to management recommendations where appropriate. A description of the current criteria is found in Table A. Updates of the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention are generally issued in December of each year, based on evaluation of publications from July 1 of the previous year through June 30 of the year the update was completed.

GINA 2014 REVISION

The 2014 major revision commenced with an extensive review of key GINA figures relating to definition and diagnosis, assessment and management of asthma, and management of acute asthma. A large number of asthma experts (primary care clinicians, specialists and other health professionals, and including members of all GINA Committees) were asked to provide feedback on these figures, with regard to 1) evidence, 2) clarity and 3) feasibility for implementation in clinical practice. Over 50 responses were received. The feedback underpinned the approach taken by the Science Committee in revising key areas of the report, and strongly confirmed the decision to restructure the report

in order to enhance its clinical utility. Following this initial scoping process, text and figures from the report were revised, and new figures and tables drafted, followed by review by the Science Committee face to face and by email.

As with the previous major revisions published in 2002 and 2006, the draft 2014 GINA report was externally peer-reviewed by a large number of asthma experts. Responses were received from over 40 reviewers including seven external reviewers, and a number of primary care physicians.

WHAT IS NEW IN GINA 2014?

Key new features of the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2014 report are:

- A 'new' definition of asthma, identifying its heterogeneous nature, and the core elements of variable symptoms and variable expiratory airflow limitation.
- An emphasis on confirming the diagnosis of asthma, to minimize both under- and over-treatment. Specific advice has been added about how to confirm the diagnosis in special populations including patients already on treatment.
- Practical tools for assessment of both symptom control and risk factors for adverse outcomes (a concept endorsed by GINA in 2009).
- A comprehensive approach to asthma management that acknowledges the foundational role of inhaled corticosteroid therapy, but also provides a framework for individualizing patient care based on patient characteristics, modifiable risk factors, patient preference, and practical issues.
- An emphasis on maximizing the benefit that can be obtained from available medications by addressing common problems such as incorrect inhaler technique and poor adherence before considering a step-up in treatment
- A continuum of care for worsening asthma, starting with early self-management with a written asthma action plan, and progressing if necessary through to primary care management and acute care, to follow-up
- Updated strategies for effective adaptation and implementation of GINA recommendations for different health systems, available therapies, socioeconomic status, health literacy and ethnicity.

The report also includes two new chapters:

- Management of asthma in children 5 years and younger, first published separately in 2009.³ Incorporation of this chapter, after revision, means that the report covers diagnosis and management of asthma across all age groups.
- A chapter on diagnosis of the asthma-COPD overlap syndrome; this is a joint project of the GINA and GOLD Science Committees, and is published by both groups.

There are also substantial changes to the structure and layout of the report, with many new tables and flow-charts to communicate key messages for clinical practice. To further improve the utility of the report, appendices containing detailed background information are made available on the GINA website (www.ginasthma.org) for download, rather than being included in the report itself.

FUTURE CHALLENGES

In spite of laudable efforts to improve asthma care over the past twenty years, many patients globally have not benefited from advances in asthma treatment and often lack even the rudiments of care. Many of the world's population live in areas with inadequate medical facilities and meager financial resources. The GINA Board of Directors recognizes that 'fixed' international guidelines and 'rigid' scientific protocols will not work in many locations. Thus, the recommendations found in this Report must be adapted to fit local practices and the availability of health care resources.

At the most fundamental level, patients in many areas may not have access even to low dose inhaled corticosteroids, which are the cornerstone of care for asthma patients of all severity. More broadly, medications remain the major contributor to the overall costs of asthma management, so the pricing of asthma medications continues to be an issue of urgent need and a growing area of research interest.

A challenge for the GINA Board of Directors for the next several years is to continue working with primary health care providers, public health officials and patient support organizations to design, implement, and evaluate asthma care

programs to meet local needs in various countries. The Board recognizes that this is a difficult task and, with the Dissemination and Implementation Committee and the GINA Assembly, has added two regional programs, GINA Mesoamerica and GINA Mediterranean. The Board continues to examine barriers to implementation of asthma management recommendations, especially in primary care settings and in developing countries, and to examine new and innovative approaches that will ensure the delivery of the best possible asthma care. GINA is a partner organization in a program launched in March 2006 by the World Health Organization, the Global Alliance against Chronic Respiratory Diseases (GARD). Through the work of the GINA Board of Directors, and in cooperation with GARD, substantial progress toward better care for all patients with asthma should be achieved in the next decade.

Table A. Description of levels of evidence used in this report

Evidence level	Sources of evidence	Definition
A	Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses. Rich body of data.	Evidence is from endpoints of well designed RCTs or meta-analyses that provide a consistent pattern of findings in the population for which the recommendation is made. Category A requires substantial numbers of studies involving substantial numbers of participants.
B	Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses. Limited body of data.	Evidence is from endpoints of intervention studies that include only a limited number of patients, post hoc or subgroup analysis of RCTs or meta-analysis of such RCTs. In general, Category B pertains when few randomized trials exist, they are small in size, they were under-taken in a population that differs from the target population of the recommendation, or the results are somewhat inconsistent.
C	Nonrandomized trials. Observational studies.	Evidence is from outcomes of uncontrolled or non-randomized trials or from observational studies.
D	Panel consensus judgment.	This category is used only in cases where the provision of some guidance was deemed valuable but the clinical literature addressing the subject was insufficient to justify placement in one of the other categories. The Panel Consensus is based on clinical experience or knowledge that does not meet the above listed criteria.

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT ALTER OR REPRODUCE

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT ALTER OR REPRODUCE

Chapter 1.

**Definition,
description, and diagnosis
of asthma**

KEY POINTS

- Asthma is a heterogeneous disease, usually characterized by chronic airway inflammation. It is defined by the history of respiratory symptoms such as wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough that vary over time and in intensity, together with variable expiratory airflow limitation.
- Recognizable clusters of demographic, clinical and/or pathophysiological characteristics are often called 'asthma phenotypes'; however, these do not correlate strongly with specific pathological processes or treatment responses.
- The diagnosis of asthma should be based on the history of characteristic symptom patterns and evidence of variable airflow limitation. This should be documented from bronchodilator reversibility testing or other tests.
- Asthma is usually associated with airway hyperresponsiveness and airway inflammation, but these are not necessary or sufficient to make the diagnosis.
- If possible, the evidence for the diagnosis of asthma should be documented before starting controller treatment, as it is often more difficult to confirm the diagnosis afterwards.
- Additional strategies may be needed to confirm the diagnosis of asthma in particular populations, including patients already on controller treatment, the elderly, and those in low-resource settings.

DEFINITION OF ASTHMA

Asthma is a heterogeneous disease, usually characterized by chronic airway inflammation. It is defined by the history of respiratory symptoms such as wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough that vary over time and in intensity, together with variable expiratory airflow limitation.

This definition was reached by consensus, based on consideration of the characteristics that are typical of asthma and that distinguish it from other respiratory conditions.

DESCRIPTION OF ASTHMA

Asthma is a common, chronic respiratory disease affecting 1–18% of the population in different countries (Appendix Chapter 1). Asthma is characterized by variable symptoms of wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and/or cough, and by variable expiratory airflow limitation. Both symptoms and airflow limitation characteristically vary over time and in intensity. These variations are often triggered by factors such as exercise, allergen or irritant exposure, change in weather, or viral respiratory infections.

Symptoms and airflow limitation may resolve spontaneously or in response to medication, and may sometimes be absent for weeks or months at a time. On the other hand, patients can experience episodic flare-ups (exacerbations) of asthma that may be life-threatening and carry a significant burden to patients and the community (Appendix Chapter 1). Asthma is usually associated with airway hyperresponsiveness to direct or indirect stimuli, and with chronic airway inflammation. These features usually persist, even when symptoms are absent or lung function is normal, but may normalize with treatment.

Asthma phenotypes

Asthma is a heterogeneous disease, with different underlying disease processes. Recognizable clusters of demographic, clinical and/or pathophysiological characteristics are often called 'asthma phenotypes'.⁴⁻⁶ In patients with more severe asthma, some phenotype-guided treatments are available. However, to date, no strong relationship has been found between specific pathological features and particular clinical patterns or treatment responses.⁷ More research is needed to understand the clinical utility of phenotypic classification in asthma.

Many phenotypes have been identified.⁴⁻⁶ Some of the most common include:

- *Allergic asthma*: this is the most easily recognized asthma phenotype, which often commences in childhood and is associated with a past and/or family history of allergic disease such as eczema, allergic rhinitis, or food or drug allergy. Examination of the induced sputum of these patients before treatment often reveals eosinophilic airway inflammation. Patients with this asthma phenotype usually respond well to inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) treatment.
- *Non-allergic asthma*: some adults have asthma that is not associated with allergy. The cellular profile of the sputum of these patients may be neutrophilic, eosinophilic or contain only a few inflammatory cells (paucigranulocytic). Patients with non-allergic asthma often respond less well to ICS.
- *Late-onset asthma*: some adults, particularly women, present with asthma for the first time in adult life. These patients tend to be non-allergic, and often require higher doses of ICS or are relatively refractory to corticosteroid treatment.
- *Asthma with fixed airflow limitation*: some patients with long-standing asthma develop fixed airflow limitation that is thought to be due to airway wall remodeling.
- *Asthma with obesity*: some obese patients with asthma have prominent respiratory symptoms and little eosinophilic airway inflammation.

Additional information can be found in Appendix Chapter 2 about factors predisposing to the development of asthma, and in Appendix Chapter 3 about pathophysiological and cellular mechanisms of asthma.

MAKING THE INITIAL DIAGNOSIS

Making the diagnosis of asthma,⁸ as shown in Box 1-1 (p4) is based on identifying both a characteristic pattern of respiratory symptoms such as wheezing, shortness of breath (dyspnea), chest tightness or cough, and variable expiratory airflow limitation. The pattern of symptoms is important, as respiratory symptoms may be due to acute or chronic conditions other than asthma. If possible, the evidence supporting a diagnosis of asthma (Box 1-2, p5) should be documented when the patient first presents, as the features that are characteristic of asthma may improve spontaneously or with treatment; as a result, it is often more difficult to confirm a diagnosis of asthma once the patient has been started on controller treatment.

Patterns of respiratory symptoms that are characteristic of asthma


The following features are typical of asthma and, if present, *increase* the probability that the patient has asthma:⁸

- More than one symptom (wheeze, shortness of breath, cough, chest tightness), especially in adults
- Symptoms often worse at night or in the early morning
- Symptoms vary over time and in intensity
- Symptoms are triggered by viral infections (colds), exercise, allergen exposure, changes in weather, laughter, or irritants such as car exhaust fumes, smoke or strong smells.

The following features *decrease* the probability that respiratory symptoms are due to asthma:

- Isolated cough with no other respiratory symptoms (see p9)
- Chronic production of sputum
- Shortness of breath associated with dizziness, light-headedness or peripheral tingling (paresthesia)
- Chest pain
- Exercise-induced dyspnea with noisy inspiration.

Box 1-1. Diagnostic flowchart for clinical practice – initial presentation



ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; PEF: peak expiratory flow (highest of three readings). When measuring PEF, use the same meter each time as the value may vary by up to 20% between different meters. SABA: short-acting beta₂-agonist.

Bronchodilator reversibility may be lost during severe exacerbations or viral infections. If bronchodilator reversibility is not present at initial presentation, the next step depends on the availability of tests and the urgency of the need for treatment. See Box 1-4 for diagnosis of asthma in patients already taking controller treatment.

Box 1-2. Diagnostic criteria for asthma in adults, adolescents, and children 6–11 years

Asthma is a heterogeneous disease, usually characterized by chronic airway inflammation. It is defined by the history of respiratory symptoms such as wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough that vary over time and in intensity, together with variable expiratory airflow limitation.	
DIAGNOSTIC FEATURE	CRITERIA FOR MAKING THE DIAGNOSIS OF ASTHMA
1. History of variable respiratory symptoms	
Wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough Descriptors may vary between cultures and by age, e.g. children may be described as having heavy breathing	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Generally more than one type of respiratory symptom (in adults, isolated cough is seldom due to asthma)• Symptoms occur variably over time and vary in intensity• Symptoms are often worse at night or on waking• Symptoms are often triggered by exercise, laughter, allergens, cold air• Symptoms often appear or worsen with viral infections
2. Confirmed variable expiratory airflow limitation	
Documented excessive variability in lung function* (one or more of the tests below) AND documented airflow limitation*	The greater the variations, or the more occasions excess variation is seen, the more confident the diagnosis At least once during diagnostic process when FEV ₁ is low, confirm that FEV ₁ /FVC is reduced (normally >0.75–0.80 in adults, >0.90 in children)
Positive bronchodilator (BD) reversibility test* (more likely to be positive if BD medication is withheld before test: SABA ≥4 hours, LABA ≥15 hours)	<i>Adults:</i> increase in FEV ₁ of >12% and >200 mL from baseline, 10–15 minutes after 200–400 mcg albuterol or equivalent (greater confidence if increase is >15% and >400 mL). <i>Children:</i> increase in FEV ₁ of >12% predicted
Excessive variability in twice-daily PEF over 2 weeks*	<i>Adults:</i> average daily diurnal PEF variability >10%** <i>Children:</i> average daily diurnal PEF variability >13%**
Significant increase in lung function after 4 weeks of anti-inflammatory treatment	<i>Adults:</i> increase in FEV ₁ by >12% and >200 mL (or PEF [†] by >20%) from baseline after 4 weeks of treatment, outside respiratory infections
Positive exercise challenge test*	<i>Adults:</i> fall in FEV ₁ of >10% and >200 mL from baseline <i>Children:</i> fall in FEV ₁ of >12% predicted, or PEF >15%
Positive bronchial challenge test (usually only performed in adults)	Fall in FEV ₁ from baseline of ≥20% with standard doses of methacholine or histamine, or ≥15% with standardized hyperventilation, hypertonic saline or mannitol challenge
Excessive variation in lung function between visits* (less reliable)	<i>Adults:</i> variation in FEV ₁ of >12% and >200 mL between visits, outside of respiratory infections <i>Children:</i> variation in FEV ₁ of >12% in FEV ₁ or >15% in PEF [†] between visits (may include respiratory infections)

BD: bronchodilator (short-acting SABA or rapid-acting LABA); FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; LABA: long-acting beta₂-agonist; PEF: peak expiratory flow (highest of three readings); SABA: short-acting beta₂-agonist. See Box 1-4 for diagnosis in patients already taking controller treatment.

*These tests can be repeated during symptoms or in the early morning. **Daily diurnal PEF variability is calculated from twice daily PEF as [(day's highest minus day's lowest) / mean of day's highest and lowest], and averaged over one week. [†]For PEF, use the same meter each time, as PEF may vary by up to 20% between different meters. BD reversibility may be lost during severe exacerbations or viral infections.⁹ If bronchodilator reversibility is not present at initial presentation, the next step depends on the availability of other tests and the urgency of the need for treatment. In a situation of clinical urgency, asthma treatment may be commenced and diagnostic testing arranged within the next few weeks (Box 1-4, p10), but other conditions that can mimic asthma (Box 1-3) should be considered, and the diagnosis of asthma confirmed as soon as possible.

History and family history

Commencement of respiratory symptoms in childhood, a history of allergic rhinitis or eczema, or a family history of asthma or allergy, increases the probability that the respiratory symptoms are due to asthma. However, these features are not specific for asthma and are not seen in all asthma phenotypes. Patients with allergic rhinitis or atopic dermatitis should be asked specifically about respiratory symptoms.

Physical examination

Physical examination in people with asthma is often normal. The most frequent abnormality is expiratory wheezing (rhonchi) on auscultation, but this may be absent or only heard on forced expiration. Wheezing may also be absent during severe asthma exacerbations, due to severely reduced airflow (so called 'silent chest'), but at such times, other physical signs of respiratory failure are usually present. Wheezing may also be heard with upper airway dysfunction, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), respiratory infections, tracheomalacia, or inhaled foreign body. Crackles (crepitations) and inspiratory wheezing are not features of asthma. Examination of the nose may reveal signs of allergic rhinitis or nasal polyposis.

Lung function testing to document variable expiratory airflow limitation

Asthma is characterized by variable expiratory airflow limitation, i.e. expiratory lung function varies over time and in magnitude to a greater extent than in healthy populations. In asthma, lung function may vary between completely normal and severely obstructed in the same patient. Poorly controlled asthma is associated with greater variability in lung function than well-controlled asthma.⁹

Lung function testing should be carried out by well-trained operators with well-maintained and regularly calibrated equipment.^{8,10} Forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) from spirometry is more reliable than peak expiratory flow (PEF). If PEF is used, the same meter should be used each time, as measurements may differ from meter to meter by up to 20%.¹⁰

A reduced FEV₁ may be found with many other lung diseases (or poor spirometric technique), but a reduced ratio of FEV₁ to FVC indicates airflow limitation. From population studies,¹¹ the FEV₁/FVC ratio is normally greater than 0.75 to 0.80, and usually greater than 0.90 in children. Any values less than these suggest airflow limitation. Many spirometers now include age-specific predicted values.

In clinical practice, once an obstructive defect has been confirmed, variation in airflow limitation is generally assessed from variation in FEV₁ or PEF. '*Variability*' refers to improvement and/or deterioration in symptoms and lung function. Excessive variability may be identified over the course of one day (diurnal variability), from day to day, from visit to visit, or seasonally, or from a reversibility test. '*Reversibility*' generally refers to rapid improvements in FEV₁ (or PEF), measured within minutes after inhalation of a rapid-acting bronchodilator such as 200–400 mcg salbutamol,¹² or more sustained improvement over days or weeks after the introduction of effective controller treatment such as ICS.¹²

In a patient with typical respiratory symptoms, obtaining evidence of excessive variability in expiratory lung function is an essential component of the diagnosis of asthma. Some specific examples are:

- An increase in lung function after administration of a bronchodilator, or after a trial of controller treatment.
- A decrease in lung function after exercise or during a bronchial provocation test.
- Variation in lung function beyond the normal range when it is repeated over time, either on separate visits, or on home monitoring over at least 1–2 weeks.

Specific criteria for demonstrating excessive variability in expiratory lung function are listed in Box 1-2 (p5). A decrease in lung function during a respiratory infection, while commonly seen in asthma, does not necessarily indicate that a person has asthma, as it may also be seen in otherwise healthy individuals or people with COPD.

Additional information about tests for diagnosis of asthma can be found in Appendix Chapter 4.

How much variation in expiratory airflow is consistent with asthma?

There is overlap in bronchodilator reversibility and other measures of variation between health and disease.¹³ In a patient with respiratory symptoms, the greater the variations in their lung function, or the more times excess variation is seen, the more likely the diagnosis is to be asthma (Box 1-2, p5). Generally, in adults with respiratory symptoms typical of asthma, an increase or decrease in FEV₁ of >12% and >200 mL from baseline, or (if spirometry is not available) a change in PEF of at least 20%, is accepted as being consistent with asthma.

Diurnal PEF variability is calculated from twice daily readings as the daily amplitude percent mean, i.e. ([Day's highest – day's lowest]/mean of day's highest and lowest) x 100, then the average of each day's value is calculated over 1–2 weeks. The upper 95% confidence limit of diurnal variability (amplitude percent mean) from twice daily readings is 9% in healthy adults,¹⁴ and 12.3% in healthy children,¹⁵ so in general, diurnal variability >10% for adults and >13% for children is regarded as excessive.

If FEV₁ is within the predicted normal range when the patient is experiencing symptoms, this reduces the probability that the symptoms are due to asthma. However, patients whose baseline FEV₁ is >80% predicted can have a clinically important increase in lung function with bronchodilator or controller treatment. Predicted normal ranges (especially for PEF) have limitations, so the patient's own best reading ('personal best') is recommended as their 'normal' value.

When can variable airflow limitation be documented?

If possible, evidence of variable airflow limitation should be documented before treatment is started. This is because variability usually decreases with treatment as lung function improves; and in some patients airflow limitation may become fixed or irreversible over time. In addition, any increase in lung function with treatment can help to confirm the diagnosis of asthma. Bronchodilator reversibility may not be present during viral infections or if the patient has used a beta₂-agonist within the previous few hours.

If spirometry is not available, or variable airflow limitation is not documented, a decision about whether to investigate further or start controller treatment immediately depends on clinical urgency and access to other tests. Box 1-4 (p10) describes how to confirm the diagnosis of asthma in a patient already taking controller treatment.

Other tests

Bronchial provocation tests

Airflow limitation may be absent at the time of initial assessment in some patients. As documenting variable airflow limitation is a key part of establishing an asthma diagnosis, one option is to refer the patient for bronchial provocation testing to assess airway hyperresponsiveness. This is most often established with inhaled methacholine, but histamine, exercise,¹⁶ eucapnic voluntary hyperventilation or inhaled mannitol may also be used. These tests are moderately sensitive for a diagnosis of asthma but have limited specificity;^{17,18} for example, airway hyperresponsiveness to inhaled methacholine has been described in patients with allergic rhinitis,¹⁹ cystic fibrosis,²⁰ bronchopulmonary dysplasia²¹ and COPD.²² This means that a negative test in a patient not taking ICS can help to exclude asthma, but a positive test does not always mean that a patient has asthma – the pattern of symptoms (Box 1-2, p5) and other clinical features (Box 1-3, p8) must also be taken into account.

Allergy tests

The presence of atopy increases the probability that a patient with respiratory symptoms has allergic asthma, but this is not specific for asthma nor is it present in all asthma phenotypes. Atopic status can be identified by skin prick testing or by measuring the level of specific immunoglobulin E (sIgE) in serum. Skin prick testing with common environmental allergens is simple and rapid to perform and, when performed by an experienced tester with standardized extracts, is inexpensive and has a high sensitivity. Measurement of sIgE is no more reliable than skin tests and is more expensive, but may be preferred for uncooperative patients, those with widespread skin disease, or if the history suggests a risk of anaphylaxis.²³ The presence of a positive skin test or positive sIgE, however, does not mean that the allergen is causing symptoms – the relevance of allergen exposure and its relation to symptoms must be confirmed by the patient's history.

Exhaled nitric oxide

The fractional concentration of exhaled nitric oxide (FENO) can be measured in some centers. FENO is increased in eosinophilic asthma but also in non-asthma conditions (e.g. eosinophilic bronchitis, atopy and allergic rhinitis), and has not been established as being useful for making a diagnosis of asthma. FENO is decreased in smokers and during bronchoconstriction, and may be increased or decreased during viral respiratory infections²⁴ In patients (mainly non-smokers) with non-specific respiratory symptoms, a finding of FENO >50 parts per billion (ppb) was associated with a good short-term response to ICS.²⁵ However, there are no long-term studies examining the safety of withholding ICS in patients with low initial FENO. Consequently, FENO cannot be recommended at present for deciding whether to treat patients with possible asthma with ICS.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

The differential diagnosis in a patient with suspected asthma varies with age (Box 1-3). Any of these alternative diagnoses may also be found *together with* asthma.

Box 1-3. Differential diagnosis of asthma in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years

Age	Condition	Symptoms
6–11 years	Chronic upper airway cough syndrome Inhaled foreign body Bronchiectasis Primary ciliary dyskinesia Congenital heart disease Bronchopulmonary dysplasia Cystic fibrosis	Sneezing, itching, blocked nose, throat-clearing Sudden onset of symptoms, unilateral wheeze Recurrent infections, productive cough Recurrent infections, productive cough, sinusitis Cardiac murmurs Pre-term delivery, symptoms since birth Excessive cough and mucus production, gastrointestinal symptoms
12–39 years	Chronic upper airway cough syndrome Vocal cord dysfunction Hyperventilation, dysfunctional breathing Bronchiectasis Cystic fibrosis Congenital heart disease Alpha ₁ -antitrypsin deficiency Inhaled foreign body	Sneezing, itching, blocked nose, throat-clearing Dyspnea, inspiratory wheezing (stridor) Dizziness, paresthesia, sighing Productive cough, recurrent infections Excessive cough and mucus production Cardiac murmurs Shortness of breath, family history of early emphysema Sudden onset of symptoms
40+ years	Vocal cord dysfunction Hyperventilation, dysfunctional breathing COPD* Bronchiectasis Cardiac failure Medication-related cough Parenchymal lung disease Pulmonary embolism Central airway obstruction	Dyspnea, inspiratory wheezing (stridor) Dizziness, paresthesia, sighing Cough, sputum, dyspnea on exertion, smoking or noxious exposure Productive cough, recurrent infections Dyspnea with exertion, nocturnal symptoms Treatment with angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor Dyspnea with exertion, non-productive cough, finger clubbing Sudden onset of dyspnea, chest pain Dyspnea, unresponsive to bronchodilators

*For more detail, see Chapter 5 (p73). Any of the above conditions may also contribute to respiratory symptoms in patients with confirmed asthma.

MAKING THE DIAGNOSIS OF ASTHMA IN SPECIAL POPULATIONS

Patients presenting with cough as the only respiratory symptom

Diagnoses to be considered are cough variant asthma, cough induced by angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, gastroesophageal reflux, chronic upper airway cough syndrome (often called 'postnasal drip'), chronic sinusitis, and vocal cord dysfunction.²⁶ Patients with cough-variant asthma have chronic cough as their principal, if not only, symptom, associated with airway hyperresponsiveness. It is more common in children and often more problematic at night; lung function may be normal. For these patients, documentation of variability in lung function (Box 1-2, p5) is important.²⁷ Cough-variant asthma must be distinguished from eosinophilic bronchitis in which patients have cough and sputum eosinophils but normal spirometry and airway responsiveness.²⁷

Occupational asthma and work-aggravated asthma

Asthma acquired in the workplace is frequently missed. Asthma may be induced or (more commonly) aggravated by exposure to allergens or other sensitizing agents at work, or sometimes from a single, massive exposure. Occupational rhinitis may precede asthma by up to a year and early diagnosis is essential, as persistent exposure is associated with worse outcomes.²⁸

An estimated 5–20% of new cases of adult-onset asthma can be attributed to occupational exposure.²⁸ Adult-onset asthma requires a systematic inquiry about work history and exposures, including hobbies.²⁹ Asking patients whether their symptoms improve when they are away from work (weekends or vacation) is an essential screening question.³⁰ It is important to confirm the diagnosis of occupational asthma objectively as it may lead to the patient changing their occupation, which may have legal and socioeconomic implications. Specialist referral is usually necessary, and frequent PEF monitoring at and away from work is often used to help confirm the diagnosis. Further information about occupational asthma is found in Chapter 3 (p52) and in specific guidelines.²⁸

Athletes

The diagnosis of asthma in athletes should be confirmed by lung function tests, usually with bronchial provocation testing.¹⁶ Conditions that may either mimic or be associated with asthma, such as rhinitis, laryngeal disorders (e.g. vocal cord dysfunction), dysfunctional breathing, cardiac conditions and over-training, must be excluded.³¹

Pregnant women

Pregnant women and women planning a pregnancy should be asked whether they have asthma so that appropriate advice about asthma management and medications can be given (see Chapter 3: *Managing asthma in special populations or settings*, p51).³² If objective confirmation of the diagnosis is needed, it would not be advisable to carry out a bronchial provocation test or to step down controller treatment until after delivery.

The elderly

Asthma is frequently undiagnosed in the elderly,³³ due to poor perception of airflow limitation; acceptance of dyspnea as being 'normal' in old age; lack of fitness; and reduced activity. The presence of comorbid diseases also complicates the diagnosis. Symptoms of wheezing, breathlessness and cough that are worse on exercise or at night can also be caused by cardiovascular disease or left ventricular failure, which are common in this age group. A careful history and physical examination, combined with an electrocardiogram and chest X-ray, will assist in the diagnosis.³⁴ Measurement of plasma brain natriuretic polypeptide (BNP) and assessment of cardiac function with echocardiography may also be helpful.³⁵ In older people with a history of smoking or biomass fuel exposure, COPD and asthma–COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS) should be considered (Chapter 5, p73).

Smokers and ex-smokers

Asthma and COPD may be difficult to distinguish in clinical practice, particularly in older patients and smokers and ex-smokers, and these conditions may overlap (asthma-COPD overlap syndrome, or ACOS). The *Global Strategy for Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of COPD (GOLD)*,³⁶ defines COPD on the basis of chronic respiratory symptoms, exposure to a risk factor such as smoking, and post-bronchodilator $FEV_1/FVC < 0.7$. Clinically important bronchodilator reversibility ($>12\%$ and >200 mL) is often found in COPD.³⁷ Low diffusion capacity is more common in COPD than asthma. The history and pattern of symptoms and past records can help to distinguish these patients from those with long-standing asthma who have developed fixed airflow limitation (see Chapter 5, p73). Uncertainty in the diagnosis should prompt early referral for specialized investigation and treatment recommendations, as patients with ACOS have worse outcomes than those with asthma or COPD alone.³⁸

Confirming the diagnosis of asthma in patients already taking controller treatment

If the basis of a patient's diagnosis of asthma has not previously been documented, confirmation with objective testing should be sought. Many patients (25–35%) with a diagnosis of asthma in primary care cannot be confirmed as having asthma.^{39–42}

The process for confirming the diagnosis in patients already on controller treatment depends on the patient's symptoms and lung function (Box 1-4). In some patients, this may include a trial of either a lower or a higher dose of controller treatment. If the diagnosis of asthma cannot be confirmed, refer the patient for expert investigation and diagnosis.

Box 1-4. Confirming the diagnosis of asthma in a patient already taking controller treatment

Current status	Steps to confirm the diagnosis of asthma
Variable respiratory symptoms and variable airflow limitation	Diagnosis of asthma is confirmed. Assess the level of asthma control (Box 2-2, p17) and review controller treatment (Box 3-5, p31).
Variable respiratory symptoms but no variable airflow limitation	Repeat BD reversibility test again after withholding BD (SABA: 4 hours; LABA: 12+ hours) or during symptoms. If normal, consider alternative diagnoses (Box 1-3, p8). <i>If FEV_1 is $>70\%$ predicted:</i> consider a bronchial provocation test. If negative, consider stepping down controller treatment (see Box 1-5) and reassess in 2–4 weeks <i>If FEV_1 is $<70\%$ predicted:</i> consider stepping up controller treatment for 3 months (Box 3-5), then reassess symptoms and lung function. If no response, resume previous treatment and refer patient for diagnosis and investigation
Few respiratory symptoms, normal lung function, and no variable airflow limitation	Repeat BD reversibility test again after withholding BD (SABA: 4 hours; LABA: 12+ hours) or during symptoms. If normal, consider alternative diagnoses (Box 1-3). Consider stepping down controller treatment (see Box 1-5): <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>If symptoms emerge and lung function falls:</i> asthma is confirmed. Step up controller treatment to lowest previous effective dose.• <i>If no change in symptoms or lung function at lowest controller step:</i> consider ceasing controller, and monitor patient closely for at least 12 months (Box 3-7).
Persistent shortness of breath and fixed airflow limitation	Consider stepping up controller treatment for 3 months (Box 3-5, p31), then reassess symptoms and lung function. If no response, resume previous treatment and refer patient for diagnosis and investigation. Consider asthma–COPD overlap syndrome (Chapter 5, p73).

BD: bronchodilator; LABA: long-acting beta₂-agonist; SABA: short-acting beta₂-agonist

Box 1-5. How to step down controller treatment to help confirm the diagnosis of asthma

1. ASSESS
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Document the patient's current status including asthma control (Box 2-2, p17) and lung function. If the patient has risk factors for asthma exacerbations (Box 2-2B), do not step down treatment without close supervision.• Choose a suitable time (e.g. no respiratory infection, not going away on vacation, not pregnant).• Provide a written asthma action plan (Box 4-2, p61) so the patient knows how to recognize and respond if symptoms worsen. Ensure they have enough medication to resume their previous dose if their asthma worsens.
2. ADJUST
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Show the patient how to reduce their ICS dose by 25–50%, or stop extra controller (e.g. LABA, leukotriene receptor antagonist) if being used (Box 3-7, p37)• Schedule a review visit for 2–4 weeks.
3. REVIEW RESPONSE
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Repeat assessment of asthma control and lung function tests in 2–4 weeks (Box 1-2, p5).• If symptoms increase and variable airflow limitation is confirmed after stepping down treatment, the diagnosis of asthma is confirmed. The controller dose should be returned to the lowest previous effective dose.• If, after stepping down to a low dose controller treatment, symptoms do not worsen and there is still no evidence of variable airflow limitation, consider ceasing controller treatment and repeating asthma control assessment and lung function tests in 2–3 weeks, but follow the patient for at least 12 months

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LABA: long-acting beta₂-agonist.

Obese patients

While asthma is more common in obese than non-obese people,⁴³ respiratory symptoms associated with obesity can mimic asthma. In obese patients with dyspnea on exertion, it is important to confirm the diagnosis of asthma with objective measurement of variable airflow limitation. One study found that non-obese patients were just as likely to be over-diagnosed with asthma as obese patients (around 30% in each group).³⁹ Another study found both over- and under-diagnosis of asthma in obese patients.⁴⁴

Low resource settings

In low resource settings, diagnosis of respiratory symptoms commences with a symptom-based or syndromic approach. Questions about duration of symptoms and about fever, chills, sweats, weight loss, pain on breathing and hemoptysis help to distinguish chronic respiratory infections such as tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS and parasitic or fungal lung diseases from asthma and COPD.^{45,46} Variable airflow limitation can be confirmed using a PEF meter. In low resource settings, documentation of symptoms and PEF before and after a therapeutic trial with as-needed SABA and regular ICS, often together with a 1 week course of oral corticosteroids, can help to confirm the diagnosis of asthma before long-term treatment is commenced.⁴⁷

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT ALTER OR REPRODUCE

Chapter 2.

Assessment of asthma

KEY POINTS

- Assess the two domains of asthma control: symptom control (previously called 'current clinical control') and future risk of adverse outcomes, as well as treatment issues such as inhaler technique and adherence, side-effects and comorbidities.
- Assess symptom control from the frequency of daytime and night-time asthma symptoms and reliever use, and from activity limitation. Poor symptom control is burdensome to patients and is a risk factor for future exacerbations.
- Assess the patient's future risk for exacerbations, fixed airflow limitation and medication side-effects, even when symptom control is good. Identified risk factors for exacerbations that are independent of symptom control include a history of ≥ 1 exacerbations in the previous year, poor adherence, incorrect inhaler technique, low lung function, smoking, and blood eosinophilia.
- Once the diagnosis of asthma has been made, lung function is most useful as an indicator of future risk. It should be recorded at diagnosis, 3–6 months after starting treatment, and periodically thereafter. Discordance between symptoms and lung function should prompt further investigation.
- Poor control of symptoms and poor control of exacerbations may have different contributory factors and may need different treatment approaches.
- Asthma severity is assessed retrospectively from the level of treatment required to control symptoms and exacerbations. It is important to distinguish between severe asthma and asthma that is uncontrolled, e.g. due to incorrect inhaler technique and/or poor adherence.

OVERVIEW

For every patient, assessment of asthma should include the assessment of asthma control (both symptom control and future risk of adverse outcomes), treatment issues particularly inhaler technique and adherence, and any comorbidities that could contribute to symptom burden and poor quality of life (Box 2-1, p15). Lung function, particularly forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) as a percentage of predicted, is an important part of the assessment of future risk.

What is meant by 'asthma control'?

The level of asthma control is the extent to which the manifestations of asthma can be observed in the patient, or have been reduced or removed by treatment.^{14,48} It is determined by the interaction between the patient's genetic background, underlying disease processes, the treatment that they are taking, environment, and psychosocial factors.⁴⁸

Asthma control has two domains: symptom control (previously called 'current clinical control') and future risk of adverse outcomes (Box 2-2, p17). Both should always be assessed. Lung function is an important part of the assessment of future risk; it should be measured at the start of treatment, after 3–6 months of treatment (to identify the patient's personal best), and periodically thereafter for ongoing risk assessment.

How to describe a patient's asthma control

Asthma control should be described in terms of both symptom control and future risk domains, for example:

Ms X has good asthma symptom control, but she is at increased risk of future exacerbations because she has had a severe exacerbation within the last year.

Mr Y has poor asthma symptom control. He also has several additional risk factors for future exacerbations including low lung function, current smoking, and poor medication adherence.

What does the term 'asthma control' mean to patients?

Many studies describe discordance between the patient's and health provider's assessment of the patient's level of asthma control. This does not necessarily mean that patients 'over-estimate' their level of control or 'under-estimate' its severity, but that patients understand and use the word 'control' differently from health professionals, e.g. based on how quickly their symptoms resolve when they take reliever medication.^{48,49} If the term 'asthma control' is used with patients, the meaning should always be explained.

Box 2-1. Assessment of asthma in adults, adolescents, and children 6–11 years

1. Assess asthma control = symptom control and future risk of adverse outcomes

- Assess symptom control over the last 4 weeks (Box 2-2A)
- Identify any other risk factors for exacerbations, fixed airflow limitation or side-effects (Box 2-2B)
- Measure lung function at diagnosis/start of treatment, 3–6 months after starting controller treatment, then periodically

2. Assess treatment issues

- Document the patient's current treatment step (Box 3-5, p31)
- Watch inhaler technique, assess adherence and side-effects
- Check that the patient has a written asthma action plan
- Ask about the patient's attitudes and goals for their asthma and medications

3. Assess comorbidities

- Rhinitis, rhinosinusitis, gastroesophageal reflux, obesity, obstructive sleep apnea, depression and anxiety can contribute to symptoms and poor quality of life, and sometimes to poor asthma control

ASSESSING ASTHMA SYMPTOM CONTROL

Asthma symptoms such as wheeze, chest tightness, shortness of breath and cough typically vary in frequency and intensity, and contribute to the burden of asthma for the patient. Poor symptom control is also strongly associated with an increased risk of asthma exacerbations.⁵⁰⁻⁵²

Directed questioning is important, as the frequency or severity of symptoms that patients regard as unacceptable or bothersome may vary from current recommendations about the goals of asthma treatment, and differs from patient to patient. For example, despite having low lung function, a person with a sedentary lifestyle may not experience bothersome symptoms and so may appear to have good symptom control.

To assess symptom control (Box 2-2A) ask about the following in the past four weeks: frequency of asthma symptoms (days per week), any night waking due to asthma or limitation of activity, and frequency of reliever use for relief of symptoms. In general, do not include reliever taken before exercise, since this is often routine.

Asthma symptom control tools for adults and adolescents

Simple screening tools: these can be used in primary care to quickly identify patients who need more detailed assessment. Examples include the consensus-based 'Royal College of Physicians (RCP) Three Questions' tool,⁵³ which asks about difficulty sleeping, daytime symptoms and activity limitation due to asthma in the previous month. The 30-second Asthma Test also includes time off work/school due to asthma.⁵⁴

Categorical symptom control tools: examples include the consensus-based GINA symptom control tool (Box 2-2A). This classification of symptom control can be used, together with a risk assessment (Box 2-2B), to guide treatment decisions (Box 3-5, p31). This classification correlates with assessments made using numerical asthma control scores.^{55,56}

Numerical 'asthma control' tools: these tools provide scores and cut points to distinguish different levels of symptom control, validated against health care provider assessment. Many translations are available. These scores may be useful for assessing patient progress; they are commonly used in clinical research, but may be subject to copyright restrictions. Numerical asthma control tools are more sensitive to change in symptom control than categorical tools.⁵⁵ Examples are:

- *Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ).*^{57,58} Scores range from 0–6 (higher is worse). A score of 0.0–0.75 is classified as well-controlled asthma; 0.75–1.5 as a 'grey zone'; and >1.5 as poorly controlled asthma. The ACQ score is calculated as the average of 5, 6 or 7 items: all versions of the ACQ include five symptom questions; ACQ-6 includes reliever use; and in ACQ-7, a score for pre-bronchodilator FEV₁ is averaged with symptom and reliever items. The minimum clinically important difference is 0.5.⁵⁹

- *Asthma Control Test (ACT)*.^{56,60,61} Scores range from 5–25 (higher is better). Scores of 20–25 are classified as well-controlled asthma; 16–20 as not well-controlled; and 5–15 as very poorly controlled asthma. The ACT includes four symptom/reliever questions plus a patient self-assessed level of control. The minimum clinically important difference is 3 points.⁶¹

When different systems are used for assessing asthma symptom control, the results correlate broadly with each other, but are not identical. Respiratory symptoms may be non-specific so, when assessing changes in symptom control, it is important to clarify that symptoms are due to asthma.

Asthma symptom control tools for children 6–11 years of age

In children, as in adults, assessment of asthma symptom control is based on symptoms, limitation of activities and use of rescue medication. Careful review of the impact of asthma on a child's daily activities, including sports, play and social life is important. Many children with poorly controlled asthma avoid strenuous exercise so their asthma may appear to be well controlled. This may lead to poor fitness and a higher risk of obesity.

Children vary considerably in the degree of airflow limitation observed before they complain of dyspnea or use their reliever therapy, and marked reduction in lung function is often seen before it is recognized by the parents. Parents may report irritability, tiredness, and changes in mood in their child as the main problems when the child's asthma is not controlled. Parents have a longer recall period than children, who may recall only the last few days; therefore, it is important to include both the parent's and child's information when the level of symptom control is being assessed.

Several numeric asthma control scores have been developed for children. These include:

- *Childhood Asthma Control Test (c-ACT)*⁶² with separate sections for parent and child to complete
- *Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ)*⁶³

Some asthma control scores for children include exacerbations with symptoms. These include:

- Test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids (TRACK)⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶
- Composite Asthma Severity Index (CASI)⁶⁷

The results of these various tests correlate to some extent with each other and with the GINA classification of symptom control. Box 2-3 provides more details about assessing asthma control in children.

Box 2-2. GINA assessment of asthma control in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years

A. Asthma symptom control		Level of asthma symptom control		
In the past 4 weeks, has the patient had:		Well controlled	Partly controlled	Uncontrolled
• Daytime asthma symptoms more than twice/week?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	None of these	1–2 of these	3–4 of these
• Any night waking due to asthma?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
• Reliever needed for symptoms* more than twice/week?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
• Any activity limitation due to asthma?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
B. Risk factors for poor asthma outcomes				
<p>Assess risk factors at diagnosis and periodically, particularly for patients experiencing exacerbations.</p> <p>Measure FEV₁ at start of treatment, after 3–6 months of controller treatment to record the patient's personal best lung function, then periodically for ongoing risk assessment.</p>				
<p>Potentially modifiable independent risk factors for flare-ups (exacerbations)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uncontrolled asthma symptoms⁶⁸ • Excessive SABA use (>1 x 200-dose canister/month)⁶⁹ • Inadequate ICS: not prescribed ICS; poor adherence;⁷⁰ incorrect inhaler technique⁷¹ • Low FEV₁, especially if <60% predicted^{72,73} • Major psychological or socioeconomic problems⁷⁴ • Exposures: smoking;⁷³ allergen exposure if sensitized⁷³ • Comorbidities: obesity;⁷⁵ rhinosinusitis;⁷⁶ confirmed food allergy⁷⁷ • Sputum or blood eosinophilia^{78,79} • Pregnancy⁸⁰ <p>Other major independent risk factors for flare-ups (exacerbations)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ever intubated or in intensive care unit for asthma⁸¹ • ≥1 severe exacerbation in last 12 months⁸² 				<p>Having one or more of these risk factors increases the risk of exacerbations even if symptoms are well controlled.</p>
<p>Risk factors for developing fixed airflow limitation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of ICS treatment⁸³ • Exposures: tobacco smoke;⁸⁴ noxious chemicals; occupational exposures²⁸ • Low initial FEV₁;⁸⁵ chronic mucus hypersecretion;^{84,85} sputum or blood eosinophilia⁸⁵ 				
<p>Risk factors for medication side-effects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Systemic</i>: frequent OCS; long-term, high dose and/or potent ICS; also taking P450 inhibitors⁸⁶ • <i>Local</i>: high-dose or potent ICS;^{86,87} poor inhaler technique⁸⁸ 				

FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; ICS: inhaled corticosteroid; OCS: oral corticosteroid; P450 inhibitors: cytochrome P450 inhibitors such as ritonavir, ketoconazole, itraconazole; SABA: short-acting beta₂-agonist.

*Excludes reliever taken before exercise. For children 6–11 years, also refer to Box 2-3. See Box 3-8, p38 for specific risk reduction strategies.

This consensus-based GINA control classification corresponds to that in GINA 2010–2012, except that lung function now appears only in the 'future risk' assessment. 'Current clinical control' has been renamed 'symptom control', to emphasize that these measures are not sufficient for assessment of disease control – future risk assessment for adverse outcomes is also needed. 'Independent' risk factors are those that are significant after adjustment for the level of symptom control. Poor symptom control and exacerbation risk should not be simply combined numerically, as they may have different causes and may need different treatment strategies.

Box 2-3. Specific questions for assessment of asthma in children 6–11 years

Asthma symptom control	
Day symptoms	How often does the child have cough, wheeze, dyspnea or heavy breathing (number of times per week or day)? What triggers the symptoms? How are they handled?
Night symptoms	Cough, awakenings, tiredness during the day? (If the only symptom is cough, consider rhinitis or gastroesophageal reflux disease).
Reliever use	How often is reliever medication used? (check date on inhaler or last prescription) Distinguish between pre-exercise use (sports) and use for relief of symptoms.
Level of activity	What sports/hobbies/interests does the child have, at school and in their spare time? How does the child's level of activity compare with their peers or siblings? Try to get an accurate picture of the child's day from the child without interruption from the parent/carer.
Future risk factors	
Exacerbations	How do viral infections affect the child's asthma? Do symptoms interfere with school or sports? How long do the symptoms last? How many episodes have occurred since their last medical review? Any urgent doctor/emergency department visits? Is there a written action plan?
Lung function	Check curves and technique. Main focus is on FEV ₁ and FEV ₁ /FVC ratio. Plot these values as percent predicted to see trends over time.
Side-effects	Check the child's height at least yearly. Ask about frequency and dose of ICS and OCS.
Treatment factors	
Inhaler technique	Ask the child to show how they use their inhaler. Compare with a device-specific checklist.
Adherence	On how many days does the child use their controller in a week (e.g. 0, 2, 4, 7 days)? Is it easier to remember to use it in the morning or evening? Where is inhaler kept – is it in plain view to reduce forgetting? Check date on inhaler.
Goals/concerns	Does the child or their parent/carer have any concerns about their asthma (e.g. fear of medication, side-effects, interference with activity)? What are the child's/parent's/carer's goals for asthma treatment?
Comorbidities	
Allergic rhinitis	Itching, sneezing, nasal obstruction? Can the child breathe through their nose? What medications are being taken for nasal symptoms?
Eczema	Sleep disturbance, topical corticosteroids?
Food allergy	Is the child allergic to any foods? (confirmed food allergy is a risk factor for asthma-related death ⁷⁷)
Other investigations (if needed)	
2-week diary	If no clear assessment can be made based on the above questions, ask the child or parent/carer to keep a daily diary of asthma symptoms, reliever use and peak expiratory flow (best of three) for 2 weeks (Appendix Chapter 4).
Exercise challenge in respiratory laboratory	Provides information about airway hyperresponsiveness and fitness (Box 1-2, p5). Only undertake a challenge if it is otherwise difficult to assess asthma control.

FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC: forced vital capacity; ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; OCS: oral corticosteroids.

ASSESSING FUTURE RISK OF ADVERSE OUTCOMES

The second component of assessing asthma control is to identify whether the patient is at risk of adverse asthma outcomes, particularly exacerbations, fixed airflow limitation, and side-effects of medications (Box 2-2B). Asthma symptoms, although an important outcome for patients, and themselves a strong predictor of future risk of exacerbations, are not sufficient on their own for assessing asthma because:

- Asthma symptoms can be controlled by placebo or sham treatments^{89,90} or by inappropriate use of long-acting beta₂-agonist (LABA) alone,⁹¹ which leaves airway inflammation untreated.
- Respiratory symptoms may be due to other conditions such as lack of fitness, or comorbidities such as upper airway dysfunction.
- Anxiety or depression may contribute to symptom reporting.
- Some patients have few symptoms despite low lung function.

Asthma symptom control and exacerbation risk should not be simply combined numerically, as poor control of symptoms and of exacerbations may have different causes and may need different treatment approaches.

Exacerbations

Poor asthma symptom control itself substantially increases the risk of exacerbations.⁵⁰⁻⁵² However, several additional independent risk factors have been identified, i.e. factors, that, when present, increase the patient's risk of exacerbations even if symptoms are few. These risk factors (Box 2-2B) include a history of ≥ 1 exacerbations in the previous year, poor adherence, incorrect inhaler technique and smoking.

'Fixed' airflow limitation

The average rate of decline in FEV₁ in non-smoking healthy adults is 15–20 mL/year.⁹² People with asthma may have an accelerated decline in lung function and develop airflow limitation that is not fully reversible. This is often associated with more persistent dyspnea. Independent risk factors that have been identified for fixed airflow limitation include exposure to cigarette smoke or noxious agents, chronic mucus hypersecretion, and asthma exacerbations in patients not taking ICS⁸³ (see Box 2-2B).

Medication side-effects

Choices with any medication are based on the balance of benefit and risk. Most people using asthma medications do not experience any side-effects. The risk of side-effects increases with higher doses of medications, but these are needed in few patients. Systemic side-effects that may be seen with long-term, high-dose ICS include easy bruising; an increase beyond the usual age-related risk of osteoporosis, cataracts and glaucoma; and adrenal suppression. Local side effects of ICS include oral thrush and dysphonia. Patients are at greater risk of ICS side-effects with higher doses or more potent formulations,^{86,87} and, for local side-effects, with incorrect inhaler technique.⁸⁸

ROLE OF LUNG FUNCTION IN ASSESSING ASTHMA CONTROL

The relationship of lung function with other asthma control measures

Lung function does not correlate strongly with asthma symptoms in adults⁹³ or children.⁹⁴ In some asthma control tools, lung function is numerically averaged or added with symptoms,^{57,95} but if the tool includes several symptom items, these can outweigh clinically important differences in lung function.⁹⁶ In addition, low FEV₁ is a strong independent predictor of risk of exacerbations, even after adjustment for symptom frequency.

Lung function should be assessed at diagnosis or start of treatment; after 3–6 months of controller treatment to assess the patient's personal best FEV₁; and periodically thereafter. Once the diagnosis of asthma has been confirmed, it is not generally necessary to ask patients to withhold their regular or as-needed medications before visits,¹⁴ but preferably the same conditions should apply at each visit.

Interpreting interval lung function in asthma

A low FEV₁ percent predicted:

- Identifies patients at risk of asthma exacerbations, independent of symptom levels, especially if FEV₁ is <60% predicted.^{72,73,97,98}
- Is a risk factor for lung function decline, independent of symptom levels.⁸⁵
- If symptoms are few, suggests limitation of lifestyle, or poor perception of airflow limitation,⁹⁹ which may be due to untreated airway inflammation.¹⁰⁰

A 'normal' or high FEV₁ in a patient with frequent respiratory symptoms (especially when symptomatic):

- Prompts consideration of alternative causes for the symptoms; e.g. cardiac disease, or cough due to post-nasal drip or gastroesophageal reflux disease (Box 1-3, p8).

Persistent bronchodilator reversibility:

- Finding significant bronchodilator reversibility (increase in FEV₁ >12% and >200 mL from baseline¹²) in a patient taking controller treatment, or who has taken a short-acting beta₂-agonist within 4 hours, or a LABA within 12 hours, suggests uncontrolled asthma.

In children, spirometry cannot be reliably obtained until age 5 years or more, and it is less useful than in adults. Many children with uncontrolled asthma have normal lung function between flare-ups (exacerbations).

Interpreting changes in lung function in clinical practice

With regular ICS treatment, FEV₁ starts to improve within days, and reaches a plateau after around 2 months.¹⁰¹ The patient's highest FEV₁ reading (personal best) should be documented, as this provides a more useful comparison for clinical practice than FEV₁ percent predicted. If predicted values are used in children, measure their height at each visit.

Some patients may have a faster than average decrease in lung function, and develop 'fixed' (incompletely reversible) airflow limitation. While a trial of higher-dose ICS/LABA and/or systemic corticosteroids may be appropriate to see if FEV₁ can be improved, high doses should not be continued if there is no response.

The between-visit variability of FEV₁ (≤12% week to week or 15% year to year in healthy individuals¹²) limits its use in adjusting asthma treatment in clinical practice. The minimal important difference for improvement and worsening in FEV₁ based on patient perception of change has been reported to be about 10%.^{102,103}

PEF monitoring

Once the diagnosis of asthma is made, short-term PEF monitoring may be used to assess response to treatment, to evaluate triggers (including at work) for worsening symptoms, or to establish a baseline for action plans. After starting ICS, personal best PEF (from twice daily readings) is reached on average within 2 weeks.¹⁰⁴ Average PEF continues to increase, and diurnal PEF variability to decrease, for about 3 months.^{93,104} Excessive variation in PEF suggests sub-optimal asthma control, and increases the risk of exacerbations.¹⁰⁵

Long-term peak expiratory flow (PEF) monitoring is now generally only recommended for patients with severe asthma, or those with impaired perception of airflow limitation^{100,106-108} (Appendix Chapter 4). For clinical practice, displaying PEF results on a standardized chart may improve accuracy of interpretation.¹⁰⁹

ASSESSING ASTHMA SEVERITY

How to assess asthma severity in clinical practice

Asthma severity is assessed retrospectively from the level of treatment required to control symptoms and exacerbations.^{14,48,110} It can be assessed once the patient has been on controller treatment for several months and, if appropriate, treatment step down has been attempted to find the patient's minimum effective level of treatment. Asthma severity is not a static feature and may change over months or years.

Asthma severity can be assessed when the patient has been on regular controller treatment for several months:^{14,110}

- *Mild asthma* is asthma that is well controlled with Step 1 or Step 2 treatment (Box 3-5, p31), i.e. with as-needed reliever medication alone, or with low-intensity controller treatment such as low dose ICS, leukotriene receptor antagonists or chromones.
- *Moderate asthma* is asthma that is well controlled with Step 3 treatment e.g. low dose ICS/LABA.
- *Severe asthma* is asthma that requires Step 4 or 5 treatment (Box 3-5, p31), e.g. high-dose ICS/LABA, to prevent it from becoming 'uncontrolled', or asthma that remains 'uncontrolled' despite this treatment. While many patients with uncontrolled asthma may be difficult to treat due to inadequate or inappropriate treatment, or persistent problems with adherence or comorbidities such as chronic rhinosinusitis or obesity, the European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society Task Force on Severe Asthma considered that the definition of severe asthma should be reserved for patients with refractory asthma and those in whom response to treatment of comorbidities is incomplete.¹¹⁰

Describing asthma severity in other contexts

For descriptions of participants in epidemiological studies and clinical trials, asthma severity has often been based on prescribed treatment step (Box 3-5, p31). For example, patients prescribed Step 2 treatments are often described as having mild asthma; those prescribed Step 3–4 as having moderate asthma; and those prescribed Step 4–5 as having moderate-to-severe asthma. This approach is based on the assumption that patients are receiving appropriate treatment, and that those prescribed more intense treatment are likely to have more severe underlying disease. However, this is only a surrogate measure, and it causes confusion since most studies also require participants to have uncontrolled symptoms at entry. For epidemiological studies or clinical trials, it is preferable to categorize patients by the treatment step that they are prescribed, without inferring severity.

For low resource countries that do not currently have access to medications such as ICS, the World Health Organization definition of severe asthma¹¹¹ includes a category of 'untreated severe asthma'. This category corresponds to other classifications of uncontrolled asthma in patients not taking controller treatment.

Other language about asthma severity

'Severe' is often also used to describe the intensity of asthma symptoms, the magnitude of airflow limitation, or the nature of an exacerbation. In older asthma literature, many different severity classifications have been used; many of these were similar to current concepts of asthma control.⁴⁸

Patients may perceive their asthma as severe if they have intense or frequent symptoms, but this does not necessarily indicate underlying severe disease, as symptoms may rapidly become well controlled with ICS. It is important that health professionals communicate clearly to patients what they mean by the word 'severe'.


How to distinguish between uncontrolled and severe asthma

Although most asthma patients can achieve good symptom control and minimal exacerbations with regular controller treatment, some patients will not achieve one or both of these goals even with maximal therapy.⁹⁵ In some patients this is due to truly refractory severe asthma, but in many others, it is due to comorbidities, persistent environmental exposures, or psychosocial factors.

It is important to distinguish between severe asthma and uncontrolled asthma, as the latter is a much more common reason for persistent symptoms and exacerbations, and may be more easily improved. Box 2-4 shows the initial steps that can be carried out to identify common causes of uncontrolled asthma. The most common problems that need to be excluded before a diagnosis of severe asthma can be made are:

- Poor inhaler technique (up to 80% of community patients)⁷¹ (Box 3-11, p42)
- Poor medication adherence¹¹² (Box 3-12, p44)
- Incorrect diagnosis of asthma, with symptoms due to alternative conditions such as upper airway dysfunction, cardiac failure or lack of fitness (Box 1-3, p8)
- Comorbidities and complicating conditions such as rhinosinusitis, gastroesophageal reflux, obesity and obstructive sleep apnea (Chapter 3, Part D, p47)
- Ongoing exposure to sensitizing or irritant agents in the home or work environment.

Box 2-4. Investigating a patient with poor symptom control and/or exacerbations despite treatment



GERD: gastro-esophageal reflux disease; ICS: inhaled corticosteroid; LABA: long-acting beta2-agonist; NSAID: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. For clinical efficiency, this flow-chart starts with the most common reasons for uncontrolled asthma (i.e. incorrect inhaler technique and poor adherence), as these can be identified in clinical practice – and often remedied – without any special resources. If symptoms and/or lung function improve when inhaler technique or adherence are addressed, this can provide confirmation of the diagnosis of asthma. However, the various steps may be carried out in a different order depending on the clinical context, and available resources.

Chapter 3.

**Treating asthma to
control symptoms
and minimize risk**

This chapter is divided into four parts:

- Part A. General principles of asthma management
- Part B. Medications and strategies for asthma symptom control and risk reduction
 - Medications
 - Treating modifiable risk factors
 - Non-pharmacological therapies and strategies
- Part C. Guided asthma self-management education and skills training
 - Information, inhaler skills, adherence, written asthma action plan, self-monitoring, regular review
- Part D. Managing asthma with comorbidities and in special populations

Management of worsening and acute asthma is described in Chapter 4 (p57).

PART A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF ASTHMA MANAGEMENT

KEY POINTS

- The long-term goals of asthma management are to achieve good symptom control, and to minimize future risk of exacerbations, fixed airflow limitation and side-effects of treatment. The patient's own goals regarding their asthma and its treatment should also be identified.
- Effective asthma management requires a partnership between the person with asthma (or the parent/carer) and their health care providers.
- Teaching communication skills to health care providers may lead to increased patient satisfaction, better health outcomes, and reduced use of health care resources.
- The patient's 'health literacy' – that is, the patient's ability to obtain, process and understand basic health information to make appropriate health decisions – should be taken into account.
- Control-based management means that treatment is adjusted in a continuous cycle of assessment, treatment, and review of the patient's response in both symptom control and future risk (of exacerbations and side-effects)
- For population-level decisions about asthma treatment, the 'preferred option' at each step represents the best treatment for most patients, based on group mean data for efficacy, effectiveness and safety from randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses and observational studies, and net cost.
- For individual patients, treatment decisions should also take into account any patient characteristics or phenotype that predict the patient's likely response to treatment, together with the patient's preferences and practical issues (inhaler technique, adherence, and cost to the patient).

LONG-TERM GOALS OF ASTHMA MANAGEMENT

The long-term goals of asthma management are:

- To achieve good control of symptoms and maintain normal activity levels
- To minimize future risk of exacerbations, fixed airflow limitation and side-effects.

It is also important to elicit the patient's own goals regarding their asthma, as these may differ from conventional medical goals. Shared goals for asthma management can be achieved in various ways, taking into account differing health care systems, medication availability, and cultural and personal preferences.

THE PATIENT-HEALTH CARE PROVIDER PARTNERSHIP

Effective asthma management requires the development of a partnership between the person with asthma (or the parent/carer) and health care providers. This should enable the person with asthma to gain the knowledge, confidence and skills to assume a major role in the management of their asthma. Self-management education reduces asthma morbidity in both adults¹¹³ (Evidence A) and children¹¹⁴ (Evidence A).

There is emerging evidence that a shared-care approach is associated with improved outcomes.¹¹⁵ Patients should be encouraged to participate in decisions about their treatment, and given the opportunity to express their expectations and concerns. This partnership needs to be individualized to each patient. A person's willingness and ability to engage in self-management may vary depending on factors such as ethnicity, literacy, understanding of health concepts (health literacy), numeracy, beliefs about asthma and medications, desire for autonomy, and the health care system.

Good communication

Good communication by health care providers is essential as the basis for good outcomes¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁸ (Evidence B). Teaching health care providers to improve their communication skills (Box 3-1) can result in increased patient satisfaction, better health outcomes, and reduced use of health care resources¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁸ without lengthening consultation times.¹¹⁹ It can also enhance patient adherence.¹¹⁹ Training patients to give information clearly, seek information, and check their understanding of information provided is also associated with improved adherence with treatment recommendations.¹¹⁹

Health literacy and asthma

There is increasing recognition of the impact of low health literacy on health outcomes, including in asthma.^{120,121} Health literacy means much more than the ability to read: it is defined as 'the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process and understand basic health information and services to make appropriate health decisions'.¹²⁰ Low health literacy is associated with reduced knowledge. In one study, low numeracy among parents of children with asthma was associated with higher risk of exacerbations.¹²¹ Appropriate interventions, taking cultural and ethnicity perspectives into account, have been associated with improved knowledge and significant improvements in inhaler technique.¹²² Suggested communication strategies for reducing the impact of low health literacy are shown in Box 3-1.

Box 3-1. Communication strategies for health care providers

Key strategies to facilitate good communication^{117,118}

- A congenial demeanor (friendliness, humor and attentiveness)
- Allowing the patient to express their goals, beliefs and concerns
- Empathy, reassurance, and prompt handling of any concerns
- Giving encouragement and praise
- Giving appropriate (personalized) information
- Providing feedback and review


Specific strategies for reducing the impact of impaired health literacy¹²⁰

- Order information from most to least important
- Speak slowly and use simple words (avoid medical language, if possible)
- Simplify numeric concepts (e.g. use numbers instead of percentages)
- Frame instructions effectively (use illustrative anecdotes, drawings, pictures, table or graphs)
- Confirm understanding by using the 'teach-back' method (ask patients to repeat instructions)
- Ask a second person (e.g. nurse, family member) to repeat the main messages
- Pay attention to non-verbal communication by the patient (e.g. poor eye contact)
- Make patients feel comfortable about asking questions

CONTROL-BASED ASTHMA MANAGEMENT

In control-based asthma management, pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment is adjusted in a continuous cycle that involves assessment, treatment and review (Box 3-2). Asthma outcomes have been shown to improve after the introduction of control-based guidelines^{123,124} or practical tools for implementation of control-based management strategies.^{115,125} The concept of control-based management is also supported by the design of most randomized controlled medication trials, with patients identified for a change in asthma treatment on the basis of features of poor symptom control with or without other risk factors such as low lung function or a history of exacerbations.

Box 3-2. The control-based asthma management cycle



For many patients in primary care, symptom control is a good guide to a reduced risk of exacerbations.¹²⁶ When inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) were introduced into asthma management, large improvements were observed in symptom control and lung function, and exacerbations and asthma-related mortality decreased. However, with other asthma therapies (including ICS/long-acting beta₂-agonists (LABA)^{127,128}) or different treatment regimens (such as ICS/formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy¹²⁹), and in patients with severe asthma, there may be discordance between responses for symptom control and exacerbations. In addition, some patients continue to have exacerbations despite well-controlled symptoms, and for patients with ongoing symptoms, side-effects may be an issue if ICS doses continue to be stepped up. Therefore, in control-based management, *both* domains of asthma control (symptom control and future risk – see Box 2-2, p17) should be taken into account when choosing asthma treatment and reviewing the response.^{14,48}

Alternative strategies for adjusting asthma treatment

Some alternative strategies have been evaluated, mainly in severe or difficult-to-treat asthma.

- **Sputum-guided treatment:** this approach, when compared with guidelines-based treatment, is associated with a reduced risk of exacerbations and similar levels of symptom control and lung function.¹³⁰ However, only a limited number of centers have routine access to induced sputum analysis, and the benefits have primarily been seen in patients requiring secondary care.¹²⁶
- **Fractional concentration of exhaled nitric oxide (FENO):** treatment guided by FENO has not generally been found to be effective.¹³⁰ In several of these studies, there have been problems with the design of the intervention and/or control algorithms, that make comparisons and conclusions difficult.¹³¹

At present, neither sputum- nor FENO-guided treatment is recommended for the general asthma population. Sputum-guided treatment is recommended for patients with moderate or severe asthma who are managed in centers experienced in this technique (Box 3-14, p55)¹¹⁰ (Evidence A).

Choosing between asthma treatment options

At each treatment step in asthma management, different medication options are available that, although not of identical efficacy, may be alternatives for controlling asthma. Different considerations apply to recommendations or choices made for broad populations compared with those for individual patients (Box 3-3), as follows:

- *Population-level medication choices*, e.g. for national formularies or managed care organizations. These aim to represent the best option for most patients in the population. For each treatment step, a 'preferred' controller medication is recommended that provides the best benefit to risk ratio (including cost) for both symptom control and risk reduction. Choice of the preferred controller is based on group mean data from efficacy studies (highly controlled studies in well-characterized populations) and effectiveness studies (from pragmatically controlled studies, or studies in broader populations, or strong observational data),¹³² as well as on safety data and cost.
- *Patient-level medication choices*: choices at this level also take into account any patient characteristics or phenotype that may predict a clinically important difference in their response compared with other patients, together with the patient's preferences and practical issues (cost, ability to use the medication and adherence).

The extent to which asthma treatment can be individualized according to patient characteristics or phenotypes depends on the health system, the clinical context, the potential magnitude of difference in outcomes, cost and available resources. At present, most research activity about individualized treatment is focused on severe asthma.^{133,134}

Box 3-3. Population level versus patient level decisions about asthma treatment

Choosing between treatment options at a population level

(e.g. national formularies, health maintenance organizations, national guidelines)

The 'preferred' medication at each step is the best treatment for most patients, based on:

- Efficacy
 - Effectiveness
 - Safety
 - Availability and cost at the population level
- Based on group mean data for symptoms, exacerbations and lung function (from randomized controlled trials, pragmatic studies and observational data)

Choosing between controller options for individual patients

Use shared decision-making with the patient/parent/carer to discuss the following:

1. *Preferred treatment* (as above) for symptom control and risk reduction
2. *Patient characteristics or phenotype*
 - Does the patient have any features that predict differences in their future risk or treatment response compared with other patients (e.g. smoker; history of exacerbations, blood eosinophilia)?
 - Are there any modifiable risk factors or comorbidities that may affect outcomes?
3. *Patient preference*
 - What are the patient's goals, beliefs and concerns about asthma and medications?
4. *Practical issues*
 - Inhaler technique – can the patient use the inhaler correctly after training?
 - Adherence – how often is the patient likely to take the medication?
 - Cost to patient – can the patient afford the medication?

Figure provided by Helen Reddel

PART B. MEDICATIONS AND STRATEGIES FOR SYMPTOM CONTROL AND RISK REDUCTION

KEY POINTS

- At present, Step 1 treatment is with as-needed short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA) alone. However, chronic airway inflammation is found even in patients with infrequent or recent-onset asthma symptoms, and there is a striking lack of studies of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) in such populations.
- Treatment with regular daily low dose ICS is highly effective in reducing asthma symptoms and reducing the risk of asthma-related exacerbations, hospitalization and death
- For patients with persistent symptoms and/or exacerbations despite low dose ICS, consider step up but first check for common problems such as inhaler technique, adherence, persistent allergen exposure and comorbidities
 - For adults and adolescents, the preferred step-up treatment is combination ICS/long-acting beta₂-agonist (LABA).
 - For adults and adolescents with exacerbations despite other therapies, the risk of exacerbations is reduced with combination low dose ICS/formoterol (with beclometasone or budesonide) as both maintenance and reliever, compared with maintenance controller treatment plus as-needed SABA.
 - For children 6–11 years, increasing the ICS dose is preferred over combination ICS/LABA.
- Consider step down once good asthma control has been achieved and maintained for about 3 months, to find the patient's lowest treatment that controls both symptoms and exacerbations
 - Provide the patient with a written asthma action plan, monitor closely, and schedule a follow-up visit
 - Do not completely withdraw ICS unless this is needed temporarily to confirm the diagnosis of asthma.
- For all patients with asthma:
 - Provide inhaler skills training: this is essential for medications to be effective, but technique is often incorrect
 - Encourage adherence with controller medication, even when symptoms are infrequent
 - Provide training in asthma self-management (self-monitoring of symptoms and/or PEF, written asthma action plan and regular medical review) to control symptoms and minimize the risk of exacerbations and need for health care utilization.
- For patients with one or more risk factors for exacerbations:
 - Prescribe regular daily ICS-containing medication, provide a written asthma action plan, and arrange review more frequently than for low-risk patients
 - Identify and address modifiable risk factors, (e.g. smoking, low lung function)
 - Consider non-pharmacological strategies and interventions to assist with symptom control and risk reduction, (e.g. smoking cessation advice, breathing exercises, some avoidance strategies)

ASTHMA MEDICATIONS

Categories of asthma medications

When compared with medications used for other chronic diseases, most of the medications used for treatment of asthma have very favorable therapeutic ratios (Appendix Chapter 5). The pharmacological options for long-term treatment of asthma fall into the following three main categories.

- *Controller medications*: these are used for regular maintenance treatment. They reduce airway inflammation, control symptoms, and reduce future risks such as exacerbations and decline in lung function.
- *Reliever (rescue) medications*: these are provided to all patients for as-needed relief of breakthrough symptoms, including during worsening asthma or exacerbations. They are also recommended for short-term prevention of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. Reducing and, ideally, eliminating the need for reliever treatment is both an important goal in asthma management and a measure of the success of asthma treatment.
- *Add-on therapies for patients with severe asthma* (Box 3-14, p55): these may be considered when patients have persistent symptoms and/or exacerbations despite optimized treatment with high dose controller medications (usually a high dose ICS and a LABA) and treatment of modifiable risk factors (see Box 3-8, p38).

Initial controller treatment

For the best outcomes, regular daily controller treatment should be initiated as soon as possible after the diagnosis of asthma is made, as the evidence suggests that:

- Early initiation of low dose ICS in patients with asthma leads to a greater improvement in lung function than if symptoms have been present for more than 2–4 years.^{135,136} One study showed that after this time, higher ICS doses were required, and lower lung function was achieved.¹³⁷
- Patients not taking ICS who experience a severe exacerbation have a greater long-term decline in lung function than those who have already started ICS.⁸³
- For patients with occupational asthma, early removal from exposure to the sensitizing agent and early treatment increase the probability of recovery.²⁸

Recommended options for initial controller treatment in adults and adolescents, based on evidence (where available) and consensus, are listed in Box 3-4. The patient's response should be reviewed, and treatment stepped down once good control is achieved. Recommendations for a stepwise approach to ongoing treatment are found in Box 3-5 (p31).

Stepwise approach for adjusting asthma treatment in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years old

Once asthma treatment has been commenced (Box 3-4), ongoing treatment decisions are based on a cycle of assessment, adjustment of treatment, and review of the response. Controller medication is adjusted up or down in a stepwise approach (Box 3-5) to achieve good symptom control and minimize future risk of exacerbations, fixed airflow limitation and medication side-effects. Once good asthma control has been maintained for 2–3 months, treatment may be stepped down in order to find the patient's minimum effective treatment (Box 3-7, p37).

If a patient has persisting symptoms and/or exacerbations despite 2–3 months of controller treatment, assess and correct the following common problems *before considering any step up in treatment*:

- Incorrect inhaler technique
- Poor adherence
- Persistent exposure at home/work to agents such as allergens, tobacco smoke, indoor or outdoor air pollution, or to medications such as beta-blockers or (in some patients) non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)
- Comorbidities that may contribute to respiratory symptoms and poor quality of life
- Incorrect diagnosis.

Box 3-4. Recommended options for initial controller treatment in adults and adolescents

Presenting symptoms	Preferred initial controller
Asthma symptoms or need for SABA less than twice a month; no waking due to asthma in last month; and no risk factors for exacerbations (Box 2-2B, p17), including no exacerbations in the last year	No controller (Evidence D)*
Infrequent asthma symptoms, but the patient has one or more risk factors for exacerbations (Box 2-2B); e.g. low lung function, or exacerbation requiring OCS in the last year, or has ever been in intensive care for asthma	Low dose ICS** (Evidence D)*
Asthma symptoms or need for SABA between twice a month and twice a week, or patient wakes due to asthma once or more a month	Low dose ICS** (Evidence B)
Asthma symptoms or need for SABA more than twice a week	Low dose ICS** (Evidence A) Other less effective options are LTRA or theophylline
Troublesome asthma symptoms most days; or waking due to asthma once a week or more, especially if any risk factors exist (Box 2-2B)	Medium/high dose ICS [†] (Evidence A), or Low dose ICS/LABA [‡] (Evidence A)
Initial asthma presentation is with severely uncontrolled asthma, or with an acute exacerbation	Short course of oral corticosteroids AND Start regular controller treatment; options are <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High-dose ICS (Evidence A), or • Moderate-dose ICS/LABA[#] (Evidence D)
Before starting initial controller treatment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Record evidence for the diagnosis of asthma, if possible • Record the patient's level of symptom control and risk factors, including lung function (Box 2-2, p17) • Consider factors influencing choice of treatment (Box 3-3, p27) • Ensure that the patient can use the inhaler correctly • Schedule an appointment for a follow-up visit 	
After starting initial controller treatment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review patient's response (Box 2-2) after 2–3 months, or earlier depending on clinical urgency • See Box 3-5 for recommendations for ongoing treatment and other key management issues • Step down treatment once good control has been maintained for 3 months (Box 3-7, p37). 	

ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; LABA: long-acting beta₂-agonist; LTRA: leukotriene receptor antagonist; OCS: oral corticosteroids; SABA: short-acting beta₂-agonist.

This table is based on evidence from available studies and consensus, including considerations of cost.


* These recommendations reflect the evidence for chronic airway inflammation in asthma even when symptoms are infrequent, the known benefit of low dose ICS in reducing serious exacerbations in broad asthma populations, and the lack of large studies comparing the effect of ICS and as-needed SABA alone on exacerbations in these populations.

**Corresponds to starting at Step 2 in Box 3-5.

[†] Corresponds to starting at Step 3 in Box 3-5.

[#] Not recommended for initial treatment in children 6–11 years.

Box 3-5. Stepwise approach to control symptoms and minimize future risk



ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; LABA: long-acting beta₂-agonist; med: medium dose; OCS: oral corticosteroids; anti-IgE: anti-immunoglobulin E therapy. See Box 3-6 for low, medium and high doses of ICS for adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years.

*For children 6–11 years, theophylline is not recommended, and the preferred Step 3 treatment is medium-dose ICS

**Low dose ICS/formoterol is the reliever medication for patients prescribed low dose budesonide/formoterol or low dose beclometasone/formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy.

See Chapter 3 Part C for management of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction.

Box 3-6. Low, medium and high daily doses of inhaled corticosteroids

Adults and adolescents (12 years and older)			
Drug	Daily dose (mcg)		
	Low	Medium	High
Beclometasone dipropionate (CFC)*	200–500	>500–1000	>1000
Beclometasone dipropionate (HFA)	100–200	>200–400	>400
Budesonide (DPI)	200–400*	>400–800	>800
Ciclesonide (HFA)	80–160	>160–320	>320
Fluticasone propionate(DPI)	100–250	>250–500	>500
Fluticasone propionate (HFA)	100–250	>250–500	>500
Mometasone furoate	110–220	>220–440	>440
Triamcinolone acetonide	400–1000	>1000–2000	>2000
Children 6–11 years (for children 5 years and younger, see Box 6-6, p96)			
Beclometasone dipropionate (CFC)*	100–200	>200–400	>400
Beclometasone dipropionate (HFA)	50–100	>100–200	>200
Budesonide (DPI)	100–200	>200–400	>400
Budesonide (nebules)	250–500	>500–1000	>1000
Ciclesonide	80	>80–160	>160
Fluticasone propionate (DPI)	100–200	>200–400	>400
Fluticasone propionate (HFA)	100–200	>200–500	>500
Mometasone furoate	110	≥220–<440	≥440
Triamcinolone acetonide	400–800	>800–1200	>1200

CFC: chlorofluorocarbon propellant; DPI: dry powder inhaler; HFA: hydrofluoroalkane propellant.

*Beclometasone dipropionate CFC is included for comparison with older literature.

Box 3-6 is not a table of equivalence, but of estimated clinical comparability. Categories of 'low', 'medium', and 'high' doses are based on published information and available studies, including direct comparisons where available. Doses may be country-specific depending on labelling requirements. Most of the clinical benefit from ICS is seen at low doses, and clear evidence of dose-response relationships is seldom available within the dose ranges evaluated for regulatory purposes. 'High' doses are arbitrary, but for most ICS are those that, with prolonged use, are associated with increased risk of systemic side-effects.

For new preparations, manufacturer's information should be reviewed carefully; products containing the same molecule may not be clinically equivalent. For more detailed discussion see Raissy et al.⁸⁶

In clinical practice, the choice of medication, device and dose should be based on assessment of symptom control, risk factors, patient preference, and practical issues (cost, ability to use the device, and adherence) (Box 3-3, p27). It is important to monitor the response to treatment and any side-effects, and to adjust the dose accordingly (Box 3-5, p31). Once good symptom control has been maintained for 3 months, the ICS dose should be carefully titrated to the minimum dose, taken regularly, that will maintain good symptom control and minimize exacerbation risk, while reducing the potential for side-effects (Box 3-7). Patients who are being considered for a high daily dose of ICS (except for short periods) should be referred for expert assessment and advice, where possible (Box 3-14, p55).

More detail about asthma medications is provided in Appendix Chapter 5 (adults: Part A; children 6–11 years: Part B).

STEP 1: As-needed reliever inhaler

Preferred option: as-needed inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA)

SABAs are highly effective for the quick relief of asthma symptoms¹³⁸ (Evidence A). However, there is insufficient evidence about the safety of treating asthma with SABA alone, so this option should be reserved for patients with occasional daytime symptoms (e.g. less than twice a month) of short duration (a few hours), with no night waking and with normal lung function. More frequent symptoms, or the presence of any exacerbation risk factors such as FEV₁ <80% personal best or predicted or an exacerbation in the previous 12 months, indicate that regular controller treatment is needed¹³⁹⁻¹⁴¹ (Evidence B).

Other options

Regular low dose ICS should be considered, in addition to as-needed SABA, for patients at risk of exacerbations^{139,141} (Evidence B).

Other options not recommended for routine use

In adults, inhaled anticholinergic agents like ipratropium, oral SABA or short-acting theophylline are potential alternatives to SABA for relief of asthma symptoms; however, these agents have a slower onset of action than inhaled SABA (Evidence A), and oral SABA and theophylline have a higher risk of side-effects.

The rapid-onset LABA, formoterol, is as effective as SABA as a reliever medication in adults and children,¹⁴² but use of regular or frequent LABA without ICS is strongly discouraged because of the risk of exacerbations (Evidence A).

STEP 2: Low dose controller medication plus as-needed reliever medication

Preferred option: regular low dose ICS plus as-needed SABA

Treatment with ICS at low doses reduces asthma symptoms, increases lung function, improves quality of life, and reduces the risk of exacerbations and asthma-related hospitalizations or death^{139,141,143,144} (Evidence A). Box 3-6 lists doses that are considered to be low, medium and high for different ICS products.

Other options

Leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA) are less effective than ICS¹⁴⁵ (Evidence A). They may be appropriate for initial controller treatment for some patients who are unable or unwilling to use ICS; for patients who experience intolerable side-effects from ICS; or for patients with concomitant allergic rhinitis^{146,147} (Evidence B).

For adult or adolescent patients not previously using controller treatment, combination low dose ICS/LABA as the initial maintenance controller treatment reduces symptoms and improves lung function compared with low dose ICS alone. However, it is more expensive and does not further reduce the risk of exacerbations compared with ICS alone¹⁴⁸ (Evidence A).

For patients with purely seasonal allergic asthma, e.g. with birch pollen, with no interval asthma symptoms, ICS should be started immediately symptoms commence, and continued for four weeks after the relevant pollen season ends (Evidence D).

Options not recommended for routine use

Sustained-release theophylline has only weak efficacy in asthma¹⁴⁹⁻¹⁵¹ (Evidence B) and side-effects are common, and may be life-threatening at higher doses.¹⁵² Chromones (nedocromil sodium and sodium cromoglycate) have a favorable safety profile but low efficacy^{153,154} (Evidence A), and their inhalers require burdensome daily washing to avoid blockage.

STEP 3: One or two controllers plus as-needed reliever medication

Preferred option (adults/adolescents): combination low dose ICS/LABA as maintenance treatment plus as-needed SABA OR combination low dose ICS/formoterol (budesonide or beclometasone) as both maintenance and reliever treatment

Preferred option (children 6–11 years): moderate dose ICS plus as-needed SABA

Before considering a step up, check for common problems such as incorrect inhaler technique, poor adherence, and environmental exposures, and confirm that the symptoms are due to asthma (Box 2-4, p22).

The options at this step differ depending on age. For adults and adolescents, there are two 'preferred' Step 3 options: combination ICS/LABA as maintenance treatment with as-needed SABA as reliever, and ICS/formoterol as both maintenance and reliever treatment. Currently approved combination ICS/LABA inhalers for asthma include fluticasone furoate/vilanterol, fluticasone propionate/formoterol, fluticasone propionate/salmeterol, beclometasone/formoterol, budesonide/formoterol and mometasone/formoterol. The maintenance and reliever regimen can be prescribed with low dose beclometasone/formoterol or budesonide/formoterol. Adding LABA to the same dose of ICS provides additional improvements in symptoms and lung function with a reduced risk of exacerbations¹⁵⁵ (Evidence A). In at-risk patients, the ICS/formoterol maintenance and reliever regimen significantly reduces exacerbations and provides similar levels of asthma control at relatively low doses of ICS, compared with a fixed dose of ICS/LABA as maintenance treatment or a higher dose of ICS, both with as-needed SABA¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁵⁹ (Evidence A).

In children, the preferred option is to increase ICS to medium dose,¹⁶⁰ and in this age group, the effect may be similar to¹⁶¹ or more effective^{149,150} than adding LABA.

Other options

Another option for adults and adolescents is to increase ICS to medium dose, but this is less effective than adding a LABA^{103,162,163} (Evidence A). Other less efficacious options are low dose ICS plus either LTRA¹⁶⁴ (Evidence A) or low dose, sustained-release theophylline¹⁶⁵ (Evidence B).

STEP 4: Two or more controllers plus as-needed reliever medication

Preferred option (adults/adolescents): combination low dose ICS/formoterol as maintenance and reliever treatment, OR combination medium dose ICS/LABA plus as-needed SABA

Preferred option (children 6–11 years): refer for expert assessment and advice

The selection of Step 4 treatment depends on the prior selection at Step 3. Before stepping up, check for common problems such as incorrect inhaler technique, poor adherence, and environmental exposures, and confirm that the symptoms are due to asthma (Box 2-4, p22).

For adult and adolescent patients with ≥ 1 exacerbations in the previous year, combination low dose ICS/formoterol as maintenance and reliever treatment is more effective in reducing exacerbations than the same dose of maintenance ICS/LABA or higher doses of ICS¹⁵⁹ (Evidence A). This regimen can be prescribed with low dose budesonide/formoterol or beclometasone/formoterol as in Step 3; the maintenance dose may be increased if necessary. For patients taking low dose maintenance ICS/LABA with as-needed SABA, whose asthma is not adequately controlled, treatment may be increased to medium dose ICS/LABA¹²⁸ (Evidence B); combination ICS/LABA medications are as for Step 3.

For children 6–11 years, if asthma is not well controlled on moderate dose ICS, the recommendation is to refer the child for expert assessment and advice.

Other options

Combination high-dose ICS/LABA may be considered in adults and adolescents, but the increase in ICS dose generally provides little additional benefit^{95,103,163,166} (Evidence A), and there is an increased risk of side-effects. A high dose is recommended only on a trial basis for 3–6 months when good asthma control cannot be achieved with medium dose

ICS plus LABA and/or a third controller (e.g. LTRA or sustained-release theophylline,^{141,150,167} Evidence B). Theophylline should not be used in children.

For medium or high dose budesonide, efficacy may be improved with dosing four times daily^{168,169} (Evidence B), but adherence may be an issue. For other ICS, twice-daily dosing is appropriate (Evidence D). Other options for adults or adolescents that can be added to a medium- or high-dose ICS but that are less efficacious than adding LABA, include LTRA^{167,170-173} (Evidence A), or low dose sustained-release theophylline¹⁵⁰ (Evidence B).

STEP 5: Higher level care and/or add-on treatment

Preferred option: referral for specialist investigation and consideration of add-on treatment

Patients with persistent symptoms or exacerbations despite correct inhaler technique and good adherence with Step 4 treatment should be referred to a specialist with expertise in management of severe asthma¹¹⁰ (Evidence D)

Treatment options that may be considered at Step 5 are described in Box 3-14 (p55). They include:

- Anti-immunoglobulin E (anti-IgE) treatment (omalizumab): this is suggested for patients with moderate or severe allergic asthma that is uncontrolled on Step 4 treatment¹⁷⁴ (Evidence A).
- Sputum-guided treatment: for patients with persisting symptoms and/or exacerbations despite high-dose ICS or ICS/LABA, treatment may be adjusted based on eosinophilia (>3%) in induced sputum. In severe asthma, this strategy leads to reduced exacerbations and/or lower doses of ICS¹³⁰ (Evidence A).
- Bronchial thermoplasty: may be considered for some adult patients with severe asthma¹¹⁰ (Evidence B). Evidence is limited and in selected patients (see Appendix Chapter 6). The long term effects are not known.
- Add-on low dose oral corticosteroids (≤ 7.5 mg/day prednisone equivalent): may be effective for some adults with severe asthma¹¹⁰ (Evidence D); but are often associated with substantial side effects¹⁷⁵ (Evidence B). They should only be considered for adults with poor symptom control and/or frequent exacerbations despite good inhaler technique and adherence with Step 4 treatment, and after exclusion of other contributory factors. Patients should be counseled about potential side-effects (Evidence D). They should be assessed and monitored for risk of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis, and those expected to be treated for ≥ 3 months should be provided with relevant lifestyle counselling and prescription of therapy for prevention of osteoporosis (where appropriate).¹⁷⁶

REVIEWING RESPONSE AND ADJUSTING TREATMENT

How often should asthma be reviewed?

Patients with asthma should be reviewed regularly to monitor their symptom control, risk factors and occurrence of exacerbations, as well as to document the response to any treatment changes. For most controller medications, improvement begins within days of initiating treatment, but the full benefit may only be evident after 3–4 months.¹⁷⁷ In severe and chronically under-treated disease, it may take longer.¹⁷⁸

All health care providers should be encouraged to assess asthma control, adherence and inhaler technique at every visit, not just when the patient presents because of their asthma.¹⁷⁹ The frequency of visits depends upon the patient's initial level of control, their response to treatment, and their level of engagement in self-management. Ideally, patients should be seen 1–3 months after starting treatment and every 3–12 months thereafter. After an exacerbation, a review visit within 1 week should be scheduled¹⁸⁰ (Evidence D).

Stepping up asthma treatment

Asthma is a variable condition, and periodic treatment adjustments by the clinician and/or the patient may be needed.¹⁸¹

- *Sustained step up (for at least 2–3 months)*: some patients may fail to respond adequately to initial treatment. A step up in treatment may be recommended (Box 3-5, p31) if the symptoms are confirmed to be due to asthma; inhaler technique and adherence are satisfactory; and modifiable risk factors such as smoking have been

addressed (Box 3-8, p38). Any step-up should be regarded as a therapeutic trial, and the response reviewed after 2–3 months. If there is no response, treatment should be reduced to the previous level, and alternative treatment options or referral considered.

- *Short-term step up (for 1–2 weeks)*: an occasional short-term increase in maintenance ICS dose for 1–2 weeks may be necessary; for example, during viral infections or seasonal allergen exposure. This may be initiated by the patient according to their written asthma action plan (Box 4-2, p61), or by the health care provider.
- *Day-to-day adjustment*: for patients prescribed combination budesonide/formoterol or beclometasone/formoterol as maintenance and reliever treatment, the patient adjusts the number of as-needed doses of ICS/formoterol from day to day according to their symptoms, while continuing the maintenance dosage.

Stepping down treatment when asthma is well controlled

Once good asthma control has been achieved and maintained for 3 months and lung function has reached a plateau, treatment can often be successfully reduced, without loss of asthma control.

The aims of stepping down are:

- To find the patient's minimum effective treatment, i.e. to maintain good control of symptoms and exacerbations, and to minimize the costs of treatment and potential for side-effects
- To encourage the patient to continue regular controller treatment. Patients often experiment with intermittent treatment through concern about the risks or costs of daily treatment.¹⁸² It may be helpful to inform them that lower doses can be achieved if controller treatment is taken every day.

The approach to stepping down will differ from patient to patient depending on their current treatment, risk factors and preferences. There are few experimental data on the optimal timing, sequence and magnitude of treatment reductions in asthma. If treatment is stepped down too far or too quickly, exacerbation risk may increase even if symptoms remain reasonably controlled¹⁸³ (Evidence B). Complete cessation of ICS is associated with a significant risk of exacerbations¹⁸⁴ (Evidence A). Predictors of loss of control during dose reduction include airway hyperresponsiveness and sputum eosinophilia,¹⁸⁵ but these tests are not readily available in primary care.

Any step-down of asthma treatment should be considered as a therapeutic trial, with the response evaluated in terms of both symptom control and exacerbation frequency. Prior to stepping down treatment, the patient should be provided with a written asthma action plan and instructions for how and when to resume their previous treatment if their symptoms worsen.

Step-down strategies for different controller treatments are summarized in Box 3-7; these are based on current evidence, but more research is needed. Only a small number of step-down studies have been performed in children.

Box 3-7. Options for stepping down treatment once asthma is well controlled

General principles of stepping down asthma treatment			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider stepping down when asthma symptoms have been well controlled and lung function has been stable for 3 or more months (Evidence D). If the patient has risk factors for exacerbations (Box 2-2, p17) or fixed airflow limitation, do not step down without close supervision. Choose an appropriate time (no respiratory infection, patient not travelling, not pregnant). Approach each step as a therapeutic trial. Engage the patient in the process; document their asthma status (symptom control, lung function and risk factors, Box 2-2); provide clear instructions; provide written asthma action plan (Box 4-2, p61) and ensure patient has sufficient medication to resume their previous dose if necessary; monitor symptoms and/or PEF; and schedule a follow-up visit (Evidence D). Stepping down ICS doses by 25–50% at 3 month intervals is feasible and safe for most patients¹⁸⁶ (Evidence B). 			
Current step	Current medication and dose	Options for stepping down	Evidence
Step 5	High dose ICS/LABA plus oral corticosteroids (OCS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue high dose ICS/LABA and reduce OCS dose Use sputum-guided approach to reducing OCS Alternate-day OCS treatment Replace OCS with high dose ICS 	D B D D
	High dose ICS/LABA plus other add-on agents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer for expert advice 	D
Step 4	Moderate to high dose ICS/LABA maintenance treatment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue combination ICS/LABA with 50% reduction in ICS component, by using available formulations Discontinuing LABA is more likely to lead to deterioration¹⁸⁷ 	B A
	Medium dose ICS/formoterol* as maintenance and reliever	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce maintenance ICS/formoterol* to low dose, and continue as-needed low dose ICS/formoterol* reliever 	D
	High dose ICS plus second controller	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce ICS dose by 50% and continue second controller¹⁸⁶ 	B
Step 3	Low dose ICS/LABA maintenance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce ICS/LABA to once daily Discontinuing LABA is more likely to lead to deterioration¹⁸⁷ 	D A
	Low dose ICS/formoterol* as maintenance and reliever	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce maintenance ICS/formoterol* dose to once daily and continue as-needed low dose ICS/formoterol* reliever 	C
	Moderate- or high-dose ICS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce ICS dose by 50%¹⁸⁶ 	B
Step 2	Low dose ICS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Once-daily dosing (budesonide, ciclesonide, mometasone)^{188,189} 	A
	Low dose ICS or LTRA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider stopping controller treatment only if there have been no symptoms for 6–12 months, and patient has no risk factors (Box 2-2, p17). Provide a written asthma action plan, and monitor closely. 	D
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete cessation of ICS in adults is not advised as the risk of exacerbations is increased¹⁸⁴ 	A

BDP: beclometasone dipropionate; ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; LABA: long-acting beta₂-agonist; LTRA: leukotriene receptor antagonist; OCS: oral corticosteroids.

*ICS/formoterol maintenance and reliever treatment can be prescribed with low dose budesonide/formoterol or BDP/formoterol.

TREATING OTHER MODIFIABLE RISK FACTORS

Some patients continue to experience exacerbations even with maximal doses of current treatment. Having even one exacerbation increases the risk that a patient will have another within the next 12 months.⁸² There is increasing research interest in identifying at-risk patients (Box 2-2B), and in investigating new strategies to further reduce exacerbation risk.

In clinical practice, exacerbation risk can be reduced both by optimizing asthma medications, and by identifying and treating modifiable risk factors (Box 3-8). Not all risk factors require or respond to a step up in controller treatment.

Box 3-8. Treating modifiable risk factors to reduce exacerbations

Risk factor	Treatment strategy	Evidence
Any patient with ≥ 1 risk factor for exacerbations (including poor symptom control)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure patient is prescribed regular ICS-containing controller Ensure patient has a written action plan appropriate for their health literacy Review patient more frequently than low-risk patients Check inhaler technique and adherence frequently Identify any modifiable risk factors (Box 2-2, p17) 	A A A A D
≥ 1 severe exacerbation in last year	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider alternative controller regimens to reduce exacerbation risk, e.g. ICS/formoterol maintenance and reliever regimen Consider stepping up treatment if no modifiable risk factors Identify any avoidable triggers for exacerbations 	A A C
Exposure to tobacco smoke	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage smoking cessation by patient/family; provide advice and resources Consider higher dose of ICS if asthma poorly-controlled 	A B
Low FEV ₁ , especially if $<60\%$ predicted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider trial of 3 months' treatment with high-dose ICS and/or 2 weeks' OCS Exclude other lung disease, e.g. COPD Refer for expert advice if no improvement 	B D D
Obesity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strategies for weight reduction Distinguish asthma symptoms from symptoms due to deconditioning, mechanical restriction, and/or sleep apnea 	B D
Major psychological problems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arrange mental health assessment Help patient to distinguish between symptoms of anxiety and asthma; provide advice about management of panic attacks 	D D
Major socioeconomic problems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify most cost-effective ICS-based regimen 	D
Confirmed food allergy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate food avoidance; injectable epinephrine 	A
Allergen exposure if sensitized	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider trial of simple avoidance strategies; consider cost Consider step up of controller treatment The efficacy of allergen immunotherapy in asthma is limited 	C D A
Sputum eosinophilia (limited centers)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase ICS dose independent of level of symptom control 	A*

FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; OCS: oral corticosteroids.

* Based on evidence from relatively small studies in selected populations. Also see Box 3-9 and Appendix Chapter 6 for more information about non-pharmacological interventions.

The potential for local and/or systemic side-effects of medications can be minimized by ensuring correct inhaler technique (Box 3-11, p42), by reminding patients to rinse and spit out after using ICS, and, after good asthma control has been maintained for 3 months, by finding each patient's minimum effective dose (the lowest dose that will maintain good symptom control and minimize exacerbations, Box 3-7, p37).

NON-PHARMACOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS

In addition to pharmacological treatments, other therapies and strategies may be considered where relevant, to assist in improving symptom control and/or reducing future risk. The advice and evidence level are summarized in Box 3-9.

Box 3-9. Non-pharmacological interventions - Summary (continued next page; more detail in Appendix Chapter 6)

Intervention	Advice/recommendation (continued on next page)	Evidence
Cessation of smoking and ETS exposure	• At every visit, strongly encourage people with asthma who smoke to quit. Provide access to counseling and smoking cessation programs (if available)	A
	• Advise parents/carers of children with asthma not to smoke and not to allow smoking in rooms or cars that their children use	A
	• Strongly encourage people with asthma to avoid environmental smoke exposure	B
	• Assess smokers/ex-smokers for COPD or asthma–COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS, Chapter 5, p73), as additional treatment strategies may be required	D
Physical activity	• Encourage people with asthma to engage in regular physical activity because of its general health benefits	A
	• Provide advice about prevention and management of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (p50)	A
	• Regular physical activity confers no specific benefit on lung function or asthma symptoms per se, with the exception of swimming in young people with asthma	B
	• There is little evidence to recommend one form of physical activity over another	D
Avoidance of occupational exposures	• Ask all patients with adult-onset asthma about their work history and other exposures	A
	• In management of occupational asthma, identify and eliminate occupational sensitizers as soon as possible, and remove sensitized patients from any further exposure to these agents	A
	• Patients with suspected or confirmed occupational asthma should be referred for expert assessment and advice, if available	A
Avoidance of medications that may make asthma worse	• Always ask about asthma before prescribing NSAIDs, and advise patients to stop using them if asthma worsens	A
	• Always ask people with asthma about concomitant medications	D
	• Aspirin and NSAIDs are not generally contraindicated unless there is a history of previous reactions to these agents (see p53)	A
	• Decide about prescription of oral or intra-ocular beta-blockers on a case-by-case basis. Initiate treatment under close medical supervision by a specialist	D
	• If cardioselective beta-blockers are indicated for acute coronary events, asthma is not a contraindication, but the relative risks/benefits should be considered	D
Avoidance of indoor allergens	• Allergen avoidance is not recommended as a general strategy in asthma	A
	• For sensitized patients, there is no evidence of clinical benefit for asthma with single-strategy indoor allergen avoidance	A
	• For sensitized patients, there is limited evidence of clinical benefit for asthma with multi-component avoidance strategies (only in children)	B
	• Allergen avoidance strategies are often complicated and expensive, and there are no validated methods for identifying those who are likely to benefit	D

Box 3-9 (continued) Non-pharmacological interventions – Summary

Intervention	Advice/recommendation	Evidence
Breathing techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Breathing techniques may be a useful supplement to asthma pharmacotherapy 	A
Healthy diet	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage patients with asthma to consume a diet high in fruit and vegetables for its general health benefits 	A
Weight reduction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include weight reduction in the treatment plan for obese patients with asthma 	B
Avoidance of indoor air pollution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage people with asthma to use non-polluting heating and cooking sources, and for sources of pollutants to be vented outdoors where possible 	B
Vaccinations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> People with asthma, particularly children and the elderly, are at higher risk of pneumococcal disease, but there is insufficient evidence to recommend routine pneumococcal vaccination in people with asthma 	B
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advise patients with moderate-severe asthma to have an influenza vaccination every year, or at least when vaccination of the general population is advised 	D
Bronchial thermoplasty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For highly-selected adult patients with uncontrolled asthma despite use of recommended therapeutic regimens and referral to an asthma specialty center (Step 5), bronchial thermoplasty is a potential treatment option in some countries. 	B
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Caution should be used in selecting patients for this procedure, as the number of studies is small, and people with chronic sinus disease, frequent chest infections or FEV₁ <60% predicted were excluded. 	D
Dealing with emotional stress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage patients to identify goals and strategies to deal with emotional stress if it makes their asthma worse 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is insufficient evidence to support one stress-reduction strategy over another, but relaxation strategies and breathing techniques may be helpful 	B
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arrange a mental health assessment for patients with symptoms of anxiety or depression 	D
Allergen immunotherapy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Compared to pharmacological and avoidance options, potential benefits of allergen immunotherapy (SCIT or SLIT) must be weighed against the risk of adverse effects and the inconvenience and cost of the prolonged course of therapy, including for SCIT the minimum half-hour wait required after each injection. 	D
Avoidance of outdoor allergens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> When pollen and mold counts are highest, closing windows and doors, remaining indoors, and using air conditioning may reduce exposure to outdoor allergens 	D
Avoidance of outdoor air pollutants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoidance of unfavorable environmental conditions is usually unnecessary for patients whose asthma is well controlled 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It may be helpful during unfavorable environmental conditions (very cold weather, low humidity or high air pollution) to avoid strenuous outdoors physical activity and stay indoors in a climate-controlled environment; and during viral infections to avoid polluted environments 	D
Avoidance of foods and food chemicals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Food avoidance should not be recommended unless an allergy or food chemical sensitivity has been clearly demonstrated, usually by carefully supervised oral challenges 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For confirmed food allergy, food allergen avoidance may reduce asthma exacerbations 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If food chemical sensitivity is confirmed, complete avoidance is not usually necessary, and sensitivity often decreases when asthma control improves 	D

NSAID: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SABA: short-acting beta₂-agonist.

Interventions with highest level evidence are shown first. More details are provided in Appendix Chapter 6.

INDICATIONS FOR REFERRAL FOR EXPERT ADVICE

While the majority of people with asthma can usually be managed in primary care, some clinical situations warrant referral for expert advice regarding diagnosis and/or management (Box 3-10). This list is based on consensus, and indications for referral may vary, as there is substantial variation between health systems in the delivery of the majority of asthma care: by primary health care providers in some countries, and by specialists in others.

Box 3-10. Indications for considering referral for expert advice, where available

Difficulty confirming the diagnosis of asthma
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Patient has symptoms of chronic infection, or features suggesting a cardiac or other non-pulmonary cause (Box 1-3, p8) (immediate referral recommended)• Diagnosis is unclear even after a trial of therapy with ICS or systemic corticosteroids• Patients with features of both asthma and COPD, if there is doubt about priorities for treatment
Suspected occupational asthma
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Refer for confirmatory testing and identification of sensitizing or irritant agent, specific advice about eliminating exposure and pharmacological treatment. See specific guidelines (e.g. ²⁸) for details.
Persistent uncontrolled asthma or frequent exacerbations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Patient's symptoms remain uncontrolled, or patient has ongoing exacerbations or low lung function despite correct inhaler technique and good adherence with Step 4 treatment (moderate or high-dose ICS/LABA, Box 3-5, p31). Before referral, depending on the clinical context, identify and treat modifiable risk factors (Box 2-2, p17; Box 3-8, p38) and comorbidities (p47)• Patient has frequent asthma-related health care utilization (e.g. multiple ED visits or urgent primary care visits)
Any risk factors for asthma-related death (see Box 4-1, p59)
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Near-fatal asthma attack (ICU admission, or mechanical ventilation for asthma) at any time in the past• Anaphylaxis or confirmed food allergy in a patient with asthma
Evidence of, or risk of, significant treatment side-effects
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Patients with significant side-effects from treatment• Need for long-term oral corticosteroid use• Frequent courses of oral corticosteroids (e.g. two or more courses a year)
Symptoms suggesting complications or sub-types of asthma
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• e.g. aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease (p53); allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis
Additional reasons for referral in children 6–11 years
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Doubts about diagnosis of asthma e.g. respiratory symptoms are not responding well to treatment in a child who was born prematurely• Symptoms or exacerbations remain uncontrolled despite moderate dose ICS (Box 3-6B, p32) with correct inhaler technique and good adherence• Suspected side-effects of treatment (e.g. growth delay)• Asthma and confirmed food allergy

ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; ICU: intensive care unit. For indications for referral in children 0–5 years, see Chapter 6, p88.

PART C. GUIDED ASTHMA SELF-MANAGEMENT EDUCATION AND SKILLS TRAINING

OVERVIEW

With a chronic disease such as asthma, it is important for patients to be provided with education and skills in order to effectively manage their asthma. This is most effectively achieved through a partnership between the patient and their health care providers. The essential components for this include:

- Skills training to use inhaler devices effectively
- Encouraging adherence with medications, appointments and other advice, within an agreed management strategy
- Asthma information
- Training in guided self-management, with self-monitoring of symptoms or peak flow; a written asthma action plan to show how to recognize and respond to worsening asthma; and regular review by a health care provider.

SKILLS TRAINING FOR EFFECTIVE USE OF INHALER DEVICES

Delivery of respiratory medications by inhalation achieves a high concentration in the airways, more rapid onset of action, and fewer systemic adverse effects than systemic delivery. However, using an inhaler is a skill that must be learnt and maintained in order for the medication to be delivered effectively.

Poor inhaler technique leads to poor asthma control, increased risk of exacerbations and increased adverse effects.⁷¹ Most patients (up to 70–80%) are unable to use their inhaler correctly. Unfortunately, many health care providers are unable to correctly demonstrate how to use the inhalers they prescribe.¹⁹⁰ Most people with incorrect technique are unaware that they have a problem. There is no 'perfect' inhaler – patients can have problems using any inhaler device.

Strategies for ensuring effective use of inhaler devices are summarized in Box 3-11.

Box 3-11. Strategies to ensure effective use of inhaler devices

CHOOSE
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Choose the most appropriate inhaler device for the patient before prescribing. Consider the medication options (Box 3-5, p31), the available devices, patient skills and cost.• If different options are available, encourage the patient to participate in the choice• For pMDIs, use of a spacer improves delivery and (with ICS) reduces the potential for side-effects• Ensure that there are no physical barriers, e.g. arthritis, that limit use of the inhaler• Avoid use of multiple different inhaler types where possible, to avoid confusion
CHECK
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Check inhaler technique at every opportunity• Ask the patient to show you how they use their inhaler (don't just ask if they know how to use it)• Identify any errors using a device-specific checklist
CORRECT
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Show the patient how to use the device correctly with a physical demonstration, e.g. using a placebo inhaler• Check technique again, paying attention to problematic steps. You may need to repeat this process 2–3 times.¹⁹¹• Only consider an alternative device if the patient cannot use the inhaler correctly after several repeats of training• Re-check inhaler technique frequently. After initial training, errors often recur within 4–6 weeks.¹⁹²
CONFIRM
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Clinicians should be able to demonstrate correct technique for each of the inhalers they prescribe• Pharmacists and nurses can provide highly effective inhaler skills training^{193,194}

The above principles apply to all types of inhaler devices. For patients prescribed pressurized metered dose inhalers (pMDIs), use of a spacer improves delivery and (for ICS) reduces the potential for local side-effects such as dysphonia and oral candidiasis. With ICS, the risk of candidiasis can also be reduced by rinsing and spitting out after use.

Checking and correcting inhaler technique using a standardized checklist takes only 2–3 minutes and leads to improved asthma control^{191,195} (Evidence A). A physical demonstration is essential to improve inhaler technique.¹⁹⁶ This is easiest if the health care provider has placebo inhalers and a spacer. After training, inhaler technique falls off with time, so checking and re-training must be repeated regularly. This is particularly important for patients with poor symptom control or a history of exacerbations. Pharmacists and nurses can provide highly effective inhaler skills training.^{193,194}

Some inhaler devices and techniques for their use are illustrated on the GINA website (www.ginasthma.org) and the ADMIT website (www.admit-online.info).

ADHERENCE WITH MEDICATIONS AND OTHER ADVICE

Identifying poor adherence

Poor adherence is defined as the failure of treatment to be taken as agreed upon by the patient and the health care provider. There is increasing awareness of the importance of poor adherence in chronic diseases, and of the potential to develop interventions to improve adherence.¹⁹⁷ Approximately 50% of adults and children on long-term therapy for asthma fail to take medications as directed at least part of the time.¹⁹⁸

In clinical practice, poor adherence may be identified by an empathic question that acknowledges the likelihood of incomplete adherence and encourages an open discussion. See Box 3-12, p44 for examples.

Checking the date of the last prescription or the date on the inhaler may assist in identifying poor adherence. In some health systems, pharmacists can assist in identifying poorly adherent patients by monitoring dispensing records. In clinical studies, poor adherence may be identified by short adherence behavior questionnaires, or from dispensing records; dose or pill counting; electronic inhaler monitoring; and drug assay such as for prednisolone.¹⁹⁹

Factors contributing to poor adherence

It is important to elicit patients' beliefs and concerns about asthma and asthma medications in order to understand the reasons behind their medication-taking behavior. Specific drug and non-drug factors involved in poor adherence are listed in Box 3-12, p44. They include both intentional and unintentional factors. Issues such as ethnicity,²⁰⁰ health literacy,^{201,202} and numeracy¹²¹ are often overlooked. Patients' concerns about side-effects may be either real or perceived.^{182,203}

Interventions to improve adherence in asthma

Few adherence interventions have been studied comprehensively in asthma. Some examples are:

- Shared decision-making for medication/dose choice improved adherence and asthma outcomes.¹¹⁵
- Inhaler reminders for missed doses improved adherence in a clinical trial setting.²⁰⁴
- Adherence was higher with ICS prescribed once-daily versus twice-daily.²⁰⁵
- In a difficult inner-city environment, home visits for a comprehensive asthma program by an asthma nurse led to improved adherence and reduced prednisone courses over the following several months.²⁰⁶
- Providing adherence information to clinicians did not improve ICS use among patients with asthma unless clinicians chose to view the details of their patients' medication use.²⁰⁷

Further studies are needed of adherence strategies that are feasible for implementation in primary care.

Box 3-12. Poor medication adherence in asthma

Factors contributing to poor adherence	How to identify poor adherence in clinical practice
<p>Medication/regimen factors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Difficulties using inhaler device (e.g. arthritis) Burdensome regimen (e.g. multiple times per day) Multiple different inhalers <p>Unintentional poor adherence</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Misunderstanding about instructions Forgetfulness Absence of a daily routine Cost <p>Intentional poor adherence</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Perception that treatment is not necessary Denial or anger about asthma or its treatment Inappropriate expectations Concerns about side-effects (real or perceived) Dissatisfaction with health care providers Stigmatization Cultural or religious issues Cost 	<p>Ask an empathic question</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledge the likelihood of incomplete adherence and encourage an open non-judgemental discussion. Examples are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Many patients don't use their inhaler as prescribed. In the last 4 weeks, how many days a week have you been taking it – not at all, 1, 2, 3 or more days a week?'²⁰⁸ 'Do you find it easier to remember your inhaler in the morning or the evening?' <p>Check medication usage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check the date of the last controller prescription Check the date and dose counter on the inhaler In some health systems, prescribing and dispensing frequency can be monitored electronically by clinicians and/or pharmacists See review articles for more detail.^{198,209}

ASTHMA INFORMATION

While education is relevant to asthma patients of all ages, the information and skills training required by each person may vary, as will their ability or willingness to take responsibility. All individuals will require certain core information and skills but most education must be personalized and provided in a number of steps.

For young children, the focus of asthma education will be on the parent/carer, but young children can be taught simple asthma management skills. Adolescents may have unique difficulties regarding adherence, and peer support group education may help in addition to education provided by the health care provider.²¹⁰ Regional issues and the adolescent's developmental stage may affect the outcomes of such programs.²¹¹

The key features and components of an asthma education program are provided in Box 3-13. Information alone improves knowledge but does not improve asthma outcomes.²¹² Social and psychological support may also be required to maintain positive behavioral change, and skills are required for effective medication delivery. At the initial consultation, verbal information should be supplemented with written or pictorial^{213,214} information about asthma and its treatment. The GINA website (www.ginasthma.org) contains patient educational materials as well as links to several asthma websites. Patients and their families should be encouraged to make a note of any questions that arise from reading this information or as a result of the consultation, and should be given time to address these during the next consultation.

Asthma education and training can be delivered effectively by a range of health care providers including pharmacists and nurses^{193,194} (Evidence A). Trained lay health educators can deliver discrete areas of respiratory care such as asthma self-management education, with comparable outcomes to those achieved by practice nurses based in primary care²¹⁵ (Evidence B).

Box 3-13. Asthma information

Goal: To provide the person with asthma, their family and other carers with suitable information and training to manage their asthma in partnership with their health care providers

Approach

- Focus on the development of the partnership
- Accept that this is a continuing process
- Share information
- Adapt the approach to the patient's level of health literacy (Box 3-1, p25)
- Fully discuss expectations, fears and concerns
- Develop shared goals

Content

- Asthma diagnosis
- Rationale for treatment, and differences between 'relievers' and 'controllers'
- Potential side-effects of medications
- Prevention of symptoms and flare-ups
- How to recognize worsening asthma and what actions to take; how and when to seek medical attention
- Management of comorbidities

TRAINING IN GUIDED ASTHMA SELF-MANAGEMENT

Guided self-management may involve varying degrees of independence, ranging broadly from patient-directed self-management to doctor-directed self-management. With patient-directed self-management patients make changes in accordance with a prior written action plan without needing to first contact their health care provider. With doctor-directed self-management, patients still have a written action plan, but refer most major treatment decisions to their physician at the time of a planned or unplanned consultation.

The essential components of effective guided asthma self-management are:¹¹³

- Self-monitoring of symptoms and/or peak flow
- A written asthma action plan to show how to recognize and respond to worsening asthma; and
- Regular review of asthma control, treatment and skills by a health care provider.

Self-management education that includes these three components dramatically reduces asthma morbidity in both adults¹¹³ (Evidence A) and children¹¹⁴ (Evidence A). Benefits include a one-third to two-thirds reduction in asthma-related hospitalizations, emergency department visits and unscheduled doctor or clinic visits, missed work/school days, and nocturnal waking. It has been estimated that the implementation of a self-management program in 20 patients prevents one hospitalization, and successful completion of such a program by 8 patients prevents one emergency department visit.^{113,216} Less intensive interventions that involve self-management education but not a written action plan are less effective,²¹⁷ and information alone is ineffective.²¹²

Self-monitoring of symptoms and/or peak flow

Patients should be trained to keep track of their symptoms (with or without a diary), and notice and take action if necessary when symptoms start to worsen. Peak expiratory flow (PEF) monitoring may sometimes be useful:

- Short-term monitoring
 - Following an exacerbation, to monitor recovery.
 - Following a change in treatment, to help in assessing whether the patient has responded.
 - If symptoms appear excessive (for objective evidence of degree of lung function impairment).
 - To assist in identification of occupational or domestic triggers for worsening asthma control
- Long-term monitoring
 - For earlier detection of exacerbations, mainly in patients with poor perception of airflow limitation.⁹⁹
 - For patients with a history of sudden severe exacerbations.
 - For patients' who have difficult-to-control or severe asthma

For patients carrying out peak-flow monitoring, use of a laterally compressed PEF chart (showing 2 months on a landscape format page) allows more accurate identification of worsening asthma than other charts.¹⁰⁹ One such chart is available for download from www.woolcock.org.au/moreinfo/. There is increasing interest in internet or phone-based monitoring of asthma. Based on existing studies, the main benefit is likely to be for more severe asthma²¹⁸ (Evidence B).

Written asthma action plans

Personal written asthma action plans show patients how to make short-term changes to their treatment in response to changes in their symptoms and/or PEF. They also describe how and when to access medical care.^{219,220}

The benefits of self-management education for asthma morbidity are greater in adults when the action plans include both a step up in ICS and the addition of OCS, and for PEF-based plans, when they are based on personal best rather than percent predicted PEF²²⁰ (Evidence A).

The efficacy of self-management education is similar regardless of whether patients self-adjust their medications according to an individual written plan or whether the medication adjustments are made by a doctor²¹⁷ (Evidence A). Thus patients who are unable to undertake guided self-management can still achieve benefit from a structured program of regular medical review.

Examples of written asthma action plan templates, including for patients with low literacy, can be found on several websites (e.g. Asthma UK, www.asthma.org.uk; Asthma Society of Canada, www.asthma.ca; Family Physician Airways Group of Canada, www.fpagc.com; National Asthma Council Australia, www.nationalasthma.org.au) and in research publications (e.g.^{221,222}). Health care providers should become familiar with action plans that are relevant to their local health care system, treatment options, and cultural and literacy context. Details of the specific treatment adjustments that can be recommended for written asthma action plans are described in the next chapter (Box 4-2, p61).

Regular review by a health care provider

The third component of effective asthma self-management education is regular review by a health care provider. Follow-up consultations should take place at regular intervals. Regular review should include the following.

- Ask the patient if they have any questions and concerns
 - Discuss issues, and provide additional educational messages as necessary; if available, refer the patient to someone trained in asthma education.
- Assess asthma control
 - Review the patient's level of symptom control and risk factors (Box 2-2, p17).
 - Ask about flare-ups to identify contributory factors and whether the patient's response was appropriate (e.g. was an action plan used?)
 - Review the patient's symptom or PEF diary if they keep one.
 - Assess comorbidities
- Assess treatment issues
 - Watch the patient use their inhaler, and correct and re-check technique if necessary (Box 3-11 p42).
 - Assess medication adherence and ask about adherence barriers (Box 3-12, p44)
 - Ask about adherence with other interventions, (e.g. smoking cessation)
 - Review the asthma action plan and update it if level of asthma control or treatment have changed²²³

A single page prompt to clinicians has been shown to improve the provision of preventive care to children with asthma during office visits.²²⁴ Follow-up by tele-healthcare is unlikely to benefit in mild asthma but may be of benefit in those with severe disease at risk of hospital admission.²¹⁸

PART D. MANAGING ASTHMA WITH COMORBIDITIES AND IN SPECIAL POPULATIONS

KEY POINTS

- Identify and manage comorbidities such as rhinosinusitis, obesity and gastro-esophageal reflux disease. Comorbidities may contribute to respiratory symptoms and impaired quality of life, and some contribute to poor asthma control.
- For patients with dyspnea or wheezing on exertion:
 - Distinguish between exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB) and symptoms that result from obesity or a lack of fitness, or are the result of alternative conditions such as upper airway dysfunction
 - Provide advice about preventing and managing EIB
 - Prescribe regular controller medication for patients with asthma symptoms outside of exercising, and for patients who have risk factors for exacerbations.
- Refer patients with difficult-to-treat or severe asthma to a specialist or severe asthma service, after addressing common problems such as incorrect diagnosis, incorrect inhaler technique, ongoing environmental exposures, and poor adherence.

MANAGING COMORBIDITIES

Several comorbidities are commonly present in patients with asthma, particularly those with difficult-to-treat or severe asthma. Active management of comorbidities is recommended because they may contribute to symptom burden, impair quality of life, and lead to medication interactions. Some comorbidities also contribute to poor asthma control.²²⁵

Obesity

Clinical features

Asthma is more difficult to control in obese patients.²²⁶⁻²²⁹ This may be due to a different type of airway inflammation, contributory comorbidities such as obstructive sleep apnea and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), mechanical factors, or other as yet undefined factors. In addition, lack of fitness and reduction in lung volume due to abdominal fat may contribute to dyspnea.

Diagnosis

Document body mass index (BMI) for all patients with asthma. Because of other potential contributors to dyspnea and wheeze in obese patients, it is important to confirm the diagnosis of asthma with objective measurement of variable airflow limitation (Box 1-2, p5). Asthma is more common in obese than non-obese patients,⁴³ but both over- and under-diagnosis of asthma occur in obesity.^{39,44}

Management

As for other patients with asthma, ICS are the mainstay of treatment in obese patients (Evidence B), although their response may be reduced.²²⁹ Weight reduction should be included in the treatment plan for obese patients with asthma (Evidence B). Increased exercise alone appears to be insufficient (Evidence B).²³⁰ Weight loss improves asthma control, lung function, health status and reduces medication needs in obese patients,^{231,232} but the quality of some studies is poor. The most striking results have been observed after bariatric surgery,^{233,234} but even 5–10% weight loss can lead to improved asthma control and quality of life.²³⁰

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD)

Clinical features

GERD can cause symptoms such as heartburn, and epigastric or chest pain, and is also a common cause of dry cough. Symptoms and/or diagnosis of GERD are more common in people with asthma than in the general population,²²⁵ but this may be in part due to cough being attributed to asthma; in addition, some asthma medications such as beta₂-agonists and theophylline cause relaxation of the lower esophageal sphincter. Asymptomatic gastroesophageal reflux is not a likely cause of poorly controlled asthma.²²⁵

Diagnosis

In patients with confirmed asthma, GERD should be considered as a possible cause of a dry cough; however, there is no value in screening patients with uncontrolled asthma for GERD (Evidence A). For patients with asthma and symptoms suggestive of reflux, an empirical trial of anti-reflux medication, such as a proton pump inhibitor or motility agent, may be considered, as in the general population. If the symptoms do not resolve, specific investigations such as 24-hour pH monitoring or endoscopy may be considered.

Management

A review of proton pump inhibitors in patients with confirmed asthma, most of whom had a diagnosis of GERD, showed a significant but small benefit for morning PEF, but no significant benefit for other asthma outcomes.²³⁵ In a study of adult patients with symptomatic asthma but without symptoms of GERD, treatment with high-dose proton pump inhibitors did not reduce asthma symptoms or exacerbations.²³⁶ In general, benefits of proton pump inhibitors in asthma appear to be limited to patients with both symptomatic reflux and night-time respiratory symptoms.²³⁷ Other treatment options include motility agents and lifestyle changes. In summary, symptomatic reflux should be treated, but patients with poorly controlled asthma should not be treated with anti-reflux therapy unless they also have symptomatic reflux (Evidence A). Few data are available for children with asthma symptoms and symptoms of GERD.^{238,239}

Anxiety and depression

Clinical features

Psychiatric disorders, particularly depressive and anxiety disorders, are more prevalent among people with asthma.²⁴⁰ Psychiatric comorbidity is also associated with worse asthma symptom control and medication adherence, and worse asthma-related quality of life.²⁴¹ Anxious and depressive symptoms have been associated with increased asthma-related exacerbations and emergency visits.²⁴² Panic attacks may be mistaken for asthma.

Diagnosis

Although several tools are available for screening for anxious and depressive symptomatology in primary care, the majority have not been validated in asthma populations. Difficulties in distinguishing anxiety or depression from asthma symptoms may therefore lead to misdiagnosis. It is important to be alert to possible depression and/or anxiety in people with asthma, particularly when there is a previous history of these conditions. Where appropriate, patients should be referred to psychiatrists or evaluated with a disease-specific psychiatric diagnostic tool to identify potential cases of depression and/or anxiety.

Management

There have been few good quality pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment trials for anxiety or depression in patients with asthma, and results are inconsistent. A Cochrane review of 15 randomized controlled trials of psychological interventions for adults with asthma included cognitive behavior therapy, psychoeducation, relaxation, and biofeedback.²⁴³ Results for anxiety were conflicting, and none of the studies found significant treatment differences for depression. Drug treatments and cognitive behavior therapy²⁴⁴ have been described as having some potential in

patients with asthma; however, current evidence is limited, with a small number of studies and methodological shortcomings.

Food allergy and anaphylaxis

Clinical features

Rarely, food allergy is a trigger for asthma symptoms (<2% of people with asthma). In patients with confirmed food-induced allergic reactions (anaphylaxis), co-existing asthma is a strong risk factor for more severe and even fatal reactions. Food-induced anaphylaxis often presents as life-threatening asthma.⁷⁷ An analysis of 63 anaphylaxis-related deaths in the United States noted that almost all had a past history of asthma; peanuts and tree nuts were the foods most commonly responsible.²⁴⁵ A UK study of 48 anaphylaxis-related deaths found that most were regularly treated for asthma, and that in most of these, asthma was poorly controlled.²⁴⁶

Diagnosis

In patients with confirmed food allergy, it is important to assess for asthma. Children with food allergy have a four-fold increased likelihood of having asthma compared with children without food allergy.²⁴⁷ Refer patients with suspected food allergy or intolerance for specialist allergy assessment. This may include appropriate allergy testing such as skin prick testing and/or blood testing for specific IgE. On occasion, carefully supervised food challenges may be needed.

Management

Patients who have a confirmed food allergy that puts them at risk for anaphylaxis must be trained and have an epinephrine auto-injector available at all times. They, and their family, must be educated in appropriate food avoidance strategies, and in the medical notes, they should be flagged as being at high risk. It is especially important to ensure that their asthma is well controlled, they have a written action plan, understand the difference between asthma and anaphylaxis, and are reviewed on a regular basis.

Rhinitis, sinusitis and nasal polyps

Clinical features

Evidence clearly supports a link between diseases of the upper and lower airways.²⁴⁸ Most patients with asthma, either allergic or non-allergic, have concurrent rhinitis, and 10–40% of patients with allergic rhinitis have asthma.²⁴⁹ Depending on sensitization and exposure, allergic rhinitis may be seasonal (e.g. ragweed or grass pollen), perennial (e.g. mite allergens), or intermittent (e.g. furred pets).²⁴⁸

Rhinitis is defined as irritation and inflammation of the mucous membranes of the nose. Allergic rhinitis may be accompanied by ocular symptoms (conjunctivitis). Rhinosinusitis is defined as inflammation of the nose and paranasal sinuses characterized by more than two symptoms including nasal blockage/obstruction and/or nasal discharge (anterior/posterior nasal drip).²⁵⁰ Other symptoms may include facial pain/pressure and/or a reduction or loss of smell. Sinusitis rarely occurs in the absence of rhinitis.

Rhinosinusitis is defined as acute when symptoms last <12 weeks with complete resolution, and chronic when symptoms occur on most days for at least 12 weeks without complete resolution. Chronic rhinosinusitis is an inflammatory condition of the paranasal sinuses that encompasses two clinically distinct entities: chronic rhinosinusitis without nasal polyposis and chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis.²⁵¹ The heterogeneity of chronic rhinosinusitis may explain the wide variation in prevalence rates in the general population ranging from 1–10% without polyps and 4% with polyps. Chronic rhinosinusitis is associated with more severe asthma, especially in patients with nasal polyps.²⁵²

Diagnosis

Rhinitis can be classified as either allergic or non-allergic depending on whether allergic sensitization is demonstrated. Variation in symptoms by season or with environmental exposure (e.g. furred pets) suggests allergic rhinitis. Examination of the upper airway should be arranged for patients with severe asthma.

Management

Evidence-based guidelines (Allergic Rhinitis in Asthma, ARIA)²⁵³ recommend intranasal corticosteroids for treatment of both allergic rhinitis and chronic rhinosinusitis. In population-based studies, treatment of rhinitis with intranasal corticosteroids is associated with less need for asthma-related hospitalization and emergency department visits.²⁵⁴ However, no placebo-controlled studies have systematically evaluated the effect of proper treatment and management of chronic rhinosinusitis on asthma control.²⁵³

MANAGING ASTHMA IN SPECIAL POPULATIONS OR SETTINGS

This section includes brief advice about managing asthma in special populations or settings in which the usual treatment approach may need to be modified. Also refer to the *Diagnosis of respiratory symptoms in special populations* section of Chapter 1 (p9).

Adolescents

Clinical features

Care of teenagers with asthma should take into account the rapid physical, emotional, cognitive and social changes that occur during adolescence. Asthma control may improve or worsen, although remission of asthma is seen more commonly in males than females.²⁵⁵ Exploratory and risk-taking behaviors such as smoking occur at a higher rate in adolescents with chronic diseases than in healthy adolescents.

Management

General principles for managing chronic disease in adolescents have been published by WHO.²⁵⁶ Adolescents and their parent/carers should be encouraged in the transition towards asthma self-management by the adolescent. This may involve the transition from a pediatric to an adult health care facility. During consultations, the adolescent should be seen separately from the parent/carer so that sensitive issues such as smoking, adherence and mental health can be discussed privately, and confidentiality agreed. Information and self-management strategies should be tailored to the patient's stage of psychosocial development and desire for autonomy; adolescents are often focused on short-term rather than long-term outcomes. An empathic approach should be used to identify beliefs and behaviors that may be barriers to optimal treatment; for example, adolescents may be concerned about the impact of treatment on their physical or sexual capabilities. Medication regimens should be tailored to the adolescent's needs and lifestyle, and reviews arranged regularly so that the medication regimen can be adjusted for changing needs. Information about local youth-friendly resources and support services should be provided, where available.

Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB)

Clinical features

Physical activity is an important stimulus for asthma symptoms for many patients, with symptoms and bronchoconstriction typically worsening after cessation of exercise. However, shortness of breath or wheezing during exercise may also relate to obesity or a lack of fitness, or to comorbid or alternative conditions such as vocal cord dysfunction.¹⁶

Management

Guidelines for exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB) have been published.¹⁶ Pharmacotherapy can substantially reduce EIB. If the patient's only symptoms are during or after exercise, and no other risk factors for exacerbations are present, an as-needed strategy using inhaled SABA before exercise or to relieve symptoms that develop after exercise is sufficient¹⁶ (Evidence A). However, with regular (more than once-daily) use, tolerance to the protective effects of inhaled beta₂-agonists against EIB develops. LTRA or cromones are alternative pre-exercise treatments¹⁶ (Evidence A). Training and sufficient warm-up also reduce the incidence and severity of EIB¹⁶ (Evidence A).

For patients with asthma symptoms unrelated to exercise, or with any risk factors for exacerbations, regular controller treatment with ICS or LTRA is recommended and generally results in the reduction of EIB¹⁶ (Evidence A). Breakthrough EIB often indicates poorly controlled asthma, and stepping up controller treatment (after checking inhaler technique and adherence) generally results in the reduction of exercise-related symptoms. For patients who still experience EIB despite otherwise well-controlled asthma, SABA or LTRA may be taken prior to exercise or to relieve symptoms that develop after exercise (Evidence A).

Athletes

Clinical features

Athletes, particularly those competing at a high level, have an increased prevalence of various respiratory conditions compared to non-athletes. They experience a higher prevalence of asthma, EIB, allergic or non-allergic rhinitis, chronic cough, vocal cord dysfunction, and recurrent respiratory infections. Airway hyperresponsiveness is common in elite athletes, often without reported symptoms. Asthma in elite athletes is commonly characterized by less correlation between symptoms and pulmonary function; higher lung volumes and expiratory flows; less eosinophilic airway inflammation; more difficulty in controlling symptoms; and some improvement in airway dysfunction after cessation of training.

Management

Preventative measures to avoid high exposure to air pollutants, allergens (if sensitized) and chlorine levels in pools, particularly during training periods, should be discussed with the athlete. They should avoid training in extreme cold or pollution (Evidence C), and the effects of any therapeutic trials of asthma medications should be documented. Adequate anti-inflammatory therapy, especially ICS, is advised; minimization of use of beta₂-agonists will help to avoid the development of tolerance.¹⁶ Information on treatment of exercise-induced asthma in athletes can be found in a Joint Task Force Report prepared by the European Respiratory Society, the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, and GA(2)LEN²⁵⁷ and the World Anti-Doping Agency website (www.wada-ama.org).

Pregnancy

Clinical features

Asthma control often changes during pregnancy; in approximately one-third of women asthma symptoms worsen, in one-third they improve, and in the remaining one-third they remain unchanged.²⁵⁸ Exacerbations are common in pregnancy, particularly in the second trimester.⁸⁰ Exacerbations and poor asthma control during pregnancy may be due to mechanical or hormonal changes, or to cessation or reduction of asthma medications due to concerns by the mother and/or the health care provider. Pregnant women appear to be particularly susceptible to the effects of viral respiratory infections, including influenza. Exacerbations and poor symptom control are associated with worse outcomes for both the baby (pre-term delivery, low birth weight, increased perinatal mortality) and the mother (pre-eclampsia).⁸⁰ If asthma is well controlled throughout pregnancy there is little or no increased risk of adverse maternal or fetal complications.³²

Management

Although there is a general concern about any medication use in pregnancy, the advantages of actively treating asthma in pregnancy markedly outweigh any potential risks of usual controller and reliever medications³² (Evidence A). For this reason, using medications to achieve good symptom control and prevent exacerbations is justified even when their safety in pregnancy has not been unequivocally proven. Use of ICS, beta₂-agonists, montelukast or theophylline is not associated with an increased incidence of fetal abnormalities.²⁵⁹ ICS prevent exacerbations of asthma during pregnancy.^{32,260,261} (Evidence A), and cessation of ICS during pregnancy is a significant risk factor for exacerbations⁸⁰ (Evidence A). One study reported that monthly FENO-guided treatment in pregnancy was associated with fewer exacerbations and better fetal outcomes than an ACQ-based algorithm;²⁶² however, given the design of the control algorithm, the results cannot be compared with current treatment recommendations. On balance, given the evidence in

pregnancy for adverse outcomes from exacerbations³² (Evidence A) and for safety of usual doses of ICS and LABA²⁵⁹ (Evidence A), a low priority should be placed on stepping down treatment (however guided) until after delivery (Evidence D).

Despite lack of evidence for adverse effects of asthma treatment in pregnancy, many women and doctors remain concerned.²⁶³ Pregnant patients with asthma should be advised that poorly controlled asthma, and exacerbations provide a much greater risk to their baby than do current asthma treatments. Educational resources about asthma management during pregnancy (e.g. ²⁶⁴) may provide additional reassurance.

During acute asthma exacerbations, pregnant women may be less likely to be treated appropriately than non-pregnant patients.⁸⁰ To avoid fetal hypoxia, it is important to aggressively treat acute exacerbations during pregnancy with SABA, oxygen and early administration of systemic corticosteroids.

Occupational asthma

Clinical features

In the occupational setting, rhinitis often precedes the development of asthma (see p9 regarding diagnosis of occupational asthma). Once a patient has become sensitized to an occupational allergen, the level of exposure necessary to induce symptoms may be extremely low; resulting exacerbations become increasingly severe, and with continued exposure, persistent symptoms and irreversible airflow limitation may result.²⁸

Management

Detailed information is available in evidence-based guidelines about management of occupational asthma.²⁸ All patients with adult-onset asthma should be asked about their work history and other exposures (Evidence A). The early identification and elimination of occupational sensitizers and the removal of sensitized patients from any further exposure are important aspects of the management of occupational asthma (Evidence A). Attempts to reduce occupational exposure have been successful, especially in industrial settings.²⁸ Cost-effective minimization of latex sensitization can be achieved by using non-powdered low-allergen gloves instead of powdered latex gloves.²⁸ Patients with suspected or confirmed occupational asthma should be referred for expert assessment and advice, if this is available, because of the economic and legal implications of the diagnosis (Evidence A)

The elderly

Clinical features

Lung function generally decreases with longer duration of asthma and increasing age, due to stiffness of the chest wall, reduced respiratory muscle function, loss of elastic recoil and airway wall remodeling. Older patients may not report asthma symptoms, and may attribute breathlessness to normal aging or comorbidities such as cardiovascular disease and obesity.²⁶⁵⁻²⁶⁷ Comorbid arthritis may contribute to reduced exercise capacity and lack of fitness, and make inhaler device use difficult. Asthma costs may be higher amongst older patients, because of higher hospitalization rates and medication costs.²⁶⁶

Management

Decisions about management of asthma in older people with asthma need to take into account both the usual goals of symptom control and risk minimization and the impact of comorbidities, concurrent treatments and lack of self-management skills.^{265,266} Data on efficacy of asthma medications in the elderly are limited because these patients are often excluded from major clinical trials. Side-effects of beta₂-agonists such as cardiotoxicity, and corticosteroid side-effects such as skin bruising, osteoporosis, and cataracts, are more common in the elderly than in younger adults.²⁶⁵ Clearance of theophylline is also reduced.²⁶⁵ Elderly patients should be asked about all of the other medications they are taking, including eye-drops, and potential drug interactions should be considered. Factors such as arthritis, muscle weakness, impaired vision and inspiratory flow should be considered when choosing inhaler devices for older

patients,^{266,268} and inhaler technique should be checked at each visit. Older patients may have difficulties with complex medication regimens, and prescribing of multiple inhaler devices should be avoided if possible. Large print versions may be needed for written information such as asthma action plans. Patients with cognitive impairment may require a carer to help them use their asthma medications. For diagnosis and initial management of patients with asthma-COPD overlap, see Chapter 5, p73.

Surgery and asthma

Clinical features

There is no evidence of increased peri-operative risk for the general asthma population.²⁶⁹ However, there is an increased risk for patients with COPD,²⁶⁹ and this may also apply to asthma patients with reduced FEV₁. The incidence of severe peri-operative bronchospasm in people with asthma is low, but it may be life threatening.²⁷⁰

Management

For elective surgery, meticulous attention should be paid pre-operatively to achieving good asthma control, as detailed elsewhere in this chapter, especially for patients with more severe asthma, uncontrolled symptoms, exacerbation history, or fixed airflow limitation²⁷⁰ (Evidence B). For patients requiring emergency surgery, the risks of proceeding without first achieving good asthma control should be weighed against the need for immediate surgery. Patients taking long-term high-dose ICS or who have received OCS for more than 2 weeks during the previous 6 months should receive hydrocortisone peri-operatively as they are at risk of adrenal crisis in the context of surgery²⁷¹ (Evidence B). More immediate intra-operative issues relating to asthma management are reviewed in detail elsewhere.²⁷⁰ For all patients, maintaining regular controller therapy throughout the peri-operative period is important.

Aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease

Clinical features

The clinical picture and course of aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease (AERD, previously called aspirin-induced asthma) are well established.²⁷² It starts with nasal congestion and anosmia, and progresses to chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps that re-grow rapidly after surgery. Asthma and hypersensitivity to aspirin develop subsequently. Following ingestion of aspirin or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), an acute asthma attack develops within minutes to 1–2 hours. It is usually accompanied by rhinorrhea, nasal obstruction, conjunctival irritation, and scarlet flush of the head and neck, and may sometimes progress to severe bronchospasm, shock, loss of consciousness, and respiratory arrest.^{273,274} AERD is more likely to be associated with low lung function and severe asthma.²⁷⁵

Diagnosis

A history of exacerbation following ingestion of aspirin or other NSAIDs is highly suggestive of AERD. Aspirin challenge (oral, bronchial or nasal) is the gold standard for diagnosis^{276,277} as there are no reliable *in vitro* tests, but oral aspirin challenge tests must only be conducted in a specialized center with cardiopulmonary resuscitation capabilities because of the high risk of severe reactions.^{276,277} Bronchial (inhalational) and nasal challenges with lysine aspirin are safer than oral challenges and may be safely performed in allergy centers.^{277,278}

Management

Patients with AERD should avoid aspirin or NSAID-containing products and other medications that inhibit cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1), but this does not prevent progression of the disease. Where an NSAID is indicated for other medical conditions, a COX-2 inhibitor (e.g. celecoxib, or etoricoxib), or paracetamol (acetaminophen), may be considered²⁷⁹ with appropriate health care provider supervision and observation for at least 2 hours after administration²⁸⁰ (Evidence B). ICS are the mainstay of asthma therapy in AERD, but OCS are sometimes required; LTRA may also be useful^{277,281} (Evidence B). An additional option is desensitization, which may be conducted under specialist care in a clinic or hospital.²⁸² Desensitization to aspirin followed by daily aspirin treatment can significantly

improve overall symptoms and quality of life, decrease formation of nasal polyps and sinus infections, reduce the need for OCS and sinus surgery, and improve nasal and asthma scores.²⁷⁷

Difficult-to-treat and severe asthma

Although the majority of patients can achieve the goal of well controlled asthma, some patients' asthma will not be well controlled even with optimal therapy.⁹⁵ The term '*difficult-to-treat*' asthma is used for patients in whom ongoing factors such as comorbidities, poor adherence, and allergen exposure interfere with achieving good asthma control. '*Treatment-resistant*' or '*refractory*' asthma refers to patients with a confirmed diagnosis of asthma, whose symptoms or exacerbations remain poorly controlled despite high-dose ICS plus a second controller such as LABA (and/or systemic corticosteroids) and management of comorbidities, or whose asthma control deteriorates when this treatment is stepped down. *Severe asthma* includes patients with refractory asthma, and those in whom response to treatment of comorbidities is incomplete.¹¹⁰

Diagnosis

Factors that should be assessed and addressed in patients with uncontrolled asthma, before assuming that they have severe asthma, are shown in Box 2-4 (p22). Confirmation of the diagnosis is important, because in 12–50% of people assumed to have severe asthma, asthma is not found to be the correct diagnosis.²⁸³ Strategies for confirming the diagnosis of asthma in patients already taking controller treatment are shown in Box 1-4 (p10).

Clinical features

Many people with severe or difficult-to-treat asthma experience frequent or persistent asthma symptoms, frequent exacerbations, persistent loss of lung function, substantial impairment of quality of life, and troublesome comorbidities such as anxiety and depression.^{110,284} There is substantial heterogeneity in the clinical and inflammatory features of severe asthma, with several studies identifying clusters of patients with features such as early-onset severe allergic asthma; late onset non-atopic steroid-dependent asthma with fixed airways obstruction; and older obese women with late onset asthma.^{5,6,126,283} To date, only a few specific targetable biological pathways have been identified,^{7,133,134} but this is an area of active research.

Management

Referral of patients with severe asthma to a health care provider with expertise in asthma management may be helpful for investigation and treatment. Additional investigations that should be considered for patients suspected of having severe asthma, and additional therapies or strategies that may assist in their management, are shown in Box 3-14.

When potential reasons for a lack of treatment response have been considered and addressed, a compromise level of asthma control may need to be accepted and discussed with the patient to avoid futile over-treatment (with its attendant cost and potential side-effects) (Evidence D). The objective is then to minimize exacerbations and the need for emergency medical interventions while achieving as high a level of symptom control as is feasible.¹¹⁰ This should be achieved with as little disruption of activities and as few daily symptoms and side-effects as possible.¹¹⁰

Box 3-14. Investigation and management of severe asthma

Investigations in severe asthma

- **Confirmation of the diagnosis of asthma:** upper airway dysfunction, concurrent COPD, and recurrent respiratory infections must be considered as alternative diagnoses or as contributors to persistent symptoms (Box 1-3, p8).¹¹⁰
- **Investigation for comorbidities,** including chronic sinusitis, obesity, GERD, obstructive sleep apnea and psychological or psychiatric disorders, that may worsen asthma control or contribute to symptoms. The ability to improve severe asthma by treating comorbidities remains unconfirmed (see '*Managing comorbidities*', p47).¹¹⁰
- **Checking of inhaler technique and medication adherence:** incorrect inhaler use⁷¹ and poor adherence²⁸⁵ are the most common reasons for failure to achieve good asthma control (see Box 3-11 p42, Box 3-12 p44), and they are also found in severe asthma. In difficult-to-treat asthma, adherence and health outcomes may be improved with a comprehensive adherence-promoting intervention.²⁸⁶
- **Investigation for persistent environmental exposure to allergens or toxic substances:** these triggers, if present at home or workplace should be addressed and removed whenever possible (see Box 3-8 and Appendix Chapter 6).

Management of severe asthma

Very few patients are completely resistant to corticosteroids, so ICS remain the mainstay of therapy for difficult-to-treat asthma. Additional therapeutic options include:

- **Optimization of ICS/LABA dose:** some patients may respond to higher doses of ICS than are routinely recommended for general use²⁸⁷ (Evidence B). However, this carries the risk of systemic side-effects,²⁸³ after some months dose optimization should be pursued by stepping down slowly at 3–6 month intervals; see Box 3-7 (p37) (Evidence D).
- **Oral corticosteroids:** some patients with severe asthma may benefit from low dose maintenance OCS treatment²⁸³ (Evidence D), but the potential long-term side-effects should be taken into account. Patients should be monitored for risk of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis, and those expected to be treated for ≥ 3 months should be provided with relevant lifestyle counselling and prescription of therapy for prevention of osteoporosis (where appropriate).¹⁷⁶
- **Add-on treatments without phenotyping:** other add-on controller medications such as theophylline and LTRAs, although suggested for severe asthma, appear in the small number of available studies to be of limited benefit. In patients selected for uncontrolled symptoms and persistent airflow limitation despite moderate-high dose ICS and LABA, add-on treatment with the long-acting anti-cholinergic bronchodilator, tiotropium*, showed improved lung function and decreased reliever use.²⁸⁸
- **Sputum-guided treatment:** in centers with specific expertise in inducing and analyzing sputum, adjusting treatment for severe asthma on the basis of sputum eosinophils may allow corticosteroid dose and/or exacerbation frequency to be reduced¹³⁰ (Evidence A).
- **Phenotype-guided add-on treatment:** patients with severe asthma may benefit from phenotyping into categories such as severe allergic, aspirin-exacerbated or eosinophilic asthma.^{5,6,126,283} Patients with severe allergic asthma with elevated IgE levels may benefit from anti-IgE therapy²⁸⁹ (Evidence A), and LTRAs may be helpful for patients found to be aspirin sensitive²⁸⁰ (Evidence B).
- **Non-pharmacological interventions:** bronchial thermoplasty may be helpful in selected patients with severe asthma (Evidence B),⁹⁰ but more studies are needed to identify its efficacy and long-term safety in broader severe asthma populations¹¹⁰ (see Appendix Chapter 6). Carefully controlled trials are important as a large placebo effect has been seen in studies to date.⁹⁰ High-altitude treatment²⁸³ (Evidence C) or psychological interventions²⁹⁰ (Evidence C) may be helpful in patients with severe asthma. The place of these therapies and strategies in severe asthma has not been established.¹¹⁰

*This treatment does not appear in the recommendations in Box 3-5 as it has not yet been approved for asthma by a major regulator.

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT ALTER OR REPRODUCE

Chapter 4.

**Management of
worsening asthma
and exacerbations**

KEY POINTS

- Exacerbations represent an acute or sub-acute worsening in symptoms and lung function from the patient's usual status, or in some cases, the initial presentation of asthma. The terms 'episodes', 'attacks' and 'acute severe asthma' are also often used, but they have variable meanings. The term 'flare-up' is preferred for use in discussions with patients.
- Patients who are at increased risk of asthma-related death should be identified, and flagged for more frequent review.
- The management of worsening asthma and exacerbations is part of a continuum, from self-management by the patient with a written asthma action plan, through to management of more severe symptoms in primary care, the emergency department and in hospital.
- All patients should be provided with a written asthma action plan appropriate for their level of asthma control and health literacy, so they know how to recognize and respond to worsening asthma.
 - The action plan should include when and how to change reliever and controller medications, use oral corticosteroids, and access medical care if symptoms fail to respond to treatment.
 - Patients who deteriorate quickly should be advised to go to an acute care facility or see their doctor immediately.
 - The action plan can be based on changes in symptoms or (only in adults) peak expiratory flow.
- For patients presenting with an exacerbation to a primary care or acute care facility:
 - Assessment of exacerbation severity should be based on the degree of dyspnea, respiratory rate, pulse rate, oxygen saturation and lung function, while starting short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA) and oxygen therapy.
 - Immediate transfer should be arranged to an acute care facility if there are signs of severe exacerbation, or to intensive care if the patient is drowsy, confused, or has a silent chest. While transferring the patient, SABA therapy, controlled oxygen and systemic corticosteroids should be given.
 - Treatment should be started with repeated administration of SABA (in most patients, by pressurized metered dose inhaler and spacer), early introduction of oral corticosteroids, and controlled flow oxygen if available. Response of symptoms, oxygen saturation and lung function should be reviewed after 1 hour.
 - Ipratropium bromide treatment is recommended only for severe exacerbations.
 - Intravenous magnesium sulfate should be considered for patients with severe exacerbations not responding to initial treatment.
 - Chest X-ray is not routinely recommended.
 - Decisions about hospitalization should be based on clinical status, lung function, response to treatment, recent and past history of exacerbations, and ability to manage at home.
 - Before the patient goes home, ongoing treatment should be arranged. This should include starting controller treatment or stepping up the dose of existing controller treatment for 2–4 weeks, and reducing reliever medication to as-needed use.
- Antibiotics should not be routinely prescribed for asthma exacerbations.
- Arrange early follow-up after any exacerbation, regardless of where it was managed.
 - Review the patient's symptom control and risk factors for further exacerbations.
 - For most patients, prescribe regular controller therapy to reduce the risk of further exacerbations. Continue increased controller doses for 2–4 weeks.
 - Check inhaler technique and adherence.

For management of asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger, see Chapter 6, p98.

OVERVIEW

Definition of asthma exacerbations

Exacerbations of asthma are episodes characterized by a progressive increase in symptoms of shortness of breath, cough, wheezing or chest tightness and progressive decrease in lung function, i.e. they represent a change from the patient's usual status that is sufficient to require a change in treatment.¹⁴ Exacerbations may occur in patients with a pre-existing diagnosis of asthma or, occasionally, as the first presentation of asthma. Exacerbations usually occur in response to exposure to an external agent (e.g. viral upper respiratory tract infection, pollen or pollution) and/or poor adherence with controller medication; however, a subset of patients present more acutely and without exposure to known risk factors.²⁹¹ Severe exacerbations can occur in patients with mild or well-controlled asthma.^{9,141}

Terminology about exacerbations

The academic term '*exacerbation*' is commonly used in scientific and clinical literature, although hospital-based studies more often refer to '*acute severe asthma*'. However, the term '*exacerbation*' is not suitable for use in clinical practice, as it is difficult for many patients to pronounce and remember.^{292,293} The term '*flare-up*' is simpler, and conveys the sense that asthma is present even when symptoms are absent. The term '*attack*' is used by many patients and health care providers but with widely varying meanings, and it may not be perceived as including gradual worsening.^{292,293} In pediatric literature, the term 'episode' is commonly used, but understanding of this term by parent/carers is not known.

Identifying patients at risk of asthma-related death

In addition to factors known to increase the risk of asthma exacerbations (Box 2-2, p17), some features are specifically associated with an increase in the risk of asthma-related death (Box 4-1). The presence of one or more of these risk factors should be quickly identifiable in the clinical notes, and these patients should be encouraged to seek urgent medical care early in the course of an exacerbation.

Box 4-1. Factors that increase the risk of asthma-related death

- A history of near-fatal asthma requiring intubation and mechanical ventilation²⁹⁴
- Hospitalization²⁹⁴ or emergency care visit for asthma in the past year
- Currently using or having recently stopped using oral corticosteroids (a marker of event severity)²⁹⁴
- Not currently using inhaled corticosteroids^{70,294}
- Over-use of SABAs, especially use of more than one canister of salbutamol (or equivalent) monthly^{81,295}
- A history of psychiatric disease or psychosocial problems,⁷⁴
- Poor adherence with asthma medications and/or poor adherence with (or lack of) a written asthma action plan⁷⁴
- Food allergy in a patient with asthma^{246,296}

DIAGNOSIS OF EXACERBATIONS

Exacerbations represent a change in symptoms and lung function from the patient's usual status.¹⁴ The decrease in expiratory airflow can be quantified by lung function measurements such as peak expiratory flow (PEF) or forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁),²⁹⁷ compared with the patient's previous lung function or predicted values. In the acute setting, these measurements are more reliable indicators of the severity of the exacerbation than symptoms. The frequency of symptoms may, however, be a more sensitive measure of the onset of an exacerbation than PEF.²⁹⁸

A minority of patients may perceive symptoms poorly and experience a significant decline in lung function without a perceptible change in symptoms.^{99,100,108} This situation especially affects patients with a history of near-fatal asthma and also appears to be more common in males.

Severe exacerbations are potentially life threatening and their treatment requires careful assessment and close monitoring. Patients with severe exacerbations should be advised to see their health care provider promptly or, depending on the organization of local health services, to proceed to the nearest facility that provides emergency access for patients with acute asthma.

SELF-MANAGEMENT OF EXACERBATIONS WITH A WRITTEN ASTHMA ACTION PLAN

All patients with asthma should be provided with guided self-management education as described in Chapter 3 (p42), including monitoring of symptoms and/or lung function, a written asthma action plan, and regular review by a health professional.¹¹³ (For children 5 years and younger, see Chapter 6, p97.)

Treatment options for written asthma action plans

A written asthma action plan helps patients to recognize and respond appropriately to worsening asthma. It should include specific instructions for the patient about changes to reliever and controller medications, how to use oral corticosteroids (OCS) if needed (Box 4-2) and when and how to access medical care.

The criteria for initiating an increase in controller medication will vary from patient to patient. For patients taking conventional maintenance ICS-containing treatment, this should generally be increased when there is a clinically important change from the patient's usual level of asthma control, for example, if asthma symptoms are interfering with normal activities, or PEF has fallen by >20% for more than 2 days.²²⁰

Inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonists

Repeated dosing with inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA) bronchodilators provides temporary relief until the cause of the worsening symptoms passes or increased controller treatment has had time to take effect. The need for repeated doses over more than 1–2 days signals the need to review, and possibly increase, controller treatment if this has not already been done. This is particularly important if there has been a lack of response to increased use of beta₂-agonist therapy. Although the rapid-acting long-acting beta₂-agonist formoterol has been studied in the emergency department management of acute asthma,²⁹⁹ its use in a separate inhaler is no longer recommended in asthma, in order to avoid the possibility of it being used without concomitant inhaled corticosteroids (ICS).

Inhaled corticosteroids

In a systematic review of self-management studies, action plans in which the ICS dose was at least doubled were associated with improved asthma outcomes and reduced health care utilization.²²⁰ In placebo-controlled trials, temporarily doubling the dose of ICS was not effective³⁰⁰ (Evidence A); however, the delay before increasing the ICS dose (mean 5–7 days^{301,302}) may have contributed. Only one small study of doubling ICS has been carried out in children.³⁰³ There is emerging evidence in adults³⁰⁴ and young children³⁰⁵ that higher ICS doses might help prevent worsening asthma progressing to a severe exacerbation. Patients who quadrupled their ICS dose (to average of 2000mcg/day BDP equivalent) after their PEF fell were significantly less likely to require OCS.³⁰⁶ In adult patients with an acute deterioration, high-dose ICS for 7–14 days (500–1600mcg BDP-HFA equivalent) had an equivalent effect to a short course of OCS³⁰⁴ (Evidence A).


Combination low dose ICS (budesonide or beclometasone) with rapid-onset LABA (formoterol)

The combination of rapid-onset LABA (formoterol) and low dose ICS (budesonide or beclometasone) in a single inhaler as both the controller and the reliever medication is effective in improving asthma control,¹²⁹ and in at-risk patients, reduces exacerbations requiring OCS, and hospitalizations¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁵⁸ (Evidence A). The combination ICS/formoterol inhaler may be taken up to a maximum total formoterol dose of 72 mcg in a day (Evidence A). The benefit of this regimen in preventing exacerbations appears to be due to intervention at a *very early stage* of worsening asthma.¹⁵⁸ This regimen is also effective in reducing exacerbations in children aged 4–11 years,³⁰⁷ (Evidence B), but it is not approved for this age group in many countries. This approach should not be attempted with other combination controller therapies with a slower-onset LABA, or if evidence of efficacy and safety with this regimen is lacking.

Box 4-2. Self-management of worsening asthma in adults and adolescents with a written asthma action plan

Effective asthma self-management education requires:

- Self-monitoring of symptoms and/or lung function
- Written asthma action plan
- Regular medical review



Medication	Short-term change (1-2 weeks) for worsening asthma	Evidence Level
Increase usual reliever:		
Short-acting beta ₂ -agonist (SABA)	Increase frequency of SABA use For pMDI, add spacer	A
Low dose ICS/formoterol *	Increase frequency of reliever use (maximum formoterol total 72 mcg/day)	A
Increase usual controller:		
Maintenance and reliever ICS/formoterol *	Continue maintenance ICS/formoterol and increase reliever ICS/formoterol as needed* (maximum formoterol total 72 mcg/day)	A
Maintenance ICS with SABA as reliever	At least double ICS; consider increasing ICS to high dose (maximum 2000 mcg/day BDP equivalent)	B
Maintenance ICS/formoterol with SABA as reliever	Quadruple maintenance ICS/formoterol (maximum formoterol 72 mcg/day)	B
Maintenance ICS/salmeterol with SABA as reliever	Step up to higher dose formulation of ICS/salmeterol, or consider adding a separate ICS inhaler (to maximum total 2000 mcg/day BDP equivalent)	D
Add oral corticosteroids (OCS) and contact doctor		
OCS (prednisone or prednisolone)	Add OCS for severe exacerbations (e.g. PEF or FEV ₁ <60% personal best or predicted), or patient not responding to treatment over 48 hours	A
	<i>Adults:</i> prednisolone 1 mg/kg/day (maximum 50 mg) usually for 5–7 days. <i>Children:</i> 1–2 mg/kg/day (maximum 40 mg) usually for 3–5 days.	D
	Tapering is not needed if OCS are prescribed for <2 weeks	B

BDP: beclometasone dipropionate; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; ICS: inhaled corticosteroid; PEF: peak expiratory flow; SABA: short-acting beta₂-agonist. Options are listed in order of evidence.

*ICS/formoterol maintenance and reliever regimen: low dose budesonide or beclometasone with formoterol. This regimen is not approved for children <12 years in many countries.

Other combination ICS/LABA controllers

For adults taking combination ICS/LABA as a fixed dose maintenance controller medication, the ICS dose may be increased by adding a separate ICS inhaler³⁰⁴ (Evidence D). More research is needed to standardize this strategy.

Leukotriene receptor antagonists

For patients using a leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) as their controller, there are no specific studies about how to manage worsening asthma. Clinician judgment should be used (Evidence D).

Oral corticosteroids

For most patients, the written asthma action plan should provide instructions for when and how to commence OCS. Typically, a short course of OCS is used (e.g. 40–50 mg/day usually for 5–7 days,³⁰⁴ Evidence B) for patients who:

- Fail to respond to an increase in reliever and controller medication for 2–3 days
- Deteriorate rapidly or who have a PEF or FEV₁ <60% of their personal best or predicted value
- Have a history of sudden severe exacerbations.

For children 6–11 years, the recommended dose of OCS is 1–2 mg/kg/day to a maximum of 40 mg/day (Evidence B), usually for 3–5 days. Patients should contact their doctor if they start taking OCS (Evidence D).

Reviewing response

Patients should see their doctor immediately or present to an acute care unit if their asthma continues to deteriorate despite following their written asthma action plan, or if their asthma suddenly worsens.

Follow up after a self-managed exacerbation

After a self-managed exacerbation, patients should see their primary care health care provider for a semi-urgent review (e.g. within 1–2 weeks), for assessment of symptom control and additional risk factors for exacerbations (Box 2-2, p17), and to identify the potential cause of the exacerbation. The written asthma action plan should be reviewed to see if it met the patient's needs. Maintenance controller treatment can generally be resumed at previous levels 2–4 weeks after the exacerbation (Evidence D), unless the history suggests that the exacerbation occurred on a background of long-term poorly controlled asthma. In this situation, provided inhaler technique and adherence have been checked, a step up in treatment is indicated (Box 3-5, p31).

MANAGEMENT OF ASTHMA EXACERBATIONS IN PRIMARY CARE

Assessing exacerbation severity

A brief focused history and relevant physical examination should be conducted concurrently with the prompt initiation of therapy, and findings documented in the notes. If the patient shows signs of a severe or life-threatening exacerbation, treatment with SABA, controlled oxygen and systemic corticosteroids should be initiated while arranging for the patient's urgent transfer to an acute care facility where monitoring and expertise are more readily available. Milder exacerbations can usually be treated in a primary care setting, depending on resources and expertise.

History

The history should include:

- Timing of onset and cause (if known) of the present exacerbation
- Severity of asthma symptoms, including any limiting exercise or disturbing sleep
- Any symptoms of anaphylaxis
- Any risk factors for asthma-related death (Box 4-1, p59)
- All current reliever and controller medications, including doses and devices prescribed, adherence pattern, any recent dose changes, and response to current therapy.

Physical examination

The physical examination should assess:

- Signs of exacerbation severity (Box 4-3, p64) and vital signs (e.g. level of consciousness, temperature, pulse rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, ability to complete sentences, use of accessory muscles, wheeze).
- Complicating factors (e.g. anaphylaxis, pneumonia, pneumothorax)
- Signs of alternative conditions that could explain acute breathlessness (e.g. cardiac failure, upper airway dysfunction, inhaled foreign body or pulmonary embolism).

Objective measurements

- Pulse oximetry. Saturation levels <90% in children or adults signal the need for aggressive therapy.
- PEF in patients older than 5 years (Box 4-3, p64)

Treating exacerbations in primary care


The main initial therapies include repetitive administration of short-acting inhaled bronchodilators, early introduction of systemic corticosteroids, and controlled flow oxygen supplementation.²⁹⁷ The aim is to rapidly relieve airflow obstruction and hypoxemia, address the underlying inflammatory pathophysiology, and prevent relapse.

Inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonists

For mild to moderate exacerbations, repeated administration of inhaled SABA (up to 4–10 puffs every 20 minutes for the first hour) is usually the most effective and efficient way to achieve rapid reversal of airflow limitation³⁰⁸ (Evidence A). After the first hour, the dose of SABA required varies from 4–10 puffs every 3–4 hours up to 6–10 puffs every 1–2 hours, or more often. No additional SABA is needed if there is a good response to initial treatment (e.g. PEF >60–80% of predicted or personal best for 3–4 hours).

Delivery of SABA via a pMDI and spacer leads to a similar improvement in lung function as delivery via nebulizer³⁰⁸ (Evidence A); however, patients with acute severe asthma were not included in these studies. The most cost-effective route of delivery is pMDI and spacer,³⁰⁹ provided the patient can use this device. Because of the static charge on plastic spacers, they should be pre-washed with detergent and air-dried to be ready for immediate use; if a pre-treated spacer is not available, a new spacer should be primed with at least 20 puffs of salbutamol before use.³¹⁰

Box 4-3. Management of asthma exacerbations in primary care



O₂: oxygen; PEF: peak expiratory flow; SABA: short-acting beta₂-agonist

Controlled oxygen therapy (if available)

Oxygen therapy should be titrated against pulse oximetry (if available) to maintain oxygen saturation at 93–95% (94–98% for children 6–11 years). Controlled or titrated oxygen therapy gives better clinical outcomes than high-flow 100% oxygen therapy³¹¹⁻³¹³ (Evidence B). Oxygen should not be withheld if oximetry is not available, but the patient should be monitored for deterioration, somnolence or fatigue.

Systemic corticosteroids

OCS should be given promptly, especially if the patient is deteriorating, or had already increased their reliever and controller medications before presenting (Evidence B). The recommended dose for adults is 1 mg prednisolone/kg/day or equivalent up to a maximum of 50 mg/day, and 1–2 mg/kg/day for children 6–11 years up to a maximum of 40 mg/day). OCS should usually be continued for 5–7 days^{314,315} (Evidence B).

Controller medication

Patients already prescribed controller medication should be provided with advice about increasing the dose for the next 2–4 weeks, as summarized in Box 4-2 (p61). Patients not currently taking controller medication should usually be commenced on regular ICS-containing therapy, as an exacerbation requiring medical care indicates that the patient is at increased risk of future exacerbations (Box 2-2, p17).

Antibiotics (not recommended)

Evidence does not support a role of antibiotics in asthma exacerbations unless there is strong evidence of lung infection (e.g. fever and purulent sputum or radiographic evidence of pneumonia). Aggressive treatment with corticosteroids should be implemented before antibiotics are considered.

Reviewing response

During treatment, patients should be closely monitored, and treatment titrated according to their response. Patients who present with signs of a severe or life-threatening exacerbation (Box 4-3, p64), who fail to respond to treatment, or who continue to deteriorate should be transferred immediately to an acute care facility. Patients with little or slow response to SABA treatment should be closely monitored.

For many patients, lung function can be monitored after SABA therapy is initiated. Additional treatment should continue until PEF or FEV₁ reaches a plateau or (ideally) returns to the patient's previous best. A decision can then be made whether to send the patient home or transfer them to an acute care facility.

Follow up

Discharge medications should include as-needed reliever medication, OCS and, for most patients, regular controller treatment. Inhaler technique and adherence should be reviewed before discharge. A follow-up appointment should be arranged for about 2–7 days later, depending on the clinical and social context.

At the review visit the health care provider should assess the patient's level of symptom control and risk factors; explore the potential cause of the exacerbation; and review the written asthma action plan (or provide one if the patient does not already have one). Maintenance controller treatment can generally be resumed at previous levels 2–4 weeks after the exacerbation, unless the exacerbation was preceded by symptoms suggestive of chronically poorly controlled asthma. In this situation, provided inhaler technique and adherence have been checked, a step up in treatment (Box 3-5, p31) is indicated.

MANAGEMENT OF ASTHMA EXACERBATIONS IN THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT

Severe exacerbations of asthma are life-threatening medical emergencies, which are most safely managed in an acute care setting e.g. emergency department (Box 4-4). Management of asthma in the intensive care unit is beyond the scope of this report and readers are referred to a recent comprehensive review.³¹⁶

Assessment

History

A brief history and physical examination should be conducted concurrently with the prompt initiation of therapy.

- Time of onset and cause (if known) of the present exacerbation
- Severity of asthma symptoms, including any limiting exercise or disturbing sleep
- Any symptoms of anaphylaxis
- Risk factors for asthma-related death (Box 4-1, p59)
- All current reliever and controller medications, including doses and devices prescribed, adherence pattern, any recent dose changes, and response to current therapy.

Physical examination

The physical examination should assess:


- Signs of exacerbation severity (Box 4-4), including vital signs (e.g. level of consciousness, temperature, pulse rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, ability to complete sentences, use of accessory muscles)
- Complicating factors (e.g. anaphylaxis, pneumonia, atelectasis, pneumothorax or pneumomediastinum)
- Signs of alternative conditions that could explain acute breathlessness (e.g. cardiac failure, upper airway dysfunction, inhaled foreign body or pulmonary embolism).

Objective assessments

Objective assessments are also needed as the physical examination alone may not indicate the severity of the exacerbation.^{317,318} However, patients, and not their laboratory values, should be the focus of treatment.

- *Measurement of lung function:* this is strongly recommended. If possible, and without unduly delaying treatment, PEF or FEV₁ should be recorded before treatment is initiated, although spirometry may not be possible in children with acute asthma. Lung function should be monitored at one hour and at intervals until a clear response to treatment has occurred or a plateau is reached.
- *Oxygen saturation:* this should be closely monitored, preferably by pulse oximetry. This is especially useful in children if they are unable to perform PEF. In children, oxygen saturation is normally >95%, and saturation <92% is a predictor of the need for hospitalization³¹⁹ (Evidence C). Saturation levels <90% in children or adults signal the need for aggressive therapy. Subject to clinical urgency, saturation should be assessed before oxygen is commenced, or 5 minutes after oxygen is removed or when saturation stabilizes.
- *Arterial blood gas measurements are not routinely required:*³²⁰ They should be considered for patients with a PEF or FEV₁ <50% predicted, or for those who do not respond to initial treatment or are deteriorating. Supplemental controlled oxygen should be continued while blood gases are obtained. A PaO₂ <60 mmHg (8 kPa) and normal or increased PaCO₂ (especially >45 mmHg, 6 kPa) indicate respiratory failure. Fatigue and somnolence suggest that pCO₂ may be increasing and airway intervention may be needed.
- *Chest X-ray (CXR) is not routinely recommended:* In adults, CXR should be considered if a complicating or alternative cardiopulmonary process is suspected (especially in older patients), or for patients who are not responding to treatment where a pneumothorax may be difficult to diagnose clinically.³²¹ Similarly, in children, routine CXR is not recommended unless there are physical signs suggestive of pneumothorax, parenchymal disease or an inhaled foreign body. Features associated with positive CXR findings in children include fever, no family history of asthma, and localized lung examination findings.³²²

Box 4-4. Management of asthma exacerbations in acute care facility, e.g. emergency department



ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; ICU: intensive care unit; IV: intravenous; O₂: oxygen; PEF: peak expiratory flow; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second

Treatment in acute care settings such as the emergency department

The following treatments are usually administered concurrently to achieve rapid improvement.³²³

Oxygen

To achieve arterial oxygen saturation of 93–95% (94–98% for children 6–11 years), oxygen should be administered by nasal cannulae or mask. In severe exacerbations, controlled low flow oxygen therapy using pulse oximetry to maintain saturation at 93–95% is associated with better physiological outcomes than with high flow 100% oxygen therapy³¹¹⁻³¹³ (Evidence B). However, oxygen therapy should not be withheld if pulse oximetry is not available (Evidence D). Once the patient has stabilized, consider weaning them off oxygen using oximetry to guide the need for ongoing oxygen therapy.

Inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonists

Inhaled SABA therapy should be administered frequently for patients presenting with acute asthma. The most cost-effective and efficient delivery is by pMDI with a spacer^{308,309} (Evidence A). Evidence is less robust in severe and near-fatal asthma. Systematic reviews of intermittent versus continuous nebulized SABA in acute asthma provide conflicting results. One found no significant differences in lung function or hospital admissions³²⁴ but a later review with additional studies found reduced hospitalizations and better lung function with continuous compared with intermittent nebulization, particularly in patients with worse lung function.³²⁵ An earlier study in hospitalized patients found that intermittent on-demand therapy led to a significantly shorter hospital stay, fewer nebulizations and fewer palpitations when compared with 4-hourly intermittent therapy.³²⁶ A reasonable approach to inhaled SABA in exacerbations, therefore, would be to initially use continuous therapy, followed by intermittent on-demand therapy for hospitalized patients.

There is no evidence to support the routine use of intravenous beta₂-agonists in patients with severe asthma exacerbations³²⁷ (Evidence A).

Epinephrine (for anaphylaxis)

Intramuscular epinephrine (adrenaline) is indicated in addition to standard therapy for acute asthma associated with anaphylaxis and angioedema. It is not routinely indicated for other asthma exacerbations.

Systemic corticosteroids

Systemic corticosteroids speed resolution of exacerbations and prevent relapse, and should be utilized in all but the mildest exacerbations in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years.³²⁸⁻³³⁰ (Evidence A). Where possible, systemic corticosteroids should be administered to the patient within 1 hour of presentation.^{329,330} Use of systemic corticosteroids is particularly important in the emergency department if:

- Initial SABA treatment fails to achieve lasting improvement in symptoms
- The exacerbation developed while the patient was taking OCS
- The patient has a history of previous exacerbations requiring OCS

Route of delivery: oral administration is as effective as intravenous. The oral route is preferred because it is quicker, less invasive and less expensive.^{331,332} For children, a liquid formulation is preferred to tablets. OCS require at least 4 hours to produce a clinical improvement. Intravenous corticosteroids can be administered when patients are too dyspneic to swallow; if the patient is vomiting; or when patients require non-invasive ventilation or intubation. In patients discharged from the emergency department, an intramuscular corticosteroid may be helpful,³³³ especially if there are concerns about adherence with oral therapy.³³⁴

Dosage: daily doses of OCS equivalent to 50 mg prednisolone as a single morning dose, or 200 mg hydrocortisone in divided doses, are adequate for most patients (Evidence B). For children, an OCS dose of 1–2 mg/kg up to a maximum of 40 mg/day is adequate.³³⁵

Duration: 5- and 7-day courses in adults have been found to be as effective as 10- and 14-day courses respectively,^{314,315} and a 3–5-day course in children is usually considered sufficient (Evidence B). Oral dexamethasone

for 2 days can also be used but there are concerns about metabolic side-effects if it is continued beyond 2 days.³³⁶ Evidence from studies in which all patients were taking maintenance ICS after discharge suggests that there is no benefit in tapering the dose of OCS, either in the short term³³⁷ or over several weeks³³⁸ (Evidence B).

Inhaled corticosteroids

Within the emergency department: high-dose ICS given within the first hour after presentation reduces the need for hospitalization in patients not receiving systemic corticosteroids³³⁰ (Evidence A). When given in addition to systemic corticosteroids, evidence is conflicting³³⁰ (Evidence B). Overall, ICS are well tolerated; however, cost is a significant factor, and the agent, dose and duration of treatment with ICS in the management of asthma in the emergency department remain unclear.

On discharge home: the majority of patients should be prescribed regular ongoing ICS treatment since the occurrence of a severe exacerbation is a risk factor for future exacerbations (Evidence B) (Box 2-2, p17), and ICS-containing medications significantly reduce the risk of asthma-related death or hospitalization¹⁴⁴ (Evidence A). For short-term outcomes such as relapse requiring admission, symptoms, and quality of life, a systematic review found no significant differences when ICS were added to systemic corticosteroids after discharge.³³⁹ There was some evidence, however, that post-discharge ICS were as effective as systemic corticosteroids for milder exacerbations, but the confidence limits were wide.³³⁹ (Evidence B). Cost may be a significant factor for patients in the use of high-dose ICS, and further studies are required to establish their role.³³⁹

Other treatments

Ipratropium bromide

For adults and children with moderate-severe exacerbations, treatment in the emergency department with both SABA and ipratropium, a short-acting anticholinergic, was associated with fewer hospitalizations and greater improvement in PEF and FEV₁ compared with SABA alone.³⁴⁰ However, one study found that in children hospitalized after intensive emergency department treatment for asthma, the addition of nebulized ipratropium bromide to nebulized SABA and systemic corticosteroids conferred no extra benefit.³⁴¹

Aminophylline and theophylline

Intravenous aminophylline and theophylline should not be used in the management of asthma exacerbations, in view of their poor efficacy and safety profile, and the greater effectiveness and relative safety of SABA.³⁴² The use of intravenous aminophylline is associated with severe and potentially fatal side-effects, particularly in patients already treated with sustained-release theophylline. In adults with severe asthma exacerbations, add-on treatment with aminophylline does not improve outcomes compared with SABA alone.³⁴²

Magnesium

Intravenous magnesium sulfate is not recommended for routine use in asthma exacerbations; however, when administered as a single 2 g infusion over 20 minutes, it reduces hospital admissions in some patients, including adults with FEV₁ <25–30% predicted at presentation; adults and children who fail to respond to initial treatment and have persistent hypoxemia; and children whose FEV₁ fails to reach 60% predicted after 1 hour of care³⁴³⁻³⁴⁵ (Evidence A). A large, randomized, controlled trial showed no benefit with the addition of intravenous or nebulized magnesium compared with placebo in the routine care of asthma exacerbations, but those with more severe exacerbations were excluded.³⁴⁶ Nebulized salbutamol is most often administered in normal saline; however, it can also be administered in isotonic magnesium sulfate. While the overall efficacy of this practice is unclear, pooled data from three trials suggest possible improved pulmonary function in those with severe asthma exacerbations (FEV₁ <50% predicted)³⁴⁷ (Evidence B).

Helium oxygen therapy

A systematic review of studies comparing helium-oxygen with air-oxygen suggests there is no role for this intervention in routine care (Evidence B), but it may be considered for patients who do not respond to standard therapy.³⁴⁸

Leukotriene receptor antagonists

There is limited evidence to support a role for oral or intravenous LTRAs in acute asthma. Small studies have demonstrated improvement in lung function,^{349,350} but the clinical role of these agents requires more study.

ICS/LABA combinations

The role of these medications in the emergency department or hospital is unclear. One study showed that high-dose budesonide/formoterol in patients in the emergency department, all of whom received prednisolone, had similar efficacy and safety profile to SABA.³⁵¹ Another study examined addition of salmeterol to OCS for hospitalized patients, but was not adequately powered to support a recommendation.³⁵²

Antibiotics (not recommended)

Evidence does not support a role of antibiotics in asthma exacerbations unless there is strong evidence of lung infection (e.g. fever or purulent sputum or radiographic evidence of pneumonia). Aggressive treatment with corticosteroids should be implemented before antibiotics are considered.

Sedatives

Sedation should be strictly avoided during exacerbations of asthma because of the respiratory depressant effect of anxiolytic and hypnotic drugs. An association between the use of these drugs and avoidable asthma deaths has been reported.^{353,354}

Non-invasive ventilation (NIV)

The evidence regarding the role of NIV in asthma is weak. A systematic review identified five studies involving 206 participants with acute severe asthma treated with NIV or placebo.³⁵⁵ Two studies found no difference in need for endotracheal intubation but one study identified fewer admissions in the NIV group. No deaths were reported in either study. Given the small size of the studies, no recommendation is offered. If NIV is tried, the patient should be monitored closely (Evidence D). It should not be attempted in agitated patients, and patients should not be sedated in order to receive NIV (Evidence D).

Reviewing response

Clinical status and oxygen saturation should be re-assessed frequently, with further treatment titrated according to the patient's response (Box 4-4, p67). Lung function should be measured after one hour, i.e. after the first three bronchodilator treatments, and patients who deteriorate despite intensive bronchodilator and corticosteroid treatment should be re-evaluated for transfer to the intensive care unit.

Criteria for hospitalization versus discharge from the emergency department

From retrospective analyses, clinical status (including the ability to lie flat) and lung function 1 hour after commencement of treatment are more reliable predictors of the need for hospitalization than the patient's status on arrival.^{356,357}

Consensus recommendations in another study were:³⁵⁸

- If pre-treatment FEV₁ or PEF is <25% predicted or personal best, or post-treatment FEV₁ or PEF is <40% predicted or personal best, hospitalization is recommended.
- If post-treatment lung function is 40–60% predicted, discharge may be possible after considering the patient's risk factors (Box 4-1, p59) and availability of follow-up care.
- If post-treatment lung function is >60% predicted or personal best, discharge is recommended after considering risk factors and availability of follow-up care.

Other factors associated with increased likelihood of need for admission include:³⁵⁹⁻³⁶¹

- Female sex, older age and non-white race
- Use of more than eight beta₂-agonist puffs in the previous 24 hours

- Severity of the exacerbation (e.g. need for resuscitation or rapid medical intervention on arrival, respiratory rate >22 breaths/minute, oxygen saturation <95%, final PEF <50% predicted).
- Past history of severe exacerbations (e.g. intubations, asthma admissions)
- Previous unscheduled office and emergency department visits requiring use of OCS.

Overall, these risk factors should be considered by clinicians when making decisions on admission/discharge for patients with asthma managed in the acute care setting.

Discharge planning

Prior to discharge from the emergency department or hospital to home, arrangements should be made for a follow-up appointment within one week, and strategies to improve asthma management including medications, inhaler skills and written asthma action plan, should be addressed (Box 4-5).¹⁸⁰

Follow up after emergency department presentation or hospitalization for asthma

Following discharge, the patient should be reviewed by their health care provider regularly over subsequent weeks until good symptom control is achieved and personal best lung function is reached or surpassed. Incentives such as free transport and telephone reminders improve primary care follow up but have shown no effect on long-term outcomes.¹⁸⁰

Patients discharged following an emergency department presentation or hospitalization for asthma should be especially targeted for an asthma education program, if one is available. Patients who were hospitalized may be particularly receptive to information and advice about their illness. Health care providers should take the opportunity to review:

- The patient's understanding of the cause of their asthma exacerbation
- Modifiable risk factors for exacerbations (including, where relevant, smoking) (Box 3-8, p38)
- The patient's understanding of the purposes and correct uses of medications
- The actions the patient needs to take to respond to worsening symptoms or peak flows.

After emergency department presentation, comprehensive intervention programs that include optimal controller management, inhaler technique, and elements of self-management education (self-monitoring, written action plan and regular review¹¹³) are cost effective and have shown significant improvement in asthma outcomes¹⁸⁰ (Evidence B).

Referral for expert advice should be considered for patients who have been hospitalized for asthma, or who repeatedly present to an acute care setting despite having a primary care provider. No recent studies are available, but earlier studies suggest that follow-up by a specialist is associated with fewer subsequent emergency department visits or hospitalizations and better asthma control.¹⁸⁰

Box 4-5. Discharge management after hospital or emergency department care for asthma

Medications
<p><i>Oral corticosteroids (OCS)</i></p> <p>Prescribe at least a 5–7 day course of OCS for adults (prednisolone or equivalent 1 mg/kg/day to a maximum of 50 mg/day) and 3–5 days for children (1–2 mg/kg/day to a maximum of 40 mg). For patients considered at risk of poor adherence, intramuscular corticosteroids may be considered³³³ (Evidence B).</p> <p><i>Reliever medication</i></p> <p>Transfer patients back to as-needed rather than regular reliever medication use, based on symptomatic and objective improvement. If ipratropium bromide was used in the emergency department or hospital, it may be quickly discontinued, as it is unlikely to provide ongoing benefit.</p> <p><i>Inhaled corticosteroids (ICS)</i></p> <p>Initiate ICS prior to discharge, if not previously prescribed (Box 3-4, p30). Patients currently prescribed ICS-containing medication should generally have their treatment stepped up for 2–4 weeks (Box 4-2, p61) and should be reminded about the importance of adherence with daily use.</p>
Risk factors that contributed to the exacerbation
<p>Identify factors that may have contributed to the exacerbation and implement strategies to reduce modifiable risk factors (Box 3-8, p38). An exacerbation severe enough to require hospitalization may follow irritant or allergen exposure, inadequate long-term treatment, problems with adherence, and/or lack of a written asthma action plan, as well as unavoidable factors such as viral respiratory infections.</p>
Self-management skills and written asthma action plan
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review inhaler technique (Box 3-11, p42).• Review technique with PEF meter if used.• Provide a written asthma action plan (Box 4-2, p61) or review the patient's existing plan, either at discharge or as soon as possible afterwards. Patients discharged from the emergency department with an action plan and PEF meter have better outcomes than patients discharged without these resources.³⁶²• Evaluate the patient's response to the exacerbation. If it was inadequate, review the action plan and provide written guidance to assist if asthma worsens again.^{362,363}• Review the patient's use of controller treatment before and during the exacerbation. Was it increased promptly and by how much? Were OCS added and if not, why not? Consider providing a short-course of OCS to be on hand for subsequent exacerbations.
Follow up appointment
<p>A follow-up appointment within 2–7 days of discharge should be made with the patient's usual health care provider, to ensure that treatment is continued, that asthma symptoms are well controlled, and that the patient's lung function reaches their personal best (if known).</p>

ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; OCS: oral corticosteroids; PEF: peak expiratory flow

Chapter 5.

**Diagnosis of
asthma, COPD
and asthma-COPD
overlap syndrome
(ACOS)**

A joint project of GINA and GOLD

KEY POINTS

- Distinguishing asthma from COPD can be problematic, particularly in smokers and older adults.
- ACOS is identified by the features that it shares with both asthma and COPD.
- A stepwise approach to diagnosis is advised, comprising recognition of the presence of a chronic airways disease, syndromic categorization as asthma, COPD or the overlap between asthma and COPD (the Asthma COPD Overlap Syndrome (ACOS)), confirmation by spirometry and, if necessary, referral for specialized investigations.
- Although initial recognition and treatment of ACOS may be made in primary care, referral for confirmatory investigations is encouraged, as outcomes for ACOS are often worse than for asthma or COPD alone.
- Initial treatment should be selected to ensure that:
 - Patients with features of asthma receive adequate controller therapy including inhaled corticosteroids, but not long-acting bronchodilators alone (as monotherapy), and
 - Patients with COPD receive appropriate symptomatic treatment with bronchodilators or combination therapy, but not inhaled corticosteroids alone (as monotherapy).
- The consensus-based description of the Asthma COPD Overlap Syndrome (ACOS) is intended to stimulate further study of the character and treatments for this common clinical problem.

OBJECTIVE

This consensus-based document aims to assist clinicians to:

- *Identify* patients who have a disease of chronic airflow limitation
- *Distinguish* asthma from COPD and the Asthma-COPD Overlap Syndrome (ACOS)
- *Decide* on initial treatment and/or need for referral

BACKGROUND TO DIAGNOSING ASTHMA, COPD AND ACOS

In children and young adults, the differential diagnosis in patients with respiratory symptoms is different from that in older adults. Once infectious disease and non-pulmonary conditions (e.g. congenital heart disease, vocal cord dysfunction) have been excluded, the most likely chronic airway disease in children is asthma. This is often accompanied by allergic rhinitis. In adults (usually after the age of 40 years) COPD becomes more common, and distinguishing asthma with chronic airflow limitation from COPD becomes problematic.³⁶⁴⁻³⁶⁷

A significant proportion of patients who present with symptoms of a chronic airways disease have features of both asthma and COPD.³⁶⁸⁻³⁷² Several diagnostic terms, most including the word 'overlap', have been applied to such patients, and the topic has been extensively reviewed.^{367,369,373,374} However, there is no generally agreed term or defining features for this category of chronic airflow limitation, although a definition based upon consensus has been published for overlap in patients with existing COPD.³⁷⁵

In spite of these uncertainties, there is broad agreement that patients with features of both asthma and COPD experience frequent exacerbations,³⁶⁹ have poor quality of life, a more rapid decline in lung function and high mortality,^{369,376} and consume a disproportionate amount of healthcare resources³⁷⁷ than asthma or COPD alone. In these reports, the proportion of patients with features of both asthma and COPD is unclear and will have been influenced by the inclusion criteria used. However, prevalence rates between 15 and 55% have been reported, with variation by gender and age.^{371,376,378} Concurrent doctor-diagnosed asthma and COPD has been reported in between 15 and 20% of patients.^{370,373,379,380}

This document has been developed by the Science Committees of both GINA and GOLD, based on a detailed review of available literature and consensus. It provides an approach to distinguishing between asthma, COPD and the overlap of asthma and COPD, for which the term Asthma COPD Overlap Syndrome (ACOS) is proposed³⁷³ Rather than attempting

a formal definition of ACOS, this document presents features that identify and characterize ACOS, ascribing equal weight to features of asthma and of COPD. A simple approach to initial treatment of ACOS is also included. It is acknowledged that within this description of ACOS will lie a number of phenotypes that may in due course be identified by more detailed characterization on the basis of clinical, pathophysiological and genetic identifiers.^{38,381,382} The primary objective of this approach is to inform clinical practice, based on current evidence.

DEFINITIONS

Box 5-1. Current definitions of asthma and COPD, and clinical description of ACOS

Asthma
Asthma is a heterogeneous disease, usually characterized by chronic airway inflammation. It is defined by the history of respiratory symptoms such as wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough that vary over time and in intensity, together with variable expiratory airflow limitation. [GINA 2014]
COPD
COPD is a common preventable and treatable disease, characterized by persistent airflow limitation that is usually progressive and associated with enhanced chronic inflammatory responses in the airways and the lungs to noxious particles or gases. Exacerbations and comorbidities contribute to the overall severity in individual patients. [GOLD 2014] ³⁶
Asthma-COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS) – a description for clinical use
Asthma-COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS) is characterized by persistent airflow limitation with several features usually associated with asthma and several features usually associated with COPD. ACOS is therefore identified by the features that it shares with both asthma and COPD.

A summary of the typical characteristics of asthma, COPD and ACOS is presented in Box 5-2a, showing the similarities and differences in history and investigations.

STEPWISE APPROACH TO DIAGNOSIS OF PATIENTS WITH RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS

STEP 1: Does the patient have chronic airways disease?

A first step in diagnosing these conditions is to identify patients at risk of, or with significant likelihood of having chronic airways disease, and to exclude other potential causes of respiratory symptoms. This is based on a detailed medical history, physical examination, and other investigations.^{45,366,383,384}

Clinical History

Features that should prompt consideration of chronic airways disease include:

- History of chronic or recurrent cough, sputum production, dyspnea, or wheezing; or repeated acute lower respiratory tract infections
- Report of a previous doctor diagnosis of asthma or COPD
- History of prior treatment with inhaled medications
- History of smoking tobacco and/or other substances
- Exposure to environmental hazards, e.g. occupational or domestic exposures to airborne pollutants

Physical examination

- May be normal

- Evidence of hyperinflation and other features of chronic lung disease or respiratory insufficiency
- Abnormal auscultation (wheeze and/or crackles)

Radiology

- May be normal, particularly in early stages
- Abnormalities on chest X-ray or CT scan (performed for other reasons such as screening for lung cancer), including hyperinflation, airway wall thickening, air trapping, hyperlucency, bullae or other features of emphysema.
- May identify an alternative diagnosis, including bronchiectasis, evidence of lung infections such as tuberculosis, interstitial lung diseases or cardiac failure.

Screening questionnaires

Many screening questionnaires have been proposed to help the clinician identifying subjects at risk of chronic airways disease, based on the above risk factors and clinical features.³⁸⁵⁻³⁸⁷ These questionnaires are usually context-specific, so they are not necessarily relevant to all countries (where risk factors and comorbid diseases differ), to all practice settings and uses (population screening *versus* primary or secondary care), or to all groups of patients (case-finding *versus* self-presenting with respiratory symptoms *versus* referred consultation). Examples of these questionnaires are provided on both the GINA and GOLD websites.

STEP 2. The syndromic diagnosis of asthma, COPD and ACOS in an adult patient

Given the extent of overlap between features of asthma and COPD (Box 5-2a), the approach proposed focuses on the features that are *most helpful* in distinguishing asthma and COPD (Box 5-2b).

a. Assemble the features that favor a diagnosis of asthma or of COPD

From a careful history that considers age, symptoms (in particular onset and progression, variability, seasonality or periodicity and persistence), past history, social and occupational risk factors including smoking history, previous diagnoses and treatment and response to treatment, the features favoring the diagnostic profile of asthma or of COPD can be assembled. The check boxes in Box 5-2b can be used to identify the features that are most consistent with asthma and/or COPD. Note that not all of the features of asthma and COPD are listed, but only those that *most easily distinguish between asthma and COPD*.

b. Compare the number of features in favor of a diagnosis of asthma or a diagnosis of COPD

From Box 5-2b, count the number of checked boxes in each column. Having several (three or more) of the features listed for either asthma or for COPD, in the absence of those for the alternative diagnosis, provides a strong likelihood of a correct diagnosis.³⁸⁷ However, the absence of any of these features has less predictive value, and does not rule out the diagnosis of either disease. For example, a history of allergies increases the probability that respiratory symptoms are due to asthma, but is not essential for the diagnosis of asthma since non-allergic asthma is a well-recognized asthma phenotype; and atopy is common in the general population including in patients who develop COPD in later years. When a patient has similar numbers of features of both asthma and COPD, the diagnosis of ACOS should be considered.

Box 5-2a. Usual features of asthma, COPD and ACOS

Box 5-2b. Features that favor asthma or COPD*

Feature	Asthma	COPD	ACOS	Favors asthma	Favors COPD
<i>Age of onset</i>	Usually childhood onset but can commence at any age.	Usually > 40 years of age	Usually age ≥40 years, but may have had symptoms in childhood or early adulthood	<input type="checkbox"/> Onset before age 20 years	<input type="checkbox"/> Onset after age 40 years
<i>Pattern of respiratory symptoms</i>	Symptoms may vary over time (day to day, or over longer periods), often limiting activity. Often triggered by exercise, emotions including laughter, dust or exposure to allergens	Chronic usually continuous symptoms, particularly during exercise, with 'better' and 'worse' days	Respiratory symptoms including exertional dyspnea are persistent but variability may be prominent	<input type="checkbox"/> Variation in symptoms over minutes, hours or days <input type="checkbox"/> Symptoms worse during the night or early morning <input type="checkbox"/> Symptoms triggered by exercise, emotions including laughter, dust or exposure to allergens	<input type="checkbox"/> Persistence of symptoms despite treatment <input type="checkbox"/> Good and bad days but always daily symptoms and exertional dyspnea <input type="checkbox"/> Chronic cough and sputum preceded onset of dyspnea, unrelated to triggers
<i>Lung function</i>	Current and/or historical variable airflow limitation, e.g. BD reversibility, AHR	FEV ₁ may be improved by therapy, but post-BD FEV ₁ /FVC < 0.7 persists	Airflow limitation not fully reversible, but often with current or historical variability	<input type="checkbox"/> Record of variable airflow limitation (spirometry, peak flow)	<input type="checkbox"/> Record of persistent airflow limitation (post-bronchodilator FEV ₁ /FVC < 0.7)
<i>Lung function between symptoms</i>	May be normal between symptoms	Persistent airflow limitation	Persistent airflow limitation	<input type="checkbox"/> Lung function normal between symptoms	<input type="checkbox"/> Lung function abnormal between symptoms
<i>Past history or family history</i>	Many patients have allergies and a personal history of asthma in childhood, and/or family history of asthma	History of exposure to noxious particles and gases (mainly tobacco smoking and biomass fuels)	Frequently a history of doctor-diagnosed asthma (current or previous), allergies and a family history of asthma, and/or a history of noxious exposures	<input type="checkbox"/> Previous doctor diagnosis of asthma <input type="checkbox"/> Family history of asthma, and other allergic conditions (allergic rhinitis or eczema)	<input type="checkbox"/> Previous doctor diagnosis of COPD, chronic bronchitis or emphysema <input type="checkbox"/> Heavy exposure to a risk factor: tobacco smoke, biomass fuels
<i>Time course</i>	Often improves spontaneously or with treatment, but may result in fixed airflow limitation	Generally, slowly progressive over years despite treatment	Symptoms are partly but significantly reduced by treatment. Progression is usual and treatment needs are high	<input type="checkbox"/> No worsening of symptoms over time. Symptoms vary either seasonally, or from year to year <input type="checkbox"/> May improve spontaneously or have an immediate response to BD or to ICS over weeks	<input type="checkbox"/> Symptoms slowly worsening over time (progressive course over years) <input type="checkbox"/> Rapid-acting bronchodilator treatment provides only limited relief.
<i>Chest X-ray</i>	Usually normal	Severe hyperinflation & other changes of COPD	Similar to COPD	<input type="checkbox"/> Normal	<input type="checkbox"/> Severe hyperinflation
<i>Exacerbations</i>	Exacerbations occur, but the risk of exacerbations can be considerably reduced by treatment	Exacerbations can be reduced by treatment. If present, comorbidities contribute to impairment	Exacerbations may be more common than in COPD but are reduced by treatment. Comorbidities can contribute to impairment	*Syndromic diagnosis of airways disease: how to use Box 5-2b Shaded columns list features that, when present, best distinguish between asthma and COPD. For a patient, count the number of check boxes in each column. If three or more boxes are checked for either asthma or COPD, that diagnosis is suggested. If there are similar numbers of checked boxes in each column, the diagnosis of ACOS should be considered. See Step 2 for more details.	
<i>Typical airway inflammation</i>	Eosinophils and/or neutrophils	Neutrophils in sputum, lymphocytes in airways, may have systemic inflammation	Eosinophils and/or neutrophils in sputum.		

c. Consider the level of certainty around the diagnosis of asthma or COPD, or whether there are features of both suggesting Asthma-COPD overlap syndrome

In the absence of pathognomonic features, clinicians recognize that diagnoses are made on the weight of evidence, provided there are no features that clearly make the diagnosis untenable. Clinicians are able to provide an estimate of their level of certainty and factor it into their decision to treat. Doing so consciously may assist in the selection of treatment and, where there is significant doubt, it may direct therapy towards the safest option - namely, treatment for the condition that should not be missed and left untreated.

STEP 3. Spirometry

Spirometry is essential for the assessment of patients with suspected chronic disease of the airways. It must be performed at either the initial or a subsequent visit, if possible before and after a trial of treatment. Early confirmation or exclusion of the diagnosis may avoid needless trials of therapy, or delays in initiating other investigations. Spirometry confirms chronic airflow limitation but is of more limited value in distinguishing between asthma with fixed airflow obstruction, COPD and ACOS (Box 5-3).

Measurement of peak expiratory flow (PEF), although not an alternative to spirometry, if performed repeatedly on the same meter over a period of 1–2 weeks may help to confirm the diagnosis of asthma by demonstrating excessive variability (Box 1-2, p5), but a normal PEF does not rule out either asthma or COPD. A high level of variability in lung function may also be found in ACOS.

Box 5-3. Spirometric measures in asthma, COPD and ACOS

Spirometric variable	Asthma	COPD	ACOS
Normal FEV ₁ /FVC pre- or post BD	Compatible with diagnosis	Not compatible with diagnosis	Not compatible unless other evidence of chronic airflow limitation
Post-BD FEV ₁ /FVC <0.7	Indicates airflow limitation but may improve spontaneously or on treatment	Required for diagnosis (GOLD)	Usually present
FEV ₁ ≥80% predicted	Compatible with diagnosis (good asthma control or interval between symptoms)	Compatible with GOLD classification of mild airflow limitation (categories A or B) if post-BD FEV ₁ /FVC <0.7	Compatible with diagnosis of mild ACOS
FEV ₁ <80% predicted	Compatible with diagnosis. Risk factor for asthma exacerbations	An indicator of severity of airflow limitation and risk of future events (e.g. mortality and COPD exacerbations)	An indicator of severity of airflow limitation and risk of future events (e.g. mortality and exacerbations)
Post-BD increase in FEV ₁ ≥12% and 200 ml from baseline (reversible airflow limitation).	Usual at some time in course of asthma, but may not be present when well-controlled or on controllers	Common and more likely when FEV ₁ is low, but ACOS should also be considered	Common and more likely when FEV ₁ is low, but ACOS should also be considered
Post-BD increase in FEV ₁ >12% and 400ml from baseline (marked reversibility)	High probability of asthma	Unusual in COPD. Consider ACOS	Compatible with diagnosis of ACOS

ACOS: asthma-COPD overlap syndrome; BD: bronchodilator; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC: forced vital capacity; GOLD: Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease.

After the results of spirometry and other investigations are available, the provisional diagnosis from the syndrome-based assessment must be reviewed and, if necessary, revised. As shown in Box 5-3, spirometry at a single visit is not always confirmatory of a diagnosis, and results must be considered in the context of the clinical presentation, and whether treatment has been commenced. Inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting bronchodilators influence results, particularly if a long withhold period is not used prior to performing spirometry. Further tests might therefore be necessary either to confirm the diagnosis or to assess the response to initial and subsequent treatment.

STEP 4: Commence initial therapy

Faced with a differential diagnosis equally balanced between asthma and COPD (i.e. ACOS) the default position should be to start treatment accordingly for asthma (Box 5-4). This recognizes the pivotal role of ICS in preventing morbidity and even death in patients with uncontrolled asthma symptoms, for whom even seemingly 'mild' symptoms (compared to those of moderate or severe COPD) might indicate significant risk of a life-threatening attack³⁷³.

- If the syndromic assessment suggests asthma or ACOS, or there is significant uncertainty about the diagnosis of COPD, it is prudent to start treatment as for asthma until further investigation has been performed to confirm or refute this initial position.
 - Treatments will include an ICS (in a low or moderate dose, depending on level of symptoms).
 - A long-acting beta₂-agonist (LABA) should also be continued (if already prescribed), or added. However, it is important that patients should not be treated with a LABA without an ICS (often called LABA monotherapy) if there are features of asthma.
- If the syndromic assessment suggests COPD, appropriate symptomatic treatment with bronchodilators or combination therapy should be commenced, but not ICS alone (as monotherapy).³⁶
- Treatment of ACOS should also include advice about other therapeutic strategies³⁷⁹ including:
 - Smoking cessation
 - Pulmonary rehabilitation
 - Vaccinations
 - Treatment of comorbidities, as advised in the respective GINA and GOLD reports.

In a majority of patients, the initial management of asthma and COPD can be satisfactorily carried out at primary care level. However, both the GINA and GOLD strategy reports make provision for referral for further diagnostic procedures at relevant points in patient management (see Step 5). This may be particularly important for patients with suspected ACOS, given that it is associated with worse outcomes and greater health care utilization.


STEP 5: Referral for specialized investigations (if necessary)

Referral for expert advice and further diagnostic evaluation is necessary in the following contexts:

- Patients with persistent symptoms and/or exacerbations despite treatment.
- Diagnostic uncertainty, especially if an alternative diagnosis (e.g. bronchiectasis, post-tuberculous scarring, bronchiolitis, pulmonary fibrosis, pulmonary hypertension, cardiovascular diseases and other causes of respiratory symptoms) needs to be excluded.
- Patients with suspected asthma or COPD in whom atypical or additional symptoms or signs (e.g. haemoptysis, significant weight loss, night sweats, fever, signs of bronchiectasis or other structural lung disease) suggest an additional pulmonary diagnosis. This should prompt early referral, without necessarily waiting for a trial of treatment for asthma or COPD.
- When chronic airways disease is suspected but syndromic features of both asthma and COPD are few.
- Patients with comorbidities that may interfere with the assessment and management of their airways disease.
- Referral may also be appropriate for issues arising during on-going management of asthma, COPD or ACOS, as outlined in the GINA and GOLD strategy reports.

Box 5-5 summarizes specialized investigations that may be used to distinguish asthma and COPD.

Box 5-4. Summary of syndromic approach to diseases of chronic airflow limitation



Box 5-5. Specialized investigations sometimes used in distinguishing asthma and COPD

	Asthma	COPD
Lung function tests		
DLCO	Normal (or slightly elevated).	Often reduced.
Arterial blood gases	Normal between exacerbations	May be chronically abnormal between exacerbations in more severe forms of COPD
Airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR)	Not useful on its own in distinguishing asthma from COPD, but high levels of AHR favor asthma	
Imaging		
High resolution CT Scan	Usually normal but air trapping and increased bronchial wall thickness may be observed.	Low attenuation areas denoting either air trapping or emphysematous change can be quantitated; bronchial wall thickening and features of pulmonary hypertension may be seen.
Inflammatory biomarkers		
Test for atopy (specific IgE and/or skin prick tests)	Modestly increases probability of asthma; not essential for diagnosis	Conforms to background prevalence; does not rule out COPD
FENO	A high level (>50 ppb) in non-smokers supports a diagnosis of eosinophilic airway inflammation	Usually normal. Low in current smokers.
Blood eosinophilia	Supports asthma diagnosis	May be present during exacerbations
Sputum inflammatory cell analysis	Role in differential diagnosis is not established in large populations	

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT ALTER OR REPRODUCE

Chapter 6.

**Diagnosis and
management of asthma
in children
5 years and younger**

PART A. DIAGNOSIS

KEY POINTS

- Recurrent wheezing occurs in a large proportion of children 5 years and younger, typically with viral upper respiratory tract infections. Deciding when this is the initial presentation of asthma is difficult.
- Previous classifications of wheezing phenotypes (episodic wheeze and multiple-trigger wheeze; or transient wheeze, persistent wheeze and late-onset wheeze) do not appear to identify stable phenotypes, and their clinical usefulness is uncertain.
- A diagnosis of asthma in young children with a history of wheezing is more likely if they have:
 - Wheezing or coughing that occurs with exercise, laughing or crying in the absence of an apparent respiratory infection
 - A history of other allergic disease (eczema or allergic rhinitis) or asthma in first-degree relatives
- Clinical improvement during 2–3 months of controller treatment, and worsening after cessation.

ASTHMA AND WHEEZING IN YOUNG CHILDREN

Asthma is the most common chronic disease of childhood and the leading cause of childhood morbidity from chronic disease as measured by school absences, emergency department visits and hospitalizations.³⁸⁸ Asthma often begins in early childhood; in up to half of people with asthma, symptoms commence during childhood.³⁸⁹ Onset of asthma is earlier in males than females.³⁹⁰⁻³⁹² Atopy is present in the majority of children with asthma who are over 3 years old, and allergen-specific sensitization is one of the most important risk factors for the development of asthma.³⁹³ However, no intervention has yet been shown to prevent the development of asthma, or modify its long-term natural course.

Viral-induced wheezing

Recurrent wheezing occurs in a large proportion of children aged 5 years or younger. It is typically associated with upper respiratory tract infections (URTI), which occur in this age group around 6–8 times per year.³⁹⁴ Some viral infections (respiratory syncytial virus and rhinovirus) are associated with recurrent wheeze throughout childhood. However, wheezing in this age group is a highly heterogeneous condition, and not all wheezing in this age group indicates asthma. Many young children may wheeze with viral infections. Therefore, deciding when wheezing with a respiratory infection is truly an initial or recurrent clinical presentation of childhood asthma is difficult.^{392,395}

Wheezing phenotypes

In the past, two main classifications of wheezing (called 'wheezing phenotypes') were proposed.


- *Symptom-based classification*:³⁹⁶ this was based on whether the child had only *episodic wheeze* (wheezing during discrete time periods, often in association with URTI, with symptoms absent between episodes) or *multiple-trigger wheeze* (episodic wheezing with symptoms also occurring between these episodes, e.g. during sleep or with triggers such as activity, laughing, or crying).
- *Time trend-based classification*: this system was based on analysis of data from a cohort study.³⁹² It included *transient wheeze* (symptoms began and ended before the age of 3 years); *persistent wheeze* (symptoms began before the age of 3 years and continued beyond the age of 6 years), and *late-onset wheeze* (symptoms began after the age of 3 years).

However, prospective allocation of individual children to these phenotypes has been unreliable in 'real-life' clinical situations, and the clinical usefulness of these systems remains a subject of active investigation.^{397,398 399,400}

CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS OF ASTHMA

It may be difficult to make a confident diagnosis of asthma in children 5 years and younger, because episodic respiratory symptoms such as wheezing and cough are also common in children without asthma, particularly in those 0–2 years old.^{401,402} Furthermore, it is not possible to routinely assess airflow limitation in this age group. A probability-based approach, based on the pattern of symptoms during and between viral respiratory infections, may be helpful for discussion with parents/carers (Box 6-1). This approach allows individual decisions to be made about whether to give a trial of controller treatment. It is important to make decisions for each child individually, to avoid either over- or under-treatment.

Box 6-1. Probability of asthma diagnosis or response to asthma treatment in children 5 years and younger



This schematic figure shows the probability of an asthma diagnosis^{403,404} or response to asthma treatment^{405,406} in children aged 5 years or younger who have viral-induced cough, wheeze or heavy breathing, based on the pattern of symptoms. Many young children wheeze with viral infections, and deciding when a child should be given controller treatment is difficult. The frequency and severity of wheezing episodes and the temporal pattern of symptoms (only with

viral colds or also in response to other triggers) should be taken into account. Any controller treatment should be viewed as a treatment trial, with follow up scheduled after 2–3 months to review the response. Review is also important since the pattern of symptoms tends to change over time in a large proportion of children.

A diagnosis of asthma in young children is therefore based largely on symptom patterns combined with a careful clinical assessment of family history and physical findings. A positive family history of allergic disorders or the presence of atopy or allergic sensitization provide additional predictive support, as early allergic sensitization increases the likelihood that a wheezing child will develop persistent asthma.³⁹³

Symptoms suggestive of asthma in children 5 years and younger

As shown in Box 6-2, an asthma diagnosis in children 5 years and younger can often be based on:

- Symptom patterns (wheeze, cough, breathlessness (typically manifested by activity limitation), and nocturnal symptoms or awakenings)
- Presence of risk factors for development of asthma
- Therapeutic response to controller treatment.

Box 6-2. Features suggesting a diagnosis of asthma in children 5 years and younger

Feature	Characteristics suggesting asthma
Cough	Recurrent or persistent non-productive cough that may be worse at night or accompanied by some wheezing and breathing difficulties Cough occurring with exercise, laughing, crying or exposure to tobacco smoke in the absence of an apparent respiratory infection
Wheezing	Recurrent wheezing, including during sleep or with triggers such as activity, laughing, crying or exposure to tobacco smoke or air pollution
Difficult or heavy breathing or shortness of breath	Occurring with exercise, laughing, or crying
Reduced activity	Not running, playing or laughing at the same intensity as other children; tires earlier during walks (wants to be carried)
Past or family history	Other allergic disease (atopic dermatitis or allergic rhinitis) Asthma in first-degree relatives
Therapeutic trial with low dose inhaled corticosteroid (Box 6-5, p94) and as-needed SABA	Clinical improvement during 2–3 months of controller treatment and worsening when treatment is stopped

SABA: short-acting beta₂-agonist

Wheeze

Wheeze is the most common symptom associated with asthma in children 5 years and younger. Wheezing occurs in several different patterns, but a wheeze that occurs recurrently, during sleep, or with triggers such as activity, laughing, or crying, is consistent with a diagnosis of asthma. Clinician confirmation is important, as parents may describe any noisy breathing as 'wheezing'.⁴⁰⁷ Some cultures do not have a word for wheeze.

Wheezing may be interpreted differently based on:

- Who observes it (e.g. parent/carer versus the health care provider)
- When it is reported (e.g. retrospectively versus in real time)

- The environmental context (e.g. developed countries versus areas with a high prevalence of parasites that involve the lung)
- The cultural context (e.g. the relative importance of certain symptoms can differ between cultures, as can the diagnosis and treatment of respiratory tract diseases in general).

Cough

Cough due to asthma is non-productive, recurrent and/or persistent, and is usually accompanied by some wheezing episodes and breathing difficulties. Allergic rhinitis may be associated with cough in the absence of asthma. A nocturnal cough (when the child is asleep) or a cough that occurs with exercise, laughing or crying, in the absence of an apparent respiratory infection, supports a diagnosis of asthma. The common cold and other respiratory illnesses are also associated with coughing.

Breathlessness

Parents may also use terms such as 'difficult breathing', 'heavy breathing', or 'shortness of breath'. Breathlessness that occurs during exercise and is recurrent increases the likelihood of the diagnosis of asthma. In infants and toddlers, crying and laughing are equivalent to exercise in older children.

Activity and social behavior

Physical activity is an important cause of asthma symptoms in young children. Young children with poorly controlled asthma often abstain from strenuous play or exercise to avoid symptoms, but many parents are unaware of such changes in their children's lifestyle. Engaging in play is important for a child's normal social and physical development. For this reason, careful review of the child's daily activities, including their willingness to walk and play, is important when assessing a potential asthma diagnosis in a young child. Parents may report irritability, tiredness and mood changes in their child as the main problems when asthma is not well controlled.

TESTS TO ASSIST IN DIAGNOSIS

While no tests diagnose asthma with certainty in children 5 years and younger, the following are useful adjuncts.

Therapeutic trial

A trial of treatment for at least 2–3 months with as-needed short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA) and regular low dose inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) may provide some guidance about the diagnosis of asthma (Evidence D). Response should be evaluated by symptom control (daytime and night-time), and the frequency of wheezing episodes and exacerbations. Marked clinical improvement during treatment, and deterioration when treatment is stopped, support a diagnosis of asthma. Due to the variable nature of asthma in young children, a therapeutic trial may need to be repeated in order to be certain of the diagnosis.

Tests for atopy

Sensitization to allergens can be assessed using either skin prick testing or allergen-specific immunoglobulin E. Skin-prick testing is less reliable for confirming atopy in infants. Atopy is present in the majority of children with asthma once they are over 3 years of age; however, absence of atopy does not rule out a diagnosis of asthma.

Chest X-ray

If there is doubt about the diagnosis of asthma in a wheezing or coughing child, a plain chest X-ray may help to exclude structural abnormalities (e.g. congenital lobar emphysema, vascular ring) chronic infections such as tuberculosis, an inhaled foreign body, or other diagnoses. Other imaging investigations may be appropriate, depending on the condition being considered.

Lung function testing

Due to the inability of most children 5 years and younger to perform reproducible expiratory maneuvers, lung function testing, bronchial provocation testing, and other physiological tests do not have a major role in the diagnosis of asthma at this age. However, by 4–5 years of age, children are often capable of performing reproducible spirometry if coached by an experienced technician and with visual incentives.

Exhaled nitric oxide

Fractional concentration of exhaled nitric oxide (FENO) can be measured in young children with tidal breathing, and normal reference values have been published for children aged 1–5 years.⁴⁰⁸ An elevated FENO, recorded >4 weeks from any URTI in pre-school children with recurrent coughing and wheezing, may predict physician-diagnosed asthma by school age.⁴⁰⁹ FENO testing is not widely available.

Risk profiles

A number of risk profile tools to identify wheezing children aged 5 years and younger who are at high risk of developing persistent asthma symptoms have been evaluated for use in clinical practice.⁴⁰⁰ The Asthma Predictive Index (API), based on the Tucson Children's Respiratory Study, is designed for use in children with four or more wheezing episodes in a year.⁴¹⁰ One study showed that children with a positive API have a 4–10-fold greater chance of developing asthma between the ages of 6–13 years than those with a negative API, and 95% of children with a negative API remained free of asthma.⁴¹⁰ The applicability and validation of the API in other contexts needs more study.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

A definite diagnosis of asthma in this young age group is challenging but has important clinical consequences. It is particularly important in this age group to consider and exclude alternative causes that can lead to symptoms of wheeze, cough, and breathlessness before confirming an asthma diagnosis (Box 6-3).⁴⁰¹

Key indications for referral of a child 5 years or younger for further diagnostic investigations

Any of the following features suggest an alternative diagnosis and indicate the need for further investigations:

- Failure to thrive
- Neonatal or very early onset of symptoms (especially if associated with failure to thrive)
- Vomiting associated with respiratory symptoms
- Continuous wheezing
- Failure to respond to asthma controller medications
- No association of symptoms with typical triggers, such as viral URTI
- Focal lung or cardiovascular signs, or finger clubbing
- Hypoxemia outside context of viral illness

Box 6-3. Common differential diagnoses of asthma in children 5 years and younger

Condition	Typical features
Recurrent viral respiratory tract infections	Mainly cough, runny congested nose for <10 days; wheeze usually mild; no symptoms between infections
Gastroesophageal reflux	Cough when feeding; recurrent chest infections; vomits easily especially after large feeds; poor response to asthma medications
Foreign body aspiration	Episode of abrupt, severe cough and/or stridor during eating or play; recurrent chest infections and cough; focal lung signs
Tracheomalacia	Noisy breathing when crying or eating, or during upper airway infections (noisy inspiration if extrathoracic or expiration if intrathoracic); harsh cough; inspiratory or expiratory retraction; symptoms often present since birth; poor response to asthma medications
Tuberculosis	Persistent noisy respirations and cough; fever unresponsive to normal antibiotics; enlarged lymph nodes; poor response to bronchodilators or inhaled corticosteroids; contact with someone who has tuberculosis
Congenital heart disease	Cardiac murmur; cyanosis when eating; failure to thrive; tachycardia; tachypnea or hepatomegaly; poor response to asthma medications
Cystic fibrosis	Cough starting shortly after birth; recurrent chest infections; failure to thrive (malabsorption); loose greasy bulky stools
Primary ciliary dyskinesia	Cough and recurrent, mild chest infections; chronic ear infections and purulent nasal discharge; poor response to asthma medications; situs inversus occurs in about 50% of children with this condition
Vascular ring	Respirations often persistently noisy; poor response to asthma medications
Bronchopulmonary dysplasia	Infant born prematurely; very low birth weight; needed prolonged mechanical ventilation or supplemental oxygen; difficulty with breathing present from birth
Immune deficiency	Recurrent fever and infections (including non-respiratory); failure to thrive

PART B. ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

KEY POINTS

- The goals of asthma management in young children are similar to those in older patients:
 - To achieve good control of symptoms and maintain normal activity levels
 - To minimize the risk of asthma flare-ups, impaired lung development and medication side-effects.
- Wheezing episodes in young children should be treated initially with inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonists, regardless of whether the diagnosis of asthma has been made.
- A trial of controller therapy should be given if the symptom pattern suggests asthma and respiratory symptoms are uncontrolled and/or wheezing episodes are frequent or severe.
- Response to treatment should be reviewed before deciding whether to continue it. If no response is observed, consider alternative diagnoses.
- The choice of inhaler device should be based on the child's age and capability. The preferred device is a pressurized metered dose inhaler and spacer, with face mask for <4 years and mouthpiece for most 4–5 year olds.
- Review the need for asthma treatment frequently, as asthma-like symptoms remit in many young children.

GOALS OF ASTHMA MANAGEMENT

As with other age groups, the goals of asthma management in young children are:

- To achieve good control of symptoms and maintain normal activity levels
- To minimize future risk; that is to reduce the risk of flare-ups, maintain lung function and lung development as close to normal as possible, and minimize medication side-effects.

Maintaining normal activity levels is particularly important in young children because engaging in play is important for their normal social and physical development. It is important to also elicit the goals of the parent/carer, as these may differ from conventional medical goals.

The goals of asthma management are achieved through a partnership between the parent/carer and the health professional team, with a cycle of:

- *Assess* (diagnosis, symptom control, risk factors, inhaler technique, adherence, parent preference)
- *Adjust treatment* (medications, non-pharmacological strategies, and treatment of modifiable risk factors)
- *Review response* including medication effectiveness and side-effects.

This is carried out in combination with:

- Education of parent/carer, and child (depending on the child's age)
- Skills training for effective use of inhaler devices and encouragement of good adherence
- Monitoring of symptoms by parent/carer
- A written asthma action plan.

ASSESSMENT OF ASTHMA

What does 'asthma control' mean?

Asthma control means the extent to which the manifestations of asthma are controlled, with or without treatment.^{14,48} It has two components (Box 6-4): the child's asthma status over the previous four weeks (symptom control), and how asthma may affect them in the future (future risk). In young children, as in older patients, it is recommended that both symptom control and future risk should be monitored (Evidence D). In young children, lung function testing is not

feasible for monitoring asthma control. The rationale for monitoring both domains of asthma control is described on p29 ('Assessing future risk of adverse outcomes').

Assessing asthma symptom control

Defining satisfactory symptom control in children 5 years and younger is problematic. Health care providers are almost entirely dependent on the reports of family members and carers, who may be unaware either of how often the child has experienced asthma symptoms, or that their respiratory symptoms represent uncontrolled asthma. No objective measures to assess symptom control have been validated for children <4 years, although the Childhood Asthma Control Test has been developed for children aged 4–11 years.⁶²

Box 6-4 shows a working schema for assessing asthma control in children ≤5 years, based on current expert opinion. It incorporates assessment of symptoms; the child's level of activity and their need for reliever/rescue treatment; and assessment of risk factors for adverse outcomes (Evidence D).

Box 6-4. GINA assessment of asthma control in children 5 years and younger

A. Symptom control		Level of asthma symptom control		
In the past 4 weeks, has the child had:		Well controlled	Partly controlled	Uncontrolled
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Daytime asthma symptoms for more than a few minutes, more than once a week? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>Any activity limitation due to asthma? (Runs/plays less than other children, tires easily during walks/playing?) Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>Reliever medication needed* more than once a week? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>Any night waking or night coughing due to asthma? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		None of these	1–2 of these	3–4 of these
B. Future risk for poor asthma outcomes				
Risk factors for asthma exacerbations within the next few months				
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Uncontrolled asthma symptomsOne or more severe exacerbation in previous yearThe start of the child's usual 'flare-up' season (especially if autumn/fall)Exposures: tobacco smoke; indoor or outdoor air pollution; indoor allergens (e.g. house dust mite, cockroach, pets, mold), especially in combination with viral infection⁴¹¹Major psychological or socio-economic problems for child or familyPoor adherence with controller medication, or incorrect inhaler technique				
Risk factors for fixed airflow limitation				
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Severe asthma with several hospitalizationsHistory of bronchiolitis				
Risk factors for medication side-effects				
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Systemic: Frequent courses of OCS; high-dose and/or potent ICSLocal: moderate/high-dose or potent ICS; incorrect inhaler technique; failure to protect skin or eyes when using ICS by nebulizer or spacer with face mask				

ICS = inhaled corticosteroids; OCS = oral corticosteroids * Excludes reliever taken before exercise

This GINA asthma symptom control classification corresponds to 'current control' in GINA pediatric report 2009.³ Before stepping up treatment, ensure that the child's symptoms are due to asthma, and that the child has good inhaler technique and good adherence to existing treatment.

Assessing future risk of adverse outcomes

The relationship between symptom control and future risk of adverse outcomes such as exacerbations (Box 6-4, p91) has not been sufficiently studied in young children. Although exacerbations may occur in children after months of apparently good symptom control, the risk is greater if current symptom control is poor.

The future risk of harm due to excessive doses of inhaled or systemic corticosteroids must also be avoided. This can be minimized by ensuring that the prescribed treatment is appropriate and reduced to the lowest dose that maintains satisfactory symptom control and minimizes exacerbations. The child's height should be measured and recorded at least yearly. If ICS is delivered through a face-mask or nebulizer, the skin on the nose and around the mouth should be cleaned shortly after inhalation in order to avoid local side-effects such as steroid rash (reddening and atrophy),

MEDICATIONS FOR SYMPTOM CONTROL AND RISK REDUCTION

Choosing medications for children 5 years and younger

Good control of asthma can be achieved in a majority of young children with a pharmacological intervention strategy.⁴¹² This should be developed in a partnership between the family/carer and the health care provider. As with older children and adults, medications comprise only one component of asthma management in young children; other key components include education, skills training for inhaler devices and adherence, non-pharmacological strategies including environmental control where appropriate, regular monitoring, and clinical review (see later sections in this chapter).

When recommending treatment for a young child, both general and individual questions apply (Box 3-3, p27).

- What is the 'preferred' medication option at each treatment step to control asthma symptoms and minimize future risk? These decisions are based on data for efficacy, effectiveness and safety from clinical trials, and on observational data.
- How does this particular child differ from the 'average' child with asthma, in terms of:
 - Response to previous treatment
 - Parental preference (goals, beliefs and concerns about medications)
 - Practical issues (cost, inhaler technique and adherence)?

The following treatment recommendations for children of 5 years of age or younger are based on the available evidence and on expert opinion. Evidence is limited, as most clinical trials in this age group have not characterized participants with respect to their symptom pattern, and different studies have used different outcomes and different definitions of exacerbations.

A stepwise treatment approach is recommended (Box 6-5, p94), based on symptom patterns, risk of exacerbations and side-effects, and response to initial treatment. Generally, treatment includes the daily, long-term use of controller medications to keep asthma well-controlled, and reliever medications for as-needed symptom relief. The choice of inhaler device is also an important consideration (Box 6-7, p97).

Which children should be prescribed regular controller treatment?

Intermittent or episodic wheezing of any severity may represent an isolated viral-induced wheezing episode, an episode of seasonal or allergen-induced asthma, or unrecognized uncontrolled asthma. The *initial* treatment of wheezing is identical for all of these – a SABA every 4–6 hours as needed for one or more days until symptoms disappear. Further treatment of the acute wheezing episodes themselves is described below (see *Acute asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger*). However, uncertainty surrounds the addition of other drugs in these children, especially when the nature of the episode is unclear. In general, the following principles apply.

- *If the symptom pattern suggests a diagnosis of asthma* (Box 6-2, p86) and respiratory symptoms are uncontrolled (Box 6-4, p91) and/or wheezing episodes are frequent (e.g. three or more episodes in a season), regular controller treatment should be initiated (Step 2, Box 6-5, p94) and the response evaluated (Evidence D). Regular controller

treatment may also be indicated in a child with less frequent, but more severe episodes of viral-induced wheeze (Evidence D).

- *If the diagnosis of asthma is in doubt*, and inhaled SABA therapy needs to be repeated frequently, e.g. more than every 6–8 weeks, a trial of regular controller treatment should be considered to confirm whether the symptoms are due to asthma (Evidence D).

It is important to discuss the decision to prescribe controller treatment and the choice of treatment with the child's parents or carers. They should be aware of both the relative benefits and risks of the treatments, and the importance of maintaining normal activity levels for their child's normal physical and social development.

Treatment steps to control asthma symptoms and minimize future risk for children 5 years and younger

Asthma treatment in young children follows a stepwise approach (Box 6-5), with medication adjusted up or down to achieve good symptom control and minimize future risk of exacerbations and medication side-effects. The need for controller treatment should be re-assessed regularly. More details about asthma medications for children 0–5 years are provided in Appendix Chapter 5, Part C.

Before considering a step-up of controller treatment

If symptom control is poor and/or exacerbations persist despite 3 months of adequate controller therapy, check the following *before any step up in treatment is considered*.

- Confirm that the symptoms are due to asthma rather than a concomitant or alternative condition (Box 6-3, p89). Refer for expert assessment if the diagnosis is in doubt.
- Check and correct inhaler technique.
- Confirm good adherence with the prescribed dose.
- Enquire about risk factors such as allergen or tobacco smoke exposure (Box 6-4, p91).

STEP 1: As-needed inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA)

Preferred option: as-needed inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA)

All children who experience wheezing episodes should be provided with inhaled SABA for relief of symptoms (Evidence D), although it is not effective in all children. See Box 6-7 (p97) for choice of inhaler device.

Other options

Oral bronchodilator therapy is not recommended due to its slower onset of action and higher rate of side-effects compared with inhaled SABA (Evidence D). For children with intermittent viral-induced wheeze and no interval symptoms in whom inhaled SABA medication is not sufficient, intermittent ICS may be considered^{305,413,414} (see *Management of worsening asthma and exacerbations*, p99), but because of the risk of side-effects, this should only be considered if the physician is confident that the treatment will be used appropriately.

STEP 2: Initial controller treatment, with as-needed SABA

Preferred option: regular daily low dose ICS plus as-needed SABA

Regular daily, low dose ICS (Box 6-6, p96) is recommended as the preferred initial treatment to control asthma in children 5 years and younger (Evidence A).⁴¹⁵⁻⁴¹⁷ This initial treatment should be given for at least 3 months to establish its effectiveness in achieving good asthma control.

Other options

In young children with persistent asthma, regular treatment with a leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) modestly reduces symptoms and need for oral corticosteroids compared with placebo.⁴¹⁸ In young children with recurrent viral-

induced wheezing, regular LTRA improves some asthma outcomes compared with placebo, but does not reduce the frequency of hospitalizations, courses of prednisone, or number of symptom-free days (Evidence A).⁴¹⁹ For pre-school children with frequent viral-induced wheezing and with interval asthma symptoms, as-needed (prn)⁴²⁰ or episodic ICS⁴²¹ may be considered but a trial of regular ICS should be undertaken first.

STEP 3: Additional controller treatment, with as-needed SABA

If 3 months of initial therapy with a low dose ICS fails to control symptoms, or if exacerbations persist, check the following *before any step up in treatment is considered*.

- Confirm that the symptoms are due to asthma rather than a concomitant or alternative condition (Box 6-3, p89).
- Check and correct inhaler technique.
- Confirm good adherence with the prescribed dose.
- Enquire about risk factors such as allergen or tobacco smoke exposure (Box 6-4, p91).

Preferred option: moderate dose ICS (double the 'low' daily dose)

Doubling the initial low dose of ICS may be the best option (Evidence C). Assess response after 3 months.

Other options

Addition of a LTRA to low dose ICS may be considered, based on data from older children (Evidence D).

STEP 4: Continue controller treatment and refer for expert assessment

Preferred option: refer the child for expert advice and further investigation (Evidence D).

If doubling the initial dose of ICS fails to achieve and maintain good asthma control, carefully assess inhaler technique and medication adherence as these are common problems in this age group. In addition, assess and address control of environmental factors where relevant, and reconsider the asthma diagnosis. The child should be referred for expert assessment if symptom control remains poor and/or flare-ups persist, or if side-effects of treatment are observed or suspected.

Other options


The best treatment for this population has not been established. If the diagnosis of asthma has been confirmed, options to consider, preferably with specialist advice, are:

- Further increase the dose of ICS (perhaps combined with more frequent dosing) for a few weeks until the control of the child's asthma improves (Evidence D).
- Add a LTRA, theophylline, or a low dose of oral corticosteroid (for a few weeks only) until asthma control improves (Evidence D).
- Add intermittent ICS to the regular daily ICS if exacerbations are the main problem (Evidence D).

The need for additional controller treatment should be re-evaluated at each visit and maintained for as short a period as possible, taking into account potential risks and benefits. Treatment goals and their feasibility should be re-considered and discussed with the child's family/carer; it may become necessary to accept a degree of persisting asthma symptoms to avoid excessive and harmful medication doses.

There are insufficient data about the efficacy and safety of inhaled combination ICS/long-acting beta₂-agonist (LABA) products in this age group to recommend their use.

Box 6-5. Stepwise approach to long-term management of asthma in children 5 years and younger



Box 6-6. Low daily doses of inhaled corticosteroids for children 5 years and younger

Drug	Low daily dose (mcg)
Beclomethasone dipropionate (HFA)	100
Budesonide pMDI + spacer	200
Budesonide nebulized	500
Fluticasone propionate (HFA)	100
Ciclesonide	160
Mometasone furoate	Not studied below age 4 years
Triamcinolone acetonide	Not studied in this age group

HFA: hydrofluoralkane propellant; pMDI: pressurized metered dose inhaler

This is not a table of clinical equivalence. A low daily dose is defined as the dose that has not been associated with clinically adverse effects in trials that included measures of safety.

REVIEWING RESPONSE AND ADJUSTING TREATMENT

Assessment at every visit should include asthma symptom control and risk factors (Box 6-4, p91), and side-effects. The child's height should be measured every year, or more often. Asthma-like symptoms remit in a substantial proportion of children of 5 years or younger,⁴²²⁻⁴²⁴ so the need for continued controller treatment should be regularly assessed (e.g. every 3–6 months) (Evidence D). If therapy is discontinued, schedule a follow-up visit 3–6 weeks later to check whether symptoms have recurred, as therapy may need to be reinstituted (Evidence D).

Marked seasonal variations may be seen in symptoms and exacerbations in this age-group. For children with seasonal symptoms whose daily long-term controller treatment is to be discontinued (e.g. 4 weeks after their season ends), the parent/carer should be provided with a written asthma action plan detailing specific signs of worsening asthma, the medications that should be initiated to treat it, and when and how to contact medical care.

CHOICE OF INHALER DEVICE

Inhaled therapy constitutes the cornerstone of asthma treatment in children 5 years and younger. A pressurized metered dose inhaler (pMDI) with a valved spacer (with or without a face mask, depending on the child's age) is the preferred delivery system⁴²⁵ (Box 6-7) (Evidence A). This recommendation is based on studies with beta₂-agonists. The spacer device should have documented efficacy in young children. The dose delivered may vary considerably between spacers, so consider this if changing from one spacer to another.

The only possible inhalation technique in young children is tidal breathing. The optimal number of breaths required to empty the spacer depends on the child's tidal volume, and the dead space and volume of the spacer. Generally 5–10 breaths will be sufficient per actuation. The way a spacer is used can markedly affect the amount of drug delivered:

- Spacer size may affect the amount of drug available for inhalation in a complex way depending on the drug prescribed and the pMDI used. Young children can use spacers of all sizes, but theoretically a lower volume spacer (<350 mL) is advantageous in very young children.
- A single pMDI actuation should be delivered at a time, with the inhaler shaken in between. Multiple actuations into the spacer before inhalation may markedly reduce the amount of drug inhaled.
- Delay between actuating the pMDI into the spacer and inhalation may reduce the amount of drug available. This varies between spacers, but to maximize drug delivery, inhalation should start as soon as possible after actuation.

If a health care provider or a carer is giving the medication to the child, they should actuate the pMDI only when the child is ready and the spacer is in the child's mouth.

- If a face mask is used it must be fitted tightly around the child's mouth and nose, to avoid loss of drug.
- Ensure that the valve is moving while the child is breathing through the spacer.
- Static charge may accumulate on some plastic spacers, attracting drug particles and reducing lung delivery. This charge can be reduced by washing the spacer with detergent (without rinsing) and allowing it to air dry, but it may re-accumulate over time. Spacers made of anti-static materials or metals are less subject to this problem. If a patient or health care provider carries a new plastic spacer for emergency use, it should be regularly washed with detergent (e.g. monthly) to reduce static charge.

Nebulizers, the only viable alternative delivery systems in children, are reserved for the minority of children who cannot be taught effective use of a spacer device. If a nebulizer is used for delivery of ICS, it should be used with a mouthpiece to avoid the medication reaching the eyes.

Box 6-7. Choosing an inhaler device for children 5 years and younger

Age	Preferred device	Alternate device
0–3 years	Pressurized metered-dose inhaler plus dedicated spacer with face mask	Nebulizer with face mask
4–5 years	Pressurized metered-dose inhaler plus dedicated spacer with mouthpiece	Pressurized metered-dose inhaler plus dedicated spacer with face mask or nebulizer with mouthpiece or face mask

ASTHMA SELF-MANAGEMENT EDUCATION FOR CARERS OF YOUNG CHILDREN

Asthma self-management education should be provided to family members and carers of wheezy children 5 years and younger when wheeze is suspected to be caused by asthma. An educational program should contain:

- A basic explanation about asthma and the factors that influence it
- Training about correct inhalation technique
- Information on the importance of the child's adherence to the prescribed medication regimen
- A written asthma action plan.

Crucial to a successful asthma education program are a partnership between patient/carer and health care providers, with a high level of agreement regarding the goals of treatment for the child, and intensive follow-up (Evidence D).¹⁵

Written asthma action plans

Asthma action plans should be provided for the family/carers of all children with asthma, including those aged 5 years and younger (Evidence D). Action plans, developed through collaboration between an asthma educator, the health care provider and the family, have been shown to be of value in older children,⁴²⁶ although they have not been extensively studied in children of 5 years and younger. A written asthma action plan includes:

- A description of how the parent or carer can recognize when symptom control is deteriorating
- The medications to administer
- When and how to obtain medical care, including telephone numbers of services available for emergencies (e.g. doctors' offices, emergency rooms and hospitals, ambulance services and emergency pharmacies). Details of treatments that can be initiated at home are provided in the following section, *Part C: Management of worsening asthma and exacerbations in children 5 years and younger*.

PART C. MANAGEMENT OF WORSENING ASTHMA AND EXACERBATIONS IN CHILDREN 5 YEARS AND YOUNGER

KEY POINTS

- Early symptoms of exacerbations in young children may include increased symptoms; increased coughing, especially at night; lethargy or reduced exercise tolerance; impaired daily activities including feeding; and a poor response to reliever medication.
- Give a written asthma action plan to parents/carers of young children with asthma so they can recognize a severe attack, start treatment, and identify when urgent hospital treatment is required.
 - Initial treatment at home is with inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA), with review after 1 hour or earlier.
 - Parents/carers should seek urgent medical care if the child is acutely distressed, lethargic, fails to respond to initial bronchodilator therapy, or is worsening, especially in children <1 year of age.
 - Medical attention should be sought on the same day if inhaled SABA is needed more often than 3-hourly or for more than 24 hours.
 - There is only weak evidence to support parent-initiated oral corticosteroids.
- In children presenting to primary care or an acute care facility with an asthma exacerbation:
 - Assess severity of the exacerbation while initiating treatment with SABA (2–6 puffs every 20 minutes for first hour) and oxygen (to maintain saturation 94–98%).
 - Recommend immediate transfer to hospital if there is no response to inhaled SABA within 1–2 hours; if the child is unable to speak or drink or has subcostal retractions or cyanosis; if resources are lacking in the home; or if oxygen saturation is <92% on room air.
 - Give oral prednisone/prednisolone 1–2 mg/kg/day for up to 5 days, up to a maximum of 20 mg/day for 0–2 years, and 30 mg/day for 3–5 years.
- Children who have experienced an asthma exacerbation are at risk of further exacerbations. Follow up should be arranged within 1 week of an exacerbation to plan ongoing asthma management.

DIAGNOSIS OF EXACERBATIONS

A flare-up or exacerbation of asthma in children 5 years and younger is defined as an acute or sub-acute deterioration in symptom control that is sufficient to cause distress or risk to health, and necessitates a visit to a health care provider or requires treatment with systemic corticosteroids. They are sometimes called 'episodes'.

Early symptoms of an exacerbation may include any of the following:

- An acute or sub-acute increase in wheeze and shortness of breath
- An increase in coughing, especially while the child is asleep
- Lethargy or reduced exercise tolerance
- Impairment of daily activities, including feeding
- A poor response to reliever medication.

In a study of children aged 2–5 years, the combination of increased daytime cough, daytime wheeze, and night-time beta₂-agonist use was a strong predictor at a group level of an imminent exacerbation (1 day later). This combination predicted around 70% of exacerbations, with a low, false positive rate of 14%. In contrast, no individual symptom was predictive of an imminent asthma exacerbation.⁴²⁷

Upper respiratory symptoms frequently precede the onset of an asthma exacerbation, indicating the important role of viral URTI in precipitating exacerbations in many, although not all, children with asthma.

INITIAL HOME MANAGEMENT OF ASTHMA EXACERBATIONS

Initial management includes an action plan to enable the child's family members and carers to recognize worsening asthma and initiate treatment, recognize when it is severe, identify when urgent hospital treatment is necessary, and provide recommendations for follow up (Evidence D). The action plan should include specific information about medications and dosages and when and how to access medical care.

Need for urgent medical attention

Parents/carers should know that immediate medical attention should be sought if:

- The child is acutely distressed
- The child's symptoms are not relieved promptly by inhaled bronchodilator
- The period of relief after doses of SABA becomes progressively shorter
- A child younger than 1 year requires repeated inhaled SABA over several hours.

Initial treatment at home

Inhaled SABA via a mask or spacer, and review response

The parent/carer should initiate treatment with two puffs of inhaled SABA (200 mcg salbutamol or equivalent), given one puff at a time via a spacer device with or without a facemask (Evidence D). This may be repeated a further two times at 20 minute intervals, if needed. The child should be observed by the family/carer and, if improving, maintained in a restful and reassuring atmosphere for an hour or more. Medical attention should be sought urgently if any of the features listed above apply; or on the same day if more than 6 puffs of inhaled SABA are required for symptom relief within the first 2 hours, or if the child has not recovered after 24 hours.

Family/carer-initiated course of oral or inhaled corticosteroids

Although practiced in some parts of the world, the evidence to support the initiation of oral corticosteroid (OCS) treatment by family/carers in the home management of asthma exacerbations in children is weak.⁴²⁸⁻⁴³² Some studies have used high doses of ICS (1600 mcg/day, preferably divided into four doses over the day) for up to 10 days, with initiation at the first sign of an URTI or flare-up.^{305,413,414,433,434 421} However, because of the high potential for side-effects, especially if the treatment is continued inappropriately or is given frequently, family-administered OCS or high dose ICS should be considered only where the health care provider is confident that the medications will be used appropriately, and the child is closely monitored for side-effects.

PRIMARY CARE OR HOSPITAL MANAGEMENT OF ACUTE ASTHMA EXACERBATIONS

Assessment of exacerbation severity

Conduct a brief history and examination concurrently with the initiation of therapy (Box 6-8). The presence of any of the features of a severe exacerbation listed in Box 6-8 are an indication of the need for urgent treatment and immediate transfer to hospital (Evidence D). Oxygen saturation from pulse oximetry of <92% on presentation (before oxygen or bronchodilator treatment) is associated with high morbidity and likely need for hospitalization; saturation of 92–95% is also associated with higher risk.³¹⁹ Agitation, drowsiness and confusion are features of cerebral hypoxemia. A quiet chest on auscultation indicates minimal ventilation, insufficient to produce a wheeze.

Several clinical scoring systems such as PRAM (Preschool Respiratory Assessment Measure) and PASS (Pediatric Asthma Severity Score) have been developed for assessing the severity of acute asthma exacerbations in children.⁴³⁵

Box 6-8. Initial assessment of acute asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger

Symptoms	Mild	Severe*
Altered consciousness	No	Agitated, confused or drowsy
Oximetry on presentation (SaO ₂)**	>95%	<92%
Speech†	Sentences	Words
Pulse rate	<100 beats/minute	>200 beats/minute (0–3 years) >180 beats/minute (4–5 years)
Central cyanosis	Absent	Likely to be present
Wheeze intensity	Variable	Chest may be quiet

*Any of these features indicates a severe asthma exacerbation. **Oximetry before treatment with oxygen or bronchodilator.

† The normal developmental capability of the child must be taken into account.

Indications for immediate transfer to hospital

Children with features of a severe exacerbation that fail to resolve within 1–2 hours despite repeated dosing with inhaled SABA, with or without OCS, must be referred to hospital for observation and further treatment (Evidence D). Other indications are respiratory arrest or impending arrest; lack of supervision in the home or doctor's office; and recurrence of signs of a severe exacerbation within 48 hours (particularly if treatment with OCS has already been given). In addition, early medical attention should be sought for children less than 2 years of age as the risk of dehydration and respiratory fatigue is increased (Box 6-9).

Box 6-9. Indications for immediate transfer to hospital for children 5 years and younger

Immediate transfer to hospital is indicated if a child ≤5 years with asthma has ANY of the following:

- At initial or subsequent assessment
 - Child is unable to speak or drink
 - Cyanosis
 - Subcostal retraction
 - Oxygen saturation <92% when breathing room air
 - Silent chest on auscultation
- Lack of response to initial bronchodilator treatment
 - Lack of response to 6 puffs of inhaled SABA (2 separate puffs, repeated 3 times) over 1–2 hours
 - Persisting tachypnea* despite three administrations of inhaled SABA, even if the child shows other clinical signs of improvement
- Social environment that impairs delivery of acute treatment, or parent/carer unable to manage acute asthma at home

*Normal respiratory rates: <60 breaths/minute in children 0–2 months; <50 breaths/minute in children 2–12 months; <40 breaths/minute in children 1–5 years.

Emergency treatment and initial pharmacotherapy

Oxygen

Treat hypoxemia urgently with oxygen by face mask to achieve and maintain percutaneous oxygen saturation 94–98% (Evidence A). To avoid hypoxemia during changes in treatment, children who are acutely distressed should be treated immediately with oxygen and SABA (2.5 mg of salbutamol or equivalent diluted in 3 mL of sterile normal saline) delivered by an oxygen-driven nebulizer (if available). This treatment should not be delayed, and may be given before the full assessment is completed.

Bronchodilator therapy

The initial dose of SABA may be given by a pMDI with spacer and mask or mouthpiece or an air-driven nebulizer; or, if oxygen saturation is low, by an oxygen-driven nebulizer (as described above). For most children, pMDI plus spacer is favored as it is more efficient than a nebulizer for bronchodilator delivery^{425,436} (Evidence A). The initial dose of SABA is two puffs of salbutamol (100 mcg per puff) or equivalent, except in acute, severe asthma when six puffs should be given. When a nebulizer is used, a dose of 2.5 mg salbutamol solution is recommended. The frequency of dosing depends on the response observed over 1–2 hours (see below).

For children with moderate-severe exacerbations and a poor response to initial SABA, ipratropium bromide may be added, as 2 puffs of 80mcg (or 250mcg by nebulizer) every 20 minutes for 1 hour only.⁴³⁷

Magnesium sulfate

The role of magnesium sulfate is not yet established for children 5 years and younger, because there are few studies in this age group. Nebulized isotonic magnesium sulfate may be considered as an adjuvant to standard treatment with nebulized salbutamol and ipratropium in the first hour of treatment for children ≥ 2 years old with acute severe asthma (e.g. oxygen saturation $< 92\%$, Box 6-8, p100), particularly those with symptoms lasting < 6 hours.⁴³⁸ Intravenous magnesium sulfate in a single dose of 40-50 mg/kg (maximum 2 g) by slow infusion (20–60 minutes) has also been used.

Assessment of response and additional bronchodilator treatment

Children with a severe asthma exacerbation must be observed for at least 1 hour after initiation of treatment, at which time further treatment can be planned.

- *If symptoms persist after initial bronchodilator:* a further 2–6 puffs of salbutamol (depending on severity) may be given 20 minutes after the first dose and repeated at 20-minute intervals for an hour. Failure to respond at 1 hour, or earlier deterioration, should prompt urgent admission to hospital and a short-course of oral corticosteroids (Evidence D).
- *If symptoms have improved by 1 hour but recur within 3–4 hours:* the child may be given more frequent doses of bronchodilator (2–3 puffs each hour), and oral corticosteroids should be given. The child may need to remain in the emergency room, or, if at home, should be observed by the family/carer and have ready access to emergency care. Children who fail to respond to 10 puffs of inhaled SABA within a 3–4 hour period should be referred to hospital (Evidence D).
- *If symptoms resolve rapidly after initial bronchodilator and do not recur for 1–2 hours:* no further treatment may be required. Further SABA may be given every 3–4 hours (up to a total of 10 puffs/24 hours) and, if symptoms persist beyond 1 day, other treatments including inhaled or oral corticosteroids are indicated (Evidence D), as outlined below.

Box 6-10. Initial management of asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger

Therapy	Dose and administration
Supplemental oxygen	24% delivered by face mask (usually 1 L/minute) to maintain oxygen saturation 94–98%
Short-acting beta ₂ -agonist (SABA)	2–6 puffs of salbutamol by spacer, or 2.5 mg of salbutamol by nebulizer, every 20 minutes for first hour*, then reassess severity. If symptoms persist or recur, give an additional 2–3 puffs per hour. Admit to hospital if >10 puffs required in 3–4 hours.
Systemic corticosteroids	Give initial dose of oral prednisolone (1–2 mg/kg up to a maximum 20 mg for children <2 years old; 30 mg for children 2–5 years) OR, intravenous methylprednisolone 1 mg/kg 6-hourly on day 1
Additional options in the first hour of treatment	
Ipratropium bromide	For children with moderate-severe exacerbations, 2 puffs of ipratropium bromide 80mcg (or 250mcg by nebulizer) every 20 minutes for 1 hour only
Magnesium sulfate	Consider nebulized isotonic magnesium sulfate (150mg) 3 doses in the first hour of treatment for children aged ≥2 years with severe exacerbation (Box 6-8, p100)

*If inhalation is not possible an intravenous bolus of terbutaline 2 mcg/kg may be given over 5 minutes, followed by continuous infusion of 5 mcg/kg/hour⁴³⁹ (Evidence C). The child should be closely monitored, and the dose should be adjusted according to clinical improvement and side-effects. See p106 for additional and ongoing treatment, including controller therapy.

Additional treatment

When treatment in addition to SABA is required for an exacerbation, the options available for children in this age group include ICS; a short course of oral corticosteroid; and/or LTRA. However, the clinical benefit of these interventions – particularly on endpoints such as hospitalizations and longer-term outcomes – has not been impressive.

Maintain current controller treatment (if prescribed)

Children who have been prescribed maintenance therapy with ICS, LTRA or both should continue to take the prescribed dose during and after an exacerbation (Evidence D).

Inhaled corticosteroids

For children not previously on ICS, an initial dose of ICS twice the low daily dose indicated in Box 6-6 (p96) may be given and continued for a few weeks or months (Evidence D). Some studies have used high dose ICS (1600 mcg/day, preferably divided into four doses over the day and given for 5–10 days) as this may reduce the need for OCS.^{305,413,414,433,434} However, the potential for side-effects with high dose ICS should be taken into account, and the child should be monitored closely. For those children already on ICS, doubling the dose was not effective in a small study in older children,³⁰³ and there are no studies in children 5 years and younger; this approach should be reserved mainly for individual cases, and should always involve regular follow up (Evidence D).

Leukotriene receptor antagonists

In children aged 2–5 years with intermittent viral wheezing, one study found that a short course of an oral LTRA (for 7–20 days, commenced at the start of an URTI or the first sign of asthma symptoms) reduced symptoms, health care utilization and time off work for the carer.⁴⁴⁰ In contrast another study found no significant effect on episode-free days (primary outcome), OCS use, health care utilization, quality of life or hospitalization in children with or without a positive

Asthma Predictive Index (API). However, activity limitation and a symptom trouble score were significantly improved, particularly in children with a positive API.⁴⁴¹

Oral corticosteroids

For children with severe exacerbations, a dose of OCS equivalent to prednisolone 1–2 mg/kg/day, with a maximum of 20 mg/day for children under 2 years of age and 30 mg/day for children aged 2–5 years, is currently recommended (Evidence A),⁴⁴² although several studies have failed to show any benefits when given earlier (e.g. by parents) during periods of worsening wheeze (Evidence D).^{428-431,443,444} A 3–5 day course is sufficient in most children and can be stopped abruptly (Evidence D).

Regardless of whether the intervention is corticosteroids or LTRA, the severity of symptoms must be carefully monitored. The sooner therapy is started in relation to the onset of symptoms, the more likely it is that the impending exacerbation may be clinically attenuated or prevented.

Discharge and follow up after an exacerbation

Before discharge, the condition of the child should be stable (e.g. he/she should be out of bed and able to eat and drink without problems).

Children who have recently had an asthma exacerbation are at risk of further episodes and require follow up. The purpose is to ensure complete recovery, to establish the cause of the exacerbation, and, when necessary, to establish appropriate maintenance treatment and adherence (Evidence D).

Prior to discharge from the emergency department or hospital, family/carers should receive the following advice and information (all are Evidence D).

- Instruction on recognition of signs of recurrence and worsening of asthma. The factors that precipitated the exacerbation should be identified, and strategies for future avoidance of these factors implemented.
- A written, individualized action plan, including details of accessible emergency services.
- Careful review of inhaler technique.
- Further treatment advice explaining that:
 - SABAs should be used on an as-needed basis, but the daily requirement should be recorded to ensure it is being decreased over time to pre-exacerbation levels
 - ICS has been initiated where appropriate (at twice the low initial dose in Box 6-6 (p96) for the first month after discharge, then adjusted as needed) or continued, for those previously prescribed controller medication.
- A supply of SABA and, where applicable, the remainder of the course of oral corticosteroid, ICS or LTRA.
- A follow-up appointment within 2–7 days and another within 1–2 months, depending on the clinical, social and practical context of the exacerbation.

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT ALTER OR REPRODUCE

Chapter 7.

**Primary prevention
of asthma**

KEY POINTS

- The development and persistence of asthma are driven by gene–environment interactions. For children, a ‘window of opportunity’ exists *in utero* and in early life, but intervention studies are limited.
- For intervention strategies that include allergen avoidance:
 - Strategies directed at a single allergen have not been effective
 - Multifaceted strategies may be effective, but the essential components have not been identified.
- Current recommendations, based on high quality evidence or consensus, include:
 - Avoid exposure to environmental tobacco smoke during pregnancy and the first year of life
 - Encourage vaginal delivery
 - Advise breast-feeding for its general health benefits (not necessarily for asthma prevention)
 - Where possible, avoid use of paracetamol (acetaminophen) and broad-spectrum antibiotics during the first year of life.

FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF ASTHMA

Asthma is generally believed to be a heterogeneous disease whose inception and persistence is driven by gene–environment interactions. The most important of these interactions may occur in early life and even *in-utero*. There is consensus that a ‘window of opportunity’ exists during pregnancy and early in life when environmental factors may influence asthma development. Multiple environmental factors, both biological and sociological, may be important in the development of asthma. Data supporting the role of environmental risk factors for the development of asthma include a focus on: nutrition, allergens (both inhaled and ingested), pollutants (particularly environmental tobacco smoke), microbes, and psychosocial factors. Additional information about factors contributing to the development of asthma, including occupational asthma, is found in Appendix Chapter 2.

‘Primary prevention’ refers to preventing the onset of disease. This chapter focuses on primary prevention in children. See p64 and review articles²⁸ for strategies for preventing occupational asthma.

PREVENTION OF ASTHMA IN CHILDREN

Nutrition

Breast-feeding

Despite the existence of many studies reporting a beneficial effect of breast-feeding on asthma prevention, results are conflicting, and caution should be taken in advising families that breast-feeding will prevent asthma.⁴⁴⁵ Breast-feeding decreases wheezing episodes in early life; however, it may not prevent development of persistent asthma (Evidence D). Regardless of its effect on development of asthma, breast-feeding should be encouraged for all of its other positive benefits (Evidence A).

Vitamin D

Intake of vitamin D may be through diet, dietary supplementation or sunlight. A systematic review of cohort, case control and cross-sectional studies concluded that maternal intake of vitamin D, and of vitamin E, was associated with lower risk of wheezing illnesses in children.⁴⁴⁶

Delayed introduction of solids

Beginning in the 1990s, many national pediatric agencies and societies recommended delay of introduction of solid food, especially for children at a high risk for developing allergy. Current guidelines do not recommend strict avoidance of high-risk foods,²⁵³ but carefully controlled, prospective studies are needed to conclusively resolve this controversy.

Probiotics

A meta-analysis provided insufficient evidence to recommend probiotics for the prevention of allergic disease (asthma, rhinitis, eczema or food allergy).⁴⁴⁷

Inhalant allergens

Sensitization to indoor, inhaled aero-allergens is generally more important than sensitization to outdoor allergens for the presence of and/or development of, asthma. While there appears to be a linear relationship between exposure and sensitization to house dust mite,^{448,449} the relationship for animal allergen appears to be more complex. Some studies have found that exposure to pet allergens is associated with increased risk of sensitization to these allergens,^{450,451} and of asthma and wheezing.^{452,453} By contrast, other studies have demonstrated a *decreased* risk of developing allergy with exposure to pets.^{454,455} A review of over 22,000 school-age children from 11 birth cohorts in Europe found no correlation between pets in the homes early in life and higher or lower prevalence of asthma in children.⁴⁵⁶ In summary, there are insufficient data to recommend efforts to either reduce or increase pre-natal or early-life exposure to common sensitizing allergens, including pets, for the prevention of allergies and asthma.

Birth cohort studies provide some evidence for consideration. A meta-analysis found that studies of interventions focused on reducing exposure to a single allergen did not significantly affect asthma development, but that multifaceted interventions such as in the Isle of Wight study,⁴⁵⁷ the Canadian Asthma Primary Prevention Study,⁴⁵⁸ and the Prevention of Asthma in Children study⁴⁵⁹ were associated with lower risk of asthma diagnosis in children younger than 5 years.⁴⁶⁰ Two multifaceted studies that followed children beyond 5 years of age demonstrated a significant protective effect both before and after the age of 5 years.^{457,461} The Isle of Wight study has shown a continuing positive benefit for early-life intervention through to 18 years of age,⁴⁶² however, exactly which components of the intervention were important and which specific mechanistic changes were induced remain elusive.

Pollutants

Maternal smoking during pregnancy is the most direct route of pre-natal environmental tobacco smoke exposure.⁴⁶³ A meta-analysis concluded that pre-natal smoking had its strongest effect on young children, whereas post-natal maternal smoking seemed relevant only to asthma development in older children.⁴⁶⁴

Exposure to outdoor pollutants, such as living near a main road, is associated with increased risk of asthma,⁴⁶⁵ but one study suggested that this may only be important for children also exposed to tobacco smoke *in-utero* and in infancy.⁴⁶⁶

Microbial effects

The 'hygiene hypothesis', and the more recently coined 'microflora hypothesis' and 'biodiversity hypothesis',⁴⁶⁷ suggest that human interaction with microbiota may be beneficial in preventing asthma. For example, there is a lower risk of asthma among children raised on farms with exposure to stables and consumption of raw farm milk than among children of non-farmers.⁴⁶⁸ The risk of asthma is also reduced in children whose bedrooms have high levels of bacterial-derived lipopolysaccharide endotoxin.^{469,470} Similarly, children in homes with ≥ 2 dogs or cats are less likely to be allergic than those in homes without dogs or cats.⁴⁵⁵ Exposure of an infant to the mother's vaginal microflora through vaginal delivery may also be beneficial; the prevalence of asthma is higher in children born by Caesarian section than those born vaginally.⁴⁷¹ This may relate to differences in the infant gut microbiota according to their mode of delivery.⁴⁷²

Medications

Antibiotic use during pregnancy and in infants and toddlers has been associated with the development of asthma later in life,⁴⁷³⁻⁴⁷⁵ although not all studies have shown this association.⁴⁷⁶ Intake of the analgesic, paracetamol (acetaminophen), may be associated with asthma in both children and adults⁴⁷⁷ and its frequent use by pregnant women has been associated with asthma in their children.⁴⁷⁸

Psychosocial factors

The social environment to which children are exposed may also contribute to the development and severity of asthma. Maternal distress that persists from birth through to early school age has been associated with an increased risk of the child developing asthma.⁴⁷³

ADVICE ABOUT PRIMARY PREVENTION OF ASTHMA

Based on the results of cohort and observational studies, parents enquiring about how to reduce the risk of their children developing asthma can be provided with the advice summarized in Box 7-1.

There is interest in investigating other strategies for prevention of asthma, based on known associations. For example, respiratory syncytial virus infection is associated with subsequent recurrent wheeze, and preventative treatment of premature infants with monthly injections of the monoclonal antibody, palivizumab, (prescribed for prophylaxis of respiratory syncytial virus) is associated with a reduction in recurrent wheezing in the first year of life.⁴⁷⁹

Possibly the most important factor is the need to provide a positive, supportive environment that decreases stress, and which encourages families to make choices with which they feel comfortable.

Box 7-1. Advice about primary prevention of asthma in children 5 years and younger

Parents enquiring about how to reduce the risk of their child developing asthma can be provided with the following advice:

- Children should not be exposed to environmental tobacco smoke during pregnancy or after birth
- Vaginal delivery should be encouraged where possible
- Breast-feeding is advised, for reasons other than prevention of allergy and asthma
- The use of broad-spectrum antibiotics during the first year of life should be discouraged.

Chapter 8.

**Implementing asthma
management strategies
into health systems**

KEY POINTS

- In order to improve asthma care and patient outcomes, evidence-based recommendations must not only be developed, but also disseminated and implemented at a national and local level, and integrated into clinical practice.
- Recommendations for implementing asthma care strategies are based on many successful programs worldwide.
- Implementation requires an evidence-based strategy involving professional groups and stakeholders, and should take into account local cultural and socioeconomic conditions.
- Cost-effectiveness of implementation programs should be assessed so a decision can be made to pursue or modify them.
- Local adaptation and implementation of asthma care strategies is aided by the use of tools developed for this purpose.

INTRODUCTION

Due to the exponential increase in medical research publications, practical syntheses are needed to guide policy makers and health care professionals in delivering evidence-based care. When asthma care is consistent with evidence-based recommendations, outcomes improve.^{123,480,481} The *Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention* is a resource document for health care professionals to establish the main goals of asthma treatment and the actions required to ensure their fulfilment, as well as to facilitate the achievement of standards for quality asthma care.


The recent adoption of rigorous methodologies such as GRADE² for the development of clinical practice recommendations, and the ADAPTE⁴⁸² and similar approaches for assisting the adaptation of recommendations for local country and regional conditions, has assisted in reducing biased opinion as the basis for asthma programs worldwide. Adaptation of clinical practice recommendations to local conditions using the GRADE method is costly and often requires expertise that is not available locally; in addition, regular revision is required to remain abreast of developments, including drug availability and new evidence, and this is not easily achieved.⁴⁸³ Further, there is generally very limited high quality evidence addressing the many decision nodes in comprehensive clinical practice guidelines, particularly in developing countries.

ADAPTING AND IMPLEMENTING ASTHMA CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

Implementation of asthma management strategies may be carried out at a national, regional or local level.⁴⁸⁴ Ideally, implementation should be a multidisciplinary effort involving many stakeholders, and using cost-effective methods of knowledge translation.⁴⁸⁴⁻⁴⁸⁶ Each implementation initiative needs to consider the nature of the local health system and its resources (e.g. human, infrastructure, available treatments) (Box 8-1). Moreover, goals and implementation strategies will need to vary from country to country and within countries, based on economics, culture and the physical and social environment. Priority should be given to high-impact interventions.

Specific steps need to be followed before clinical practice recommendations can be embedded into local clinical practice and become the standard of care, particularly in low resource settings. The individual steps are summarized in Box 8-2, and a detailed description of the processes involved in each step can be found in the GINA Appendix Chapter 7, available online at www.ginasthma.org.

Box 8-1. Approach to implementation of the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention



Box 8-2. Essential elements required to implement a health-related strategy

Steps in implementing an asthma strategy into a health system

1. Develop a multidisciplinary working group
2. Assess the current status of asthma care delivery, care gaps and current needs
3. Select the material to be implemented, agree on main goals, identify key recommendations for diagnosis and treatment, and adapt them to the local context or environment
4. Identify barriers to, and facilitators of, implementation
5. Select an implementation framework and its component strategies
6. Develop a step-by-step implementation plan:
 - Select target populations and evaluable outcomes
 - Identify local resources to support implementation
 - Set timelines
 - Distribute tasks to members
 - Evaluate outcomes
7. Continuously review progress and results to determine if the strategy requires modification

BARRIERS AND FACILITATORS

Many barriers to, and facilitators of, implementation procedures have been described.⁴⁸⁶⁻⁴⁸⁹ Some of the barriers to implementation of evidence-based asthma management relate to the delivery of care, while others relate to patients' attitudes (see Box 8-3, and examples in Appendix Chapter 7, Box 7-1). Cultural and economic barriers can particularly affect the application of recommendations.

Box 8-3. Examples of barriers to the implementation of evidence-based recommendations

Health care providers	Patients
Insufficient knowledge of recommendations Lack of agreement with recommendations or expectation that they will be effective Resistance to change External barriers (organizational, health policies, financial constraints) Lack of time and resources Medico-legal issues	Low health literacy Insufficient understanding of asthma and its management Lack of agreement with recommendations Cultural and economic barriers Peer influence Attitudes, beliefs, preferences, fears and misconceptions

EVALUATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS

An important part of the implementation process is to establish a means of evaluating the effectiveness of the program and any improvements in quality of care (see Appendix Chapter 7, Box A7-3). The Cochrane Effective Practice and Organization of Care Group (EPOC) offers suggestions on how to assess the effectiveness of interventions.⁴⁹⁰

Evaluation involves surveillance of traditional epidemiological parameters, such as morbidity and mortality, as well as specific audits of both process and outcome within different sectors of the health care system. Each country should determine its own minimum sets of data to audit health outcomes.

HOW CAN GINA HELP WITH IMPLEMENTATION?

GINA, through the work of its Dissemination and Implementation Committee, assists in the processes of adaptation and implementation of the recommendations in the *Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention* report. The GINA report provides an annually-updated summary of evidence relevant to asthma diagnosis, management and prevention that may be used in the formulation and adaptation of local guidelines; where evidence is lacking, the GINA report provides approaches for consideration. A web-based implementation 'toolkit' will provide a template and guide to local adaptation and implementation of these recommendations, together with materials and advice from successful examples of asthma clinical practice guideline development and implementation in different settings.

Educational materials and tools based on the *Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention* are available in several forms and can be found on the GINA Website (www.ginasthma.org).

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT ALTER OR REPRODUCE

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

1. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute N. Global initiative for asthma. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention. NHBLI/WHO workshop. 1995:NIH Publication no. 95-3659.
2. Schunemann HJ, Jaeschke R, Cook DJ, et al. An official ATS statement: grading the quality of evidence and strength of recommendations in ATS guidelines and recommendations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;174:605-14.
3. Pedersen SE, Hurd SS, Lemanske RF, Jr., et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis and management of asthma in children 5 years and younger. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2011;46:1-17.
4. Bel EH. Clinical phenotypes of asthma. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2004;10:44-50.
5. Moore WC, Meyers DA, Wenzel SE, et al. Identification of asthma phenotypes using cluster analysis in the Severe Asthma Research Program. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;181:315-23.
6. Wenzel SE. Asthma phenotypes: the evolution from clinical to molecular approaches. *Nat Med* 2012;18:716-25.
7. Anderson GP. Endotyping asthma: new insights into key pathogenic mechanisms in a complex, heterogeneous disease. *Lancet* 2008;372:1107-19.
8. Levy ML, Quanjer PH, Booker R, et al. Diagnostic spirometry in primary care: Proposed standards for general practice compliant with American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society recommendations: a General Practice Airways Group (GPIAG) document, in association with the Association for Respiratory Technology & Physiology (ARTP) and Education for Health. *Prim Care Respir J* 2009;18:130-47.
9. Reddel H, Ware S, Marks G, Salome C, Jenkins C, Woolcock A. Differences between asthma exacerbations and poor asthma control [erratum in *Lancet* 1999;353:758]. *Lancet* 1999;353:364-9.
10. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:319-38.
11. Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, et al. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3-95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. *Eur Respir J* 2012;40:1324-43.
12. Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, et al. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:948-68.
13. Tan WC, Vollmer WM, Lamprecht B, et al. Worldwide patterns of bronchodilator responsiveness: results from the Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease study. *Thorax* 2012;67:718-26.
14. Reddel HK, Taylor DR, Bateman ED, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: asthma control and exacerbations: standardizing endpoints for clinical asthma trials and clinical practice. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180:59-99.
15. Brouwer AF, Brand PL. Asthma education and monitoring: what has been shown to work. *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2008;9:193-9.
16. Parsons JP, Hallstrand TS, Mastrorade JG, et al. An official American Thoracic Society clinical practice guideline: exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;187:1016-27.
17. Crapo RO, Casaburi R, Coates AL, et al. Guidelines for methacholine and exercise challenge testing-1999. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;161:309-29.
18. Joos GF, O'Connor B, Anderson SD, et al. Indirect airway challenges. *Eur Respir J* 2003;21:1050-68.
19. Ramsdale EH, Morris MM, Roberts RS, Hargreave FE. Asymptomatic bronchial hyperresponsiveness in rhinitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1985;75:573-7.
20. van Haren EH, Lammers JW, Festen J, Heijerman HG, Groot CA, van Herwaarden CL. The effects of the inhaled corticosteroid budesonide on lung function and bronchial hyperresponsiveness in adult patients with cystic fibrosis. *Respir Med* 1995;89:209-14.
21. Joshi S, Powell T, Watkins WJ, Drayton M, Williams EM, Kotecha S. Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction in school-aged children who had chronic lung disease in infancy.[Erratum in *J Pediatr*. 2013 Jun;162(6):1298]. *J Pediatr* 2013;162:813-8.e1.
22. Ramsdale EH, Morris MM, Roberts RS, Hargreave FE. Bronchial responsiveness to methacholine in chronic bronchitis: relationship to airflow obstruction and cold air responsiveness. *Thorax* 1984;39:912-8.
23. Ahlstedt S, Murray CS. In vitro diagnosis of allergy: how to interpret IgE antibody results in clinical practice. *Prim Care Respir J* 2006;15:228-36.
24. American Thoracic Society, European Respiratory Society. ATS/ERS Recommendations for Standardized Procedures for the Online and Offline Measurement of Exhaled Lower Respiratory Nitric Oxide and Nasal Nitric Oxide, 2005. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;171:912-30.
25. Dweik RA, Boggs PB, Erzurum SC, et al. An official ATS clinical practice guideline: interpretation of exhaled nitric oxide levels (FENO) for clinical applications. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;184:602-15.
26. Gibson PG, Chang AB, Glasgow NJ, et al. CICADA: Cough in Children and Adults: Diagnosis and Assessment. Australian cough guidelines summary statement. *Med J Aust* 2010;192:265-71.

27. Desai D, Brightling C. Cough due to asthma, cough-variant asthma and non-asthmatic eosinophilic bronchitis. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am* 2010;43:123-30.
28. Baur X, Sigsgaard T, Aasen TB, et al. Guidelines for the management of work-related asthma.[Erratum appears in *Eur Respir J* 2012 Jun;39(6):1553]. *Eur Respir J* 2012;39:529-45.
29. Tarlo SM, Malo JL. An official ATS proceedings: asthma in the workplace: the Third Jack Pepys Workshop on Asthma in the Workplace: answered and unanswered questions. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2009;6:339-49.
30. Levy ML, Nicholson PJ. Occupational asthma case finding: a role for primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004;54:731-3.
31. Carlsen KH, Anderson SD, Bjermer L, et al. Exercise-induced asthma, respiratory and allergic disorders in elite athletes: epidemiology, mechanisms and diagnosis: Part I of the report from the Joint Task Force of the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) in cooperation with GA2LEN. *Allergy* 2008;63:387-403.
32. Murphy VE, Gibson PG. Asthma in pregnancy. *Clin Chest Med* 2011;32:93-110, ix.
33. Adams RJ, Wilson DH, Appleton S, et al. Underdiagnosed asthma in South Australia. *Thorax* 2003;58:846-50.
34. Parshall MB, Schwartzstein RM, Adams L, et al. An Official American Thoracic Society Statement: Update on the Mechanisms, Assessment, and Management of Dyspnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;185:435-52.
35. Januzzi JL, Jr., Camargo CA, Anwaruddin S, et al. The N-terminal Pro-BNP investigation of dyspnea in the emergency department (PRIDE) study. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;95:948-54.
36. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). Global Strategy for Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of COPD. Available from www.goldcopd.org. 2014.
37. Hanania NA, Celli BR, Donohue JF, Martin UJ. Bronchodilator reversibility in COPD. *Chest* 2011;140:1055-63.
38. Hardin M, Silverman EK, Barr RG, et al. The clinical features of the overlap between COPD and asthma. *Respir Res* 2011;12:127.
39. Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Boulet LP, et al. Overdiagnosis of asthma in obese and nonobese adults. *CMAJ* 2008;179:1121-31.
40. Lucas AE, Smeenk FW, Smeele IJ, van Schayck CP. Overtreatment with inhaled corticosteroids and diagnostic problems in primary care patients, an exploratory study. *Fam Pract* 2008;25:86-91.
41. Marklund B, Tunsater A, Bengtsson C. How often is the diagnosis bronchial asthma correct? *Fam Pract* 1999;16:112-6.
42. Montnemery P, Hansson L, Lanke J, et al. Accuracy of a first diagnosis of asthma in primary health care. *Fam Pract* 2002;19:365-8.
43. Boulet LP. Asthma and obesity. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2013;43:8-21.
44. van Huisstede A, Castro Cabezas M, van de Geijn GJ, et al. Underdiagnosis and overdiagnosis of asthma in the morbidly obese. *Respir Med* 2013;107:1356-64.
45. Levy ML, Fletcher M, Price DB, Hausen T, Halbert RJ, Yawn BP. International Primary Care Respiratory Group (IPCRG) Guidelines: diagnosis of respiratory diseases in primary care. *Prim Care Respir J* 2006;15:20-34.
46. English RG, Bachmann MO, Bateman ED, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of an integrated respiratory guideline in identifying patients with respiratory symptoms requiring screening for pulmonary tuberculosis: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Pulm Med* 2006;6:22.
47. Ait-Khaled N, Enarson DA, Chiang C-Y, Marks G, K B. Management of asthma: a guide to the essentials of good clinical practice. Paris, France: International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease; 2008.
48. Taylor DR, Bateman ED, Boulet LP, et al. A new perspective on concepts of asthma severity and control. *Eur Respir J* 2008;32:545-54.
49. Aroni R, Goeman D, Stewart K, et al. Enhancing validity: what counts as an asthma attack? *J Asthma* 2004;41:729-37.
50. McCoy K, Shade DM, Irvin CG, et al. Predicting episodes of poor asthma control in treated patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006;118:1226-33.
51. Meltzer EO, Busse WW, Wenzel SE, et al. Use of the Asthma Control Questionnaire to predict future risk of asthma exacerbation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;127:167-72.
52. Schatz M, Zeiger RS, Yang SJ, et al. The relationship of asthma impairment determined by psychometric tools to future asthma exacerbations. *Chest* 2012;141:66-72.
53. Pinnock H, Burton C, Campbell S, et al. Clinical implications of the Royal College of Physicians three questions in routine asthma care: a real-life validation study. *Prim Care Respir J* 2012;21:288-94.
54. Ahmed S, Ernst P, Tamblyn R, Colman N. Validation of The 30 Second Asthma Test as a measure of asthma control. *Can Respir J* 2007;14:105-9.
55. O'Byrne PM, Reddel HK, Eriksson G, et al. Measuring asthma control: a comparison of three classification systems. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36:269-76.

56. Thomas M, Kay S, Pike J, et al. The Asthma Control Test (ACT) as a predictor of GINA guideline-defined asthma control: analysis of a multinational cross-sectional survey. *Prim Care Respir J* 2009;18:41-9.
57. Juniper EF, O'Byrne PM, Guyatt GH, Ferrie PJ, King DR. Development and validation of a questionnaire to measure asthma control. *Eur Respir J* 1999;14:902-7.
58. Juniper EF, Svensson K, Mork AC, Stahl E. Measurement properties and interpretation of three shortened versions of the asthma control questionnaire. *Respir Med* 2005;99:553-8.
59. Juniper EF, Bousquet J, Abetz L, Bateman ED, The GOAL Committee. Identifying 'well-controlled' and 'not well-controlled' asthma using the Asthma Control Questionnaire. *Respir Med* 2006;100:616-21.
60. Nathan RA, Sorkness CA, Kosinski M, et al. Development of the asthma control test: a survey for assessing asthma control. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004;113:59-65.
61. Schatz M, Kosinski M, Yarlas AS, Hanlon J, Watson ME, Jhingran P. The minimally important difference of the Asthma Control Test. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;124:719-23 e1.
62. Liu AH, Zeiger R, Sorkness C, et al. Development and cross-sectional validation of the Childhood Asthma Control Test. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;119:817-25.
63. Juniper EF, Gruffydd-Jones K, Ward S, Svensson K. Asthma Control Questionnaire in children: validation, measurement properties, interpretation. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36:1410-6.
64. Chipps B, Zeiger RS, Murphy K, et al. Longitudinal validation of the Test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids in pediatric practices. *Pediatrics* 2011;127:e737-47.
65. Murphy KR, Zeiger RS, Kosinski M, et al. Test for respiratory and asthma control in kids (TRACK): a caregiver-completed questionnaire for preschool-aged children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;123:833-9 e9.
66. Zeiger RS, Mellon M, Chipps B, et al. Test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids (TRACK): clinically meaningful changes in score. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;128:983-8.
67. Wildfire JJ, Gergen PJ, Sorkness CA, et al. Development and validation of the Composite Asthma Severity Index--an outcome measure for use in children and adolescents. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012;129:694-701.
68. Haselkorn T, Fish JE, Zeiger RS, et al. Consistently very poorly controlled asthma, as defined by the impairment domain of the Expert Panel Report 3 guidelines, increases risk for future severe asthma exacerbations in The Epidemiology and Natural History of Asthma: Outcomes and Treatment Regimens (TENOR) study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;124:895-902.e1-4.
69. Suissa S, Ernst P, Boivin JF, et al. A cohort analysis of excess mortality in asthma and the use of inhaled beta-agonists. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;149:604-10.
70. Ernst P, Spitzer WO, Suissa S, et al. Risk of fatal and near-fatal asthma in relation to inhaled corticosteroid use. *JAMA* 1992;268:3462-4.
71. Melani AS, Bonavia M, Cilenti V, et al. Inhaler mishandling remains common in real life and is associated with reduced disease control. *Respir Med* 2011;105:930-8.
72. Fuhlbrigge AL, Kitch BT, Paltiel AD, et al. FEV1 is associated with risk of asthma attacks in a pediatric population. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001;107:61-7.
73. Osborne ML, Pedula KL, O'Hollaren M, et al. Assessing future need for acute care in adult asthmatics: the Profile of Asthma Risk Study: a prospective health maintenance organization-based study. *Chest* 2007;132:1151-61.
74. Sturdy PM, Victor CR, Anderson HR, et al. Psychological, social and health behaviour risk factors for deaths certified as asthma: a national case-control study. *Thorax* 2002;57:1034-9.
75. Fitzpatrick S, Joks R, Silverberg JI. Obesity is associated with increased asthma severity and exacerbations, and increased serum immunoglobulin E in inner-city adults. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2012;42:747-59.
76. Bousquet J, Khaltaev N, Cruz AA, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) 2008 update (in collaboration with the World Health Organization, GA(2)LEN and AllerGen). *Allergy* 2008;63 Suppl 86:8-160.
77. Burks AW, Tang M, Sicherer S, et al. ICON: food allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012;129:906-20.
78. Belda J, Giner J, Casan P, Sanchis J. Mild exacerbations and eosinophilic inflammation in patients with stable, well-controlled asthma after 1 year of follow-up. *Chest* 2001;119:1011-7.
79. Ulrik CS. Peripheral eosinophil counts as a marker of disease activity in intrinsic and extrinsic asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1995;25:820-7.
80. Murphy VE, Clifton VL, Gibson PG. Asthma exacerbations during pregnancy: incidence and association with adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Thorax* 2006;61:169-76.
81. Turner MO, Noertjojo K, Vedal S, Bai T, Crump S, FitzGerald JM. Risk factors for near-fatal asthma. A case-control study in hospitalized patients with asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157:1804-9.
82. Miller MK, Lee JH, Miller DP, Wenzel SE. Recent asthma exacerbations: a key predictor of future exacerbations. *Respir Med* 2007;101:481-9.

83. O'Byrne PM, Pedersen S, Lamm CJ, Tan WC, Busse WW. Severe exacerbations and decline in lung function in asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;179:19-24.
84. Lange P, Parner J, Vestbo J, Schnohr P, Jensen G. A 15-year follow-up study of ventilatory function in adults with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:1194-200.
85. Ulrik CS. Outcome of asthma: longitudinal changes in lung function. *Eur Respir J* 1999;13:904-18.
86. Raissy HH, Kelly HW, Harkins M, Szeffler SJ. Inhaled corticosteroids in lung diseases. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;187:798-803.
87. Foster JM, Aucott L, van der Werf RH, et al. Higher patient perceived side effects related to higher daily doses of inhaled corticosteroids in the community: a cross-sectional analysis. *Respir Med* 2006;100:1318-36.
88. Roland NJ, Bhalla RK, Earis J. The local side effects of inhaled corticosteroids: current understanding and review of the literature. 2004;126:213-9.
89. Wechsler ME, Kelley JM, Boyd IO, et al. Active albuterol or placebo, sham acupuncture, or no intervention in asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:119-26.
90. Castro M, Rubin AS, Laviolette M, et al. Effectiveness and safety of bronchial thermoplasty in the treatment of severe asthma: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;181:116-24.
91. Lazarus SC, Boushey HA, Fahy JV, et al. Long-acting beta2-agonist monotherapy vs continued therapy with inhaled corticosteroids in patients with persistent asthma: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2001;285:2583-93.
92. Kohansal R, Martinez-Camblor P, Agusti A, Buist AS, Mannino DM, Soriano JB. The natural history of chronic airflow obstruction revisited: an analysis of the Framingham offspring cohort. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180:3-10.
93. Kerstjens HA, Brand PL, de Jong PM, Koeter GH, Postma DS. Influence of treatment on peak expiratory flow and its relation to airway hyperresponsiveness and symptoms. The Dutch CNSLD Study Group. *Thorax* 1994;49:1109-15.
94. Brand PL, Duiverman EJ, Waalkens HJ, van Essen-Zandvliet EE, Kerrebijn KF. Peak flow variation in childhood asthma: correlation with symptoms, airways obstruction, and hyperresponsiveness during long-term treatment with inhaled corticosteroids. Dutch CNSLD Study Group. *Thorax* 1999;54:103-7.
95. Bateman ED, Boushey HA, Bousquet J, et al. Can guideline-defined asthma control be achieved? The Gaining Optimal Asthma Control study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;170:836-44.
96. Jenkins CR, Thien FC, Wheatley JR, Reddel HK. Traditional and patient-centred outcomes with three classes of asthma medication. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:36-44.
97. Li D, German D, Lulla S, Thomas RG, Wilson SR. Prospective study of hospitalization for asthma. A preliminary risk factor model. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;151:647-55.
98. Kitch BT, Paltiel AD, Kuntz KM, et al. A single measure of FEV1 is associated with risk of asthma attacks in long-term follow-up. *Chest* 2004;126:1875-82.
99. Killian KJ, Watson R, Otis J, St Amand TA, O'Byrne PM. Symptom perception during acute bronchoconstriction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162:490-6.
100. Rosi E, Stendardi L, Binazzi B, Scano G. Perception of airway obstruction and airway inflammation in asthma: a review. *Lung* 2006;184:251-8.
101. Reddel HK, Jenkins CR, Marks GB, et al. Optimal asthma control, starting with high doses of inhaled budesonide. *Eur Respir J* 2000;16:226-35.
102. Santanello NC, Davies G, Galant SP, et al. Validation of an asthma symptom diary for interventional studies. *Arch Dis Child* 1999;80:414-20.
103. Szeffler SJ, Martin RJ, King TS, et al. Significant variability in response to inhaled corticosteroids for persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002;109:410-8.
104. Reddel HK, Marks GB, Jenkins CR. When can personal best peak flow be determined for asthma action plans? *Thorax* 2004;59:922-4.
105. Frey U, Brodbeck T, Majumdar A, et al. Risk of severe asthma episodes predicted from fluctuation analysis of airway function. *Nature* 2005;438:667-70.
106. Julius SM, Davenport KL, Davenport PW. Perception of intrinsic and extrinsic respiratory loads in children with life-threatening asthma. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2002;34:425-33.
107. Kikuchi Y, Okabe S, Tamura G, et al. Chemosensitivity and perception of dyspnea in patients with a history of near-fatal asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1994;330:1329-34.
108. Magadle R, Berar-Yanay N, Weiner P. The risk of hospitalization and near-fatal and fatal asthma in relation to the perception of dyspnea. *Chest* 2002;121:329-33.
109. Jansen J, McCaffery KJ, Hayen A, Ma D, Reddel HK. Impact of graphic format on perception of change in biological data: implications for health monitoring in conditions such as asthma. *Prim Care Respir J* 2012;21:94-100.

110. Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, et al. International ERS/ATS Guidelines on Definition, Evaluation and Treatment of Severe Asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2014;43:343-73.
111. Bousquet J, Mantzouranis E, Cruz AA, et al. Uniform definition of asthma severity, control, and exacerbations: document presented for the World Health Organization Consultation on Severe Asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010;126:926-38.
112. Boulet LP, Vervloet D, Magar Y, Foster JM. Adherence: the goal to control asthma. *Clin Chest Med* 2012;33:405-17.
113. Gibson PG, Powell H, Coughlan J, et al. Self-management education and regular practitioner review for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003;CD001117.
114. Guevara JP, Wolf FM, Grum CM, Clark NM. Effects of educational interventions for self management of asthma in children and adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2003;326:1308-9.
115. Wilson SR, Strub P, Buist AS, et al. Shared treatment decision making improves adherence and outcomes in poorly controlled asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;181:566-77.
116. Cabana MD, Slish KK, Evans D, et al. Impact of physician asthma care education on patient outcomes. *Pediatrics* 2006;117:2149-57.
117. Partridge MR, Hill SR. Enhancing care for people with asthma: the role of communication, education, training and self-management. 1998 World Asthma Meeting Education and Delivery of Care Working Group. *Eur Respir J* 2000;16:333-48.
118. Maguire P, Pitceathly C. Key communication skills and how to acquire them. *BMJ* 2002;325:697-700.
119. Clark NM, Cabana MD, Nan B, et al. The clinician-patient partnership paradigm: outcomes associated with physician communication behavior. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2008;47:49-57.
120. Rosas-Salazar C, Apter AJ, Canino G, Celedon JC. Health literacy and asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012;129:935-42.
121. Rosas-Salazar C, Ramratnam SK, Brehm JM, et al. Parental numeracy and asthma exacerbations in Puerto Rican children. *Chest* 2013;144:92-8.
122. Poureslami I, Nimmon L, Doyle-Waters M, et al. Effectiveness of educational interventions on asthma self-management in Punjabi and Chinese asthma patients: a randomized controlled trial. *J Asthma* 2012;49:542-51.
123. Hahtela T, Tuomisto LE, Pietinalho A, et al. A 10 year asthma programme in Finland: major change for the better. *Thorax* 2006;61:663-70.
124. Ait-Khaled N, Enarson DA, Bencharif N, et al. Implementation of asthma guidelines in health centres of several developing countries. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2006;10:104-9.
125. Plaza V, Cobos A, Ignacio-Garcia JM, et al. [Cost-effectiveness of an intervention based on the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) recommendations using a computerized clinical decision support system: a physicians randomized trial]. *Med Clin (Barc)* 2005;124:201-6.
126. Haldar P, Pavord ID, Shaw DE, et al. Cluster analysis and clinical asthma phenotypes. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;178:218-24.
127. Gibson PG, Powell H, Ducharme FM. Differential effects of maintenance long-acting beta-agonist and inhaled corticosteroid on asthma control and asthma exacerbations. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;119:344-50.
128. O'Byrne PM, Naya IP, Kallen A, Postma DS, Barnes PJ. Increasing doses of inhaled corticosteroids compared to adding long-acting inhaled beta2-agonists in achieving asthma control. *Chest* 2008;134:1192-9.
129. Bateman ED, Reddel HK, Eriksson G, et al. Overall asthma control: the relationship between current control and future risk. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010;125:600-8.
130. Petsky HL, Cates CJ, Lasserson TJ, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis: tailoring asthma treatment on eosinophilic markers (exhaled nitric oxide or sputum eosinophils). *Thorax* 2012;67:199-208.
131. Gibson PG. Using fractional exhaled nitric oxide to guide asthma therapy: design and methodological issues for Asthma Treatment Algorithm studies. *Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2009;39:478-90.
132. Roche N, Reddel HK, Agusti A, et al. Integrating real-life studies in the global therapeutic research framework. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013;1:e29-e30.
133. Chung KF. New treatments for severe treatment-resistant asthma: targeting the right patient. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013;1:639-52.
134. Drazen JM. Asthma: the paradox of heterogeneity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012;129:1200-1.
135. Busse WW, Pedersen S, Pauwels RA, et al. The Inhaled Steroid Treatment As Regular Therapy in Early Asthma (START) study 5-year follow-up: effectiveness of early intervention with budesonide in mild persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008;121:1167-74.

136. Selroos O, Pietinalho A, Lofroos AB, Riska H. Effect of early vs late intervention with inhaled corticosteroids in asthma. *Chest* 1995;108:1228-34.
137. Selroos O. Effect of disease duration on dose-response of inhaled budesonide in asthma. *Respir Med* 2008;102:1065-72.
138. Anonymous. Using beta 2-stimulants in asthma. *Drug Ther Bull* 1997;35:1-4.
139. O'Byrne PM, Barnes PJ, Rodriguez-Roisin R, et al. Low dose inhaled budesonide and formoterol in mild persistent asthma: the OPTIMA randomized trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;164(8 Pt 1):1392-7.
140. Zeiger RS, Baker JW, Kaplan MS, et al. Variability of symptoms in mild persistent asthma: baseline data from the MIAMI study. *Respir Med* 2004;98:898-905.
141. Pauwels RA, Pedersen S, Busse WW, et al. Early intervention with budesonide in mild persistent asthma: a randomised, double-blind trial. *Lancet* 2003;361:1071-6.
142. Welsh EJ, Cates CJ. Formoterol versus short-acting beta-agonists as relief medication for adults and children with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010:CD008418.
143. Adams NP, Bestall JB, Malouf R, Lasserson TJ, Jones PW. Inhaled beclomethasone versus placebo for chronic asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005:CD002738.
144. Suissa S, Ernst P, Benayoun S, Baltzan M, Cai B. Low-dose inhaled corticosteroids and the prevention of death from asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:332-6.
145. Chauhan BF, Ducharme FM. Anti-leukotriene agents compared to inhaled corticosteroids in the management of recurrent and/or chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;5:CD002314.
146. Philip G, Nayak AS, Berger WE, et al. The effect of montelukast on rhinitis symptoms in patients with asthma and seasonal allergic rhinitis. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2004;20:1549-58.
147. Wilson AM, Dempsey OJ, Sims EJ, Lipworth BJ. A comparison of topical budesonide and oral montelukast in seasonal allergic rhinitis and asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2001;31:616-24.
148. Ni Chroinin M, Greenstone I, Lasserson TJ, Ducharme FM. Addition of inhaled long-acting beta2-agonists to inhaled steroids as first line therapy for persistent asthma in steroid-naïve adults and children. *Cochrane Database System Rev* 2009:CD005307.
149. Dahl R, Larsen BB, Venge P. Effect of long-term treatment with inhaled budesonide or theophylline on lung function, airway reactivity and asthma symptoms. *Respir Med* 2002;96:432-8.
150. Rivington RN, Boulet LP, Cote J, et al. Efficacy of Uniphyll, salbutamol, and their combination in asthmatic patients on high-dose inhaled steroids. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;151:325-32.
151. American Lung Association Asthma Clinical Research Centers. Clinical trial of low-dose theophylline and montelukast in patients with poorly controlled asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;175:235-42.
152. Tsiu SJ, Self TH, Burns R. Theophylline toxicity: update. *Ann Allergy* 1990;64:241-57.
153. Guevara JP, Ducharme FM, Keren R, Nihitkova S, Zorc J. Inhaled corticosteroids versus sodium cromoglycate in children and adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006:CD003558.
154. Sridhar AV, McKean M. Nedocromil sodium for chronic asthma in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006:CD004108.
155. Ducharme FM, Ni Chroinin M, Greenstone I, Lasserson TJ. Addition of long-acting beta2-agonists to inhaled corticosteroids versus same dose inhaled corticosteroids for chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010:CD005535.
156. Cates CJ, Karner C. Combination formoterol and budesonide as maintenance and reliever therapy versus current best practice (including inhaled steroid maintenance), for chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;4:CD007313.
157. Papi A, Corradi M, Pigeon-Francisco C, et al. Beclomethasone-formoterol as maintenance and reliever treatment in patients with asthma: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013;1:23-31.
158. Patel M, Pilcher J, Pritchard A, et al. Efficacy and safety of maintenance and reliever combination budesonide/formoterol inhaler in patients with asthma at risk of severe exacerbations: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013;1:32-42.
159. Bateman ED, Harrison TW, Quirce S, et al. Overall asthma control achieved with budesonide/formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy for patients on different treatment steps. *Respir Res* 2011;12:38.
160. Ni Chroinin M, Lasserson TJ, Greenstone I, Ducharme FM. Addition of long-acting beta-agonists to inhaled corticosteroids for chronic asthma in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009:CD007949.
161. Vaessen-Verberne AA, van den Berg NJ, van Nierop JC, et al. Combination therapy salmeterol/fluticasone versus doubling dose of fluticasone in children with asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;182:1221-7.

162. Ducharme FM, Ni Chroinin M, Greenstone I, Lasserson TJ. Addition of long-acting beta2-agonists to inhaled steroids versus higher dose inhaled steroids in adults and children with persistent asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010;CD005533.
163. Powell H, Gibson PG. Inhaled corticosteroid doses in asthma: an evidence-based approach. *Med J Aust* 2003;178:223-5.
164. Ducharme FM, Lasserson TJ, Cates CJ. Addition to inhaled corticosteroids of long-acting beta2-agonists versus anti-leukotrienes for chronic asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011;CD003137.
165. Evans DJ, Taylor DA, Zetterstrom O, Chung KF, O'Connor BJ, Barnes PJ. A comparison of low-dose inhaled budesonide plus theophylline and high- dose inhaled budesonide for moderate asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:1412-8.
166. Pauwels RA, Lofdahl CG, Postma DS, et al. Effect of inhaled formoterol and budesonide on exacerbations of asthma. Formoterol and Corticosteroids Establishing Therapy (FACET) International Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:1405-11.
167. Virchow JC, Prasse A, Naya I, Summerton L, Harris A. Zafirlukast improves asthma control in patients receiving high-dose inhaled corticosteroids. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162:578-85.
168. Malo JL, Cartier A, Ghezzi H, Trudeau C, Morris J, Jennings B. Comparison of four-times-a-day and twice-a-day dosing regimens in subjects requiring 1200 micrograms or less of budesonide to control mild to moderate asthma. *Respir Med* 1995;89:537-43.
169. Toogood JH, Baskerville JC, Jennings B, Lefcoe NM, Johansson SA. Influence of dosing frequency and schedule on the response of chronic asthmatics to the aerosol steroid, budesonide. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1982;70:288-98.
170. Lofdahl CG, Reiss TF, Leff JA, et al. Randomised, placebo controlled trial of effect of a leukotriene receptor antagonist, montelukast, on tapering inhaled corticosteroids in asthmatic patients. *BMJ* 1999;319:87-90.
171. Price DB, Hernandez D, Magyar P, et al. Randomised controlled trial of montelukast plus inhaled budesonide versus double dose inhaled budesonide in adult patients with asthma. *Thorax* 2003;58:211-6.
172. Vaquerizo MJ, Casan P, Castillo J, et al. Effect of montelukast added to inhaled budesonide on control of mild to moderate asthma. *Thorax* 2003;58:204-10.
173. Tamaoki J, Kondo M, Sakai N, et al. Leukotriene antagonist prevents exacerbation of asthma during reduction of high-dose inhaled corticosteroid. The Tokyo Joshi-Idai Asthma Research Group. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;155:1235-40.
174. Rodrigo GJ, Neffen H, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Efficacy and safety of subcutaneous omalizumab vs placebo as add-on therapy to corticosteroids for children and adults with asthma: a systematic review. *Chest* 2011;139:28-35.
175. Walsh LJ, Wong CA, Osborne J, et al. Adverse effects of oral corticosteroids in relation to dose in patients with lung disease. *Thorax* 2001;56:279-84.
176. Grossman JM, Gordon R, Ranganath VK, et al. American College of Rheumatology 2010 recommendations for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2010;62:1515-26.
177. Bateman ED, Bousquet J, Keetch ML, Busse WW, Clark TJ, Pedersen SE. The correlation between asthma control and health status: the GOAL study. *Eur Respir J* 2007;29:56-62.
178. Sont JK. How do we monitor asthma control? *Allergy* 1999;54 Suppl 49:68-73.
179. Mintz M, Gilsenan AW, Bui CL, et al. Assessment of asthma control in primary care. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2009;25:2523-31.
180. Schatz M, Rachelefsky G, Krishnan JA. Follow-up after acute asthma episodes: what improves future outcomes? *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2009;6:386-93.
181. Thomas A, Lemanske RF, Jr., Jackson DJ. Approaches to stepping up and stepping down care in asthmatic patients. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;128:915-24.
182. Boulet LP. Perception of the role and potential side effects of inhaled corticosteroids among asthmatic patients. *Chest* 1998;113:587-92.
183. FitzGerald JM, Boulet LP, Follows RM. The CONCEPT trial: a 1-year, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy comparison of a stable dosing regimen of salmeterol/fluticasone propionate with an adjustable maintenance dosing regimen of formoterol/budesonide in adults with persistent asthma. *Clin Ther* 2005;27:393-406.
184. Rank MA, Hagan JB, Park MA, et al. The risk of asthma exacerbation after stopping low-dose inhaled corticosteroids: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013;131:724-9.
185. Leuppi JD, Salome CM, Jenkins CR, et al. Predictive markers of asthma exacerbation during stepwise dose reduction of inhaled corticosteroids. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;163:406-12.
186. Hawkins G, McMahon AD, Twaddle S, Wood SF, Ford I, Thomson NC. Stepping down inhaled corticosteroids in asthma: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2003;326:1115.

187. Brozek JL, Kraft M, Krishnan JA, et al. Long-acting beta2-agonist step-off in patients with controlled asthma. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172:1365-75.
188. Masoli M, Weatherall M, Holt S, Beasley R. Budesonide once versus twice-daily administration: meta-analysis. *Respirology* 2004;9:528-34.
189. Boulet LP, Drollmann A, Magyar P, et al. Comparative efficacy of once-daily ciclesonide and budesonide in the treatment of persistent asthma. *Respir Med* 2006;100:785-94.
190. Fink JB, Rubin BK. Problems with inhaler use: a call for improved clinician and patient education. *Respir Care* 2005;50:1360-74; discussion 74-5.
191. Basheti IA, Reddel HK, Armour CL, Bosnic-Anticevich SZ. Improved asthma outcomes with a simple inhaler technique intervention by community pharmacists. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;119:1537-8.
192. Crompton GK, Barnes PJ, Broeders M, et al. The need to improve inhalation technique in Europe: a report from the Aerosol Drug Management Improvement Team. *Respir Med* 2006;100:1479-94.
193. Armour CL, Reddel HK, LeMay KS, et al. Feasibility and effectiveness of an evidence-based asthma service in Australian community pharmacies: a pragmatic cluster randomized trial. *J Asthma* 2013;50:302-9.
194. Kuethe MC, Vaessen-Verberne AA, Elbers RG, Van Aalderen WM. Nurse versus physician-led care for the management of asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;2:CD009296.
195. Giraud V, Allaert FA, Roche N. Inhaler technique and asthma: feasibility and acceptability of training by pharmacists. *Respir Med* 2011;105:1815-22.
196. van der Palen J, Klein JJ, Kerkhoff AH, van Herwaarden CL, Seydel ER. Evaluation of the long-term effectiveness of three instruction modes for inhaling medicines. *Patient Educ Couns* 1997;32:S87-95.
197. Viswanathan M, Golin CE, Jones CD, et al. Interventions to improve adherence to self-administered medications for chronic diseases in the United States: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2012;157:785-95.
198. Boulet L-P, Vervloet D, Magar Y, Foster JM. Adherence: the goal to control asthma. *Clin Chest Med* 2012;33:405-17.
199. Cohen JL, Mann DM, Wisnivesky JP, et al. Assessing the validity of self-reported medication adherence among inner-city asthmatic adults: the Medication Adherence Report Scale for Asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2009;103:325-31.
200. Poureslami IM, Rootman I, Balka E, Devarakonda R, Hatch J, FitzGerald JM. A systematic review of asthma and health literacy: a cultural-ethnic perspective in Canada. *MedGenMed* 2007;9:40.
201. Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, Halpern DJ, Crotty K. Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;155:97-107.
202. Zeni MB. Systematic review of health literacy in Cochrane database studies on paediatric asthma educational interventions: searching beyond rigorous design. *Int J Evid Based Healthc* 2012;10:3-8.
203. Partridge MR, Dal Negro RW, Olivieri D. Understanding patients with asthma and COPD: insights from a European study. *Prim Care Respir J* 2011;20:315-23, 17 p following 23.
204. Charles T, Quinn D, Weatherall M, Aldington S, Beasley R, Holt S. An audiovisual reminder function improves adherence with inhaled corticosteroid therapy in asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;119:811-6.
205. Price D, Robertson A, Bullen K, Rand C, Horne R, Staudinger H. Improved adherence with once-daily versus twice-daily dosing of mometasone furoate administered via a dry powder inhaler: a randomized open-label study. *BMC Pulm Med* 2010;10:1.
206. Otsuki M, Eakin MN, Rand CS, et al. Adherence feedback to improve asthma outcomes among inner-city children: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics* 2009;124:1513-21.
207. Williams LK, Peterson EL, Wells K, et al. A cluster-randomized trial to provide clinicians inhaled corticosteroid adherence information for their patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010;126:225-31, 31 e1-4.
208. Foster JM, Smith L, Bosnic-Anticevich SZ, et al. Identifying patient-specific beliefs and behaviours for conversations about adherence in asthma. *Intern Med J* 2012;42:e136-44.
209. Ulrik CS, Backer V, Soes-Petersen U, Lange P, Harving H, Plaschke PP. The patient's perspective: adherence or non-adherence to asthma controller therapy? *J Asthma* 2006;43:701-4.
210. Shah S, Peat JK, Mazurski EJ, et al. Effect of peer led programme for asthma education in adolescents: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2001;322:583-5.
211. Clark NM, Shah S, Dodge JA, Thomas LJ, Andridge RR, Little RJ. An evaluation of asthma interventions for preteen students. *J Sch Health* 2010;80:80-7.
212. Gibson PG, Powell H, Coughlan J, et al. Limited (information only) patient education programs for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2002:CD001005.
213. Houts PS, Bachrach R, Witmer JT, Tringali CA, Bucher JA, Localio RA. Using pictographs to enhance recall of spoken medical instructions. *Patient Educ Couns* 1998;35:83-8.

214. Meade CD, McKinney WP, Barnas GP. Educating patients with limited literacy skills: the effectiveness of printed and videotaped materials about colon cancer. *Am J Public Health* 1994;84:119-21.
215. Partridge MR, Caress AL, Brown C, et al. Can lay people deliver asthma self-management education as effectively as primary care based practice nurses? *Thorax* 2008;63:778-83.
216. Boyd M, Lasserson TJ, McKean MC, Gibson PG, Ducharme FM, Haby M. Interventions for educating children who are at risk of asthma-related emergency department attendance. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009:CD001290.
217. Powell H, Gibson PG. Options for self-management education for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003:CD004107.
218. McLean S, Chandler D, Nurmatov U, et al. Telehealthcare for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010:CD007717.
219. Fishwick D, D'Souza W, Beasley R. The asthma self-management plan system of care: what does it mean, how is it done, does it work, what models are available, what do patients want and who needs it? *Patient Educ Couns* 1997;32:S21-33.
220. Gibson PG, Powell H. Written action plans for asthma: an evidence-based review of the key components. *Thorax* 2004;59:94-9.
221. Holt S, Masoli M, Beasley R. The use of the self-management plan system of care in adult asthma. *Primary care respiratory journal : journal of the General Practice Airways Group* 2004;13:19-27.
222. Roberts NJ, Evans G, Blenkhorn P, Partridge MR. Development of an electronic pictorial asthma action plan and its use in primary care. *Patient Educ Couns* 2010;80:141-6.
223. Ring N, Malcolm C, Wyke S, et al. Promoting the use of Personal Asthma Action Plans: a systematic review. *Prim Care Respir J* 2007;16:271-83.
224. Halterman JS, Fisher S, Conn KM, et al. Improved preventive care for asthma: a randomized trial of clinician prompting in pediatric offices. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2006;160:1018-25.
225. Boulet LP. Influence of comorbid conditions on asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2009;33:897-906.
226. Boulet LP, Franssen E. Influence of obesity on response to fluticasone with or without salmeterol in moderate asthma. *Respir Med* 2007;101:2240-7.
227. Lavoie KL, Bacon SL, Labrecque M, Cartier A, Ditto B. Higher BMI is associated with worse asthma control and quality of life but not asthma severity. *Respir Med* 2006;100:648-57.
228. Saint-Pierre P, Bourdin A, Chanez P, Daures JP, Godard P. Are overweight asthmatics more difficult to control? *Allergy* 2006;61:79-84.
229. Sutherland ER, Goleva E, Strand M, Beuther DA, Leung DY. Body mass and glucocorticoid response in asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;178:682-7.
230. Scott HA, Gibson PG, Garg ML, et al. Dietary restriction and exercise improve airway inflammation and clinical outcomes in overweight and obese asthma: a randomized trial. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2013;43:36-49.
231. Adeniyi FB, Young T. Weight loss interventions for chronic asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;7:CD009339.
232. Moreira A, Bonini M, Garcia-Larsen V, et al. Weight loss interventions in asthma: EAACI Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline (Part I). *Allergy* 2013;68:425-39.
233. Boulet LP, Turcotte H, Martin J, Poirier P. Effect of bariatric surgery on airway response and lung function in obese subjects with asthma. *Respir Med* 2012;106:651-60.
234. Dixon AE, Pratley RE, Forgione PM, et al. Effects of obesity and bariatric surgery on airway hyperresponsiveness, asthma control, and inflammation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;128:508-15 e1-2.
235. Chan WW, Chiou E, Obstein KL, Tignor AS, Whitlock TL. The efficacy of proton pump inhibitors for the treatment of asthma in adults: a meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med* 2011;171:620-9.
236. Mastrorade JG, Anthonisen NR, Castro M, et al. Efficacy of esomeprazole for treatment of poorly controlled asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:1487-99.
237. Kiljander TO, Harding SM, Field SK, et al. Effects of esomeprazole 40 mg twice daily on asthma: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;173:1091-7.
238. Sopo SM, Radzik D, Calvani M. Does treatment with proton pump inhibitors for gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) improve asthma symptoms in children with asthma and GERD? A systematic review. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol* 2009;19:1-5.
239. Holbrook JT, Wise RA, Gold BD, et al. Lansoprazole for children with poorly controlled asthma: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2012;307:373-81.
240. Goodwin RD, Jacobi F, Thefeld W. Mental disorders and asthma in the community. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003;60:1125-30.

241. Lavoie KL, Cartier A, Labrecque M, et al. Are psychiatric disorders associated with worse asthma control and quality of life in asthma patients? *Respir Med* 2005;99:1249-57.
242. Ahmedani BK, Peterson EL, Wells KE, Williams LK. Examining the relationship between depression and asthma exacerbations in a prospective follow-up study. *Psychosom Med* 2013;75:305-10.
243. Yorke J, Fleming SL, Shulldham C. Psychological interventions for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009.
244. Parry GD, Cooper CL, Moore JM, et al. Cognitive behavioural intervention for adults with anxiety complications of asthma: prospective randomised trial. *Respir Med* 2012;106:802-10.
245. Bock SA, Munoz-Furlong A, Sampson HA. Further fatalities caused by anaphylactic reactions to food, 2001-2006. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;119:1016-8.
246. Pumphrey RSH, Gowland MH. Further fatal allergic reactions to food in the United Kingdom, 1999-2006. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;119:1018-9.
247. Liu AH, Jaramillo R, Sicherer SH, et al. National prevalence and risk factors for food allergy and relationship to asthma: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005-2006. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010;126:798-806.e13.
248. Bousquet J, Schunemann HJ, Samolinski B, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA): achievements in 10 years and future needs. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012;130:1049-62.
249. Cruz AA, Popov T, Pawankar R, et al. Common characteristics of upper and lower airways in rhinitis and asthma: ARIA update, in collaboration with GA(2)LEN. *Allergy* 2007;62 Suppl 84:1-41.
250. Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Mullol J, et al. EPOS 2012: European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2012. A summary for otorhinolaryngologists. *Rhinol* 2012;50:1-12.
251. Tan BK, Chandra RK, Pollak J, et al. Incidence and associated premorbid diagnoses of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013;131:1350-60.
252. Hamilos DL. Chronic rhinosinusitis: epidemiology and medical management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;128:693-707.
253. Brozek JL, Bousquet J, Baena-Cagnani CE, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines: 2010 revision. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010;126:466-76.
254. Corren J, Manning BE, Thompson SF, Hennessy S, Strom BL. Rhinitis therapy and the prevention of hospital care for asthma: a case-control study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004;113:415-9.
255. Patton GC, Viner R. Pubertal transitions in health. *Lancet* 2007;369:1130-9.
256. Michaud P-A, Suris JC, Viner R. The adolescent with a chronic condition : epidemiology, developmental issues and health care provision. Geneva: WHO; 2007.
257. Carlsen KH, Anderson SD, Bjermer L, et al. Treatment of exercise-induced asthma, respiratory and allergic disorders in sports and the relationship to doping: Part II of the report from the Joint Task Force of European Respiratory Society (ERS) and European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) in cooperation with GA(2)LEN. *Allergy* 2008;63:492-505.
258. Gluck JC, Gluck PA. The effect of pregnancy on the course of asthma. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* 2006;26:63-80.
259. Lim A, Stewart K, Konig K, George J. Systematic review of the safety of regular preventive asthma medications during pregnancy. *Ann Pharmacother* 2011;45:931-45.
260. Wendel PJ, Ramin SM, Barnett-Hamm C, Rowe TF, Cunningham FG. Asthma treatment in pregnancy: a randomized controlled study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1996;175:150-4.
261. Schatz M, Leibman C. Inhaled corticosteroid use and outcomes in pregnancy. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology* 2005;95:234-8.
262. Powell H, Murphy VE, Taylor DR, et al. Management of asthma in pregnancy guided by measurement of fraction of exhaled nitric oxide: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011;378:983-90.
263. Lim AS, Stewart K, Abramson MJ, Ryan K, George J. Asthma during pregnancy: the experiences, concerns and views of pregnant women with asthma. *J Asthma* 2012;49:474-9.
264. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, National Asthma Education and Prevention Program Asthma and Pregnancy Working Group. NAEPP expert panel report. Managing asthma during pregnancy: recommendations for pharmacologic treatment-2004 update. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005;115:34-46.
265. Reed CE. Asthma in the elderly: diagnosis and management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010;126:681-7.
266. Gibson PG, McDonald VM, Marks GB. Asthma in older adults. *Lancet* 2010;376:803-13.
267. Slavin RG, Haselkorn T, Lee JH, et al. Asthma in older adults: observations from the epidemiology and natural history of asthma: outcomes and treatment regimens (TENOR) study. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2006;96:406-14.

268. Vincken W, Dekhuijzen PR, Barnes P, on behalf of the ADMIT Working Group. The ADMIT series - Issues in inhalation therapy. 4) How to choose inhaler devices for the treatment of COPD. *Prim Care Respir J* 2010;19:10-20.
269. Smetana GW, Lawrence VA, Cornell JE. Preoperative pulmonary risk stratification for noncardiothoracic surgery: systematic review for the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;144:581-95.
270. Woods BD, Sladen RN. Perioperative considerations for the patient with asthma and bronchospasm. *Br J Anaesth* 2009;103 Suppl 1:i57-65.
271. Wakim JH, Sledge KC. Anesthetic implications for patients receiving exogenous corticosteroids. *AANA Journal* 2006;74:133-9.
272. Szczeklik A, Nizankowska E, Duplaga M. Natural history of aspirin-induced asthma. AIAE Investigators. European Network on Aspirin-Induced Asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2000;16:432-6.
273. Stevenson DD. Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of adverse reactions to aspirin and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1984;74:617-22.
274. Szczeklik A, Sanak M, Nizankowska-Mogilnicka E, Kielbasa B. Aspirin intolerance and the cyclooxygenase-leukotriene pathways. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2004;10:51-6.
275. Mascia K, Haselkorn T, Deniz YM, et al. Aspirin sensitivity and severity of asthma: evidence for irreversible airway obstruction in patients with severe or difficult-to-treat asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005;116:970-5.
276. Nizankowska E, Bestynska-Krypel A, Cmiel A, Szczeklik A. Oral and bronchial provocation tests with aspirin for diagnosis of aspirin-induced asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2000;15:863-9.
277. Szczeklik A, Stevenson DD. Aspirin-induced asthma: advances in pathogenesis and management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1999;104:5-13.
278. Milewski M, Mastalerz L, Nizankowska E, Szczeklik A. Nasal provocation test with lysine-aspirin for diagnosis of aspirin-sensitive asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1998;101:581-6.
279. El Miedany Y, Youssef S, Ahmed I, El Gaafary M. Safety of etoricoxib, a specific cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, in asthmatic patients with aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology* 2006;97:105-9.
280. Dahlen SE, Malmstrom K, Nizankowska E, et al. Improvement of aspirin-intolerant asthma by montelukast, a leukotriene antagonist: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;165:9-14.
281. Drazen JM. Asthma therapy with agents preventing leukotriene synthesis or action. *Proc Assoc Am Physicians* 1999;111:547-59.
282. Pleskow WW, Stevenson DD, Mathison DA, Simon RA, Schatz M, Zeiger RS. Aspirin desensitization in aspirin-sensitive asthmatic patients: clinical manifestations and characterization of the refractory period. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1982;69:11-9.
283. Hashimoto S, Bel EH. Current treatment of severe asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2012;42:693-705.
284. Chipps BE, Zeiger RS, Borish L, et al. Key findings and clinical implications from The Epidemiology and Natural History of Asthma: Outcomes and Treatment Regimens (TENOR) study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012;130:332-42 e10.
285. Foster JM, Lavoie KL, Boulet L-P. Treatment adherence and psychosocial factors in severe asthma. In: Chung KF, Bel EH, Wenzel SE, eds. *Difficult-to-Treat Severe Asthma*. Sheffield, UK: European Respiratory Society Journals Ltd; 2011:28-49.
286. Gamble J, Stevenson M, Heaney LG. A study of a multi-level intervention to improve non-adherence in difficult to control asthma. *Respir Med* 2011;105:1308-15.
287. Wenzel S. Severe asthma in adults. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;172:149-60.
288. Kerstjens HA, Engel M, Dahl R, et al. Tiotropium in asthma poorly controlled with standard combination therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:1198-207.
289. Walker S, Monteil M, Phelan K, Lasserson TJ, Walters HE. Anti-IgE for chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008.
290. Smith JR, Mugford M, Holland R, Noble MJ, Harrison BD. Psycho-educational interventions for adults with severe or difficult asthma: a systematic review. *J Asthma* 2007;44:219-41.
291. Ramnath VR, Clark S, Camargo CA, Jr. Multicenter study of clinical features of sudden-onset versus slower-onset asthma exacerbations requiring hospitalization. *Respir Care* 2007;52:1013-20.
292. Blaiss MS, Nathan RA, Stoloff SW, Meltzer EO, Murphy KR, Doherty DE. Patient and physician asthma deterioration terminology: results from the 2009 Asthma Insight and Management survey. *Allergy Asthma Proc* 2012;33:47-53.
293. Vincent SD, Toelle BG, Aroni RA, Jenkins CR, Reddel HK. "Exasperations" of asthma. A qualitative study of patient language about worsening asthma. *Med J Aust* 2006;184:451-4.
294. Alvarez GG, Schulzer M, Jung D, Fitzgerald JM. A systematic review of risk factors associated with near-fatal and fatal asthma. *Can Respir J* 2005;12:265-70.

295. Suissa S, Blais L, Ernst P. Patterns of increasing beta-agonist use and the risk of fatal or near- fatal asthma. *Eur Respir J* 1994;7:1602-9.
296. Roberts G, Patel N, Levi-Schaffer F, Habibi P, Lack G. Food allergy as a risk factor for life-threatening asthma in childhood: a case-controlled study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003;112:168-74.
297. FitzGerald JM, Grunfeld A. Status asthmaticus. In: Lichtenstein LM, Fauci AS, eds. *Current therapy in allergy, immunology, and rheumatology*. 5th edition. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 1996:p. 63-7.
298. Chan-Yeung M, Chang JH, Manfreda J, Ferguson A, Becker A. Changes in peak flow, symptom score, and the use of medications during acute exacerbations of asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;154:889-93.
299. Rodrigo GJ, Neffen H, Colodenco FD, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Formoterol for acute asthma in the emergency department: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2010;104:247-52.
300. Quon BS, Fitzgerald JM, Lemiere C, Shahidi N, Ducharme FM. Increased versus stable doses of inhaled corticosteroids for exacerbations of chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010:CD007524.
301. FitzGerald JM, Becker A, Sears MR, et al. Doubling the dose of budesonide versus maintenance treatment in asthma exacerbations. *Thorax* 2004;59:550-6.
302. Harrison TW, Osborne J, Newton S, Tattersfield AE. Doubling the dose of inhaled corticosteroid to prevent asthma exacerbations: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004;363:271-5.
303. Garrett J, Williams S, Wong C, Holdaway D. Treatment of acute asthmatic exacerbations with an increased dose of inhaled steroid. *Arch Dis Child* 1998;79:12-7.
304. Reddel HK, Barnes DJ. Pharmacological strategies for self-management of asthma exacerbations. *Eur Respir J* 2006;28:182-99.
305. Ducharme FM, Lemire C, Noya FJ, et al. Preemptive use of high-dose fluticasone for virus-induced wheezing in young children. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:339-53.
306. Osborne J, Mortimer K, Hubbard RB, Tattersfield AE, Harrison TW. Quadrupling the dose of inhaled corticosteroid to prevent asthma exacerbations: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180:598-602.
307. Bisgaard H, Le Roux P, Bjamer D, Dymek A, Vermeulen JH, Hultquist C. Budesonide/formoterol maintenance plus reliever therapy: a new strategy in pediatric asthma. *Chest* 2006;130:1733-43.
308. Cates CJ, Welsh EJ, Rowe BH. Holding chambers (spacers) versus nebulisers for beta-agonist treatment of acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013.
309. Newman KB, Milne S, Hamilton C, Hall K. A comparison of albuterol administered by metered-dose inhaler and spacer with albuterol by nebulizer in adults presenting to an urban emergency department with acute asthma. *Chest* 2002;121:1036-41.
310. Pierart F, Wildhaber JH, Vrancken I, Devadason SG, Le Souef PN. Washing plastic spacers in household detergent reduces electrostatic charge and greatly improves delivery. *Eur Respir J* 1999;13:673-8.
311. Chien JW, Ciufo R, Novak R, et al. Uncontrolled oxygen administration and respiratory failure in acute asthma. *Chest* 2000;117:728-33.
312. Rodrigo GJ, Rodriguez Verde M, Peregalli V, Rodrigo C. Effects of short-term 28% and 100% oxygen on PaCO₂ and peak expiratory flow rate in acute asthma: a randomized trial. *Chest* 2003;124:1312-7.
313. Perrin K, Wijesinghe M, Healy B, et al. Randomised controlled trial of high concentration versus titrated oxygen therapy in severe exacerbations of asthma. *Thorax* 2011;66:937-41.
314. Hasegawa T, Ishihara K, Takakura S, et al. Duration of systemic corticosteroids in the treatment of asthma exacerbation; a randomized study. *Intern Med* 2000;39:794-7.
315. Jones AM, Munavvar M, Vail A, et al. Prospective, placebo-controlled trial of 5 vs 10 days of oral prednisolone in acute adult asthma. *Respir Med* 2002;96:950-4.
316. Rodrigo GJ, Rodrigo C, Hall JB. Acute asthma in adults: a review. *Chest* 2004;125:1081-102.
317. Shim CS, Williams MH, Jr. Evaluation of the severity of asthma: patients versus physicians. *Am J Med* 1980;68:11-3.
318. Atta JA, Nunes MP, Fonseca-Guedes CH, et al. Patient and physician evaluation of the severity of acute asthma exacerbations. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 2004;37:1321-30.
319. Geelhoed GC, Landau LI, Le Souef PN. Evaluation of SaO₂ as a predictor of outcome in 280 children presenting with acute asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 1994;23:1236-41.
320. Nowak RM, Tomlanovich MC, Sarkar DD, Kvale PA, Anderson JA. Arterial blood gases and pulmonary function testing in acute bronchial asthma. Predicting patient outcomes. *JAMA* 1983;249:2043-6.
321. White CS, Cole RP, Lubetsky HW, Austin JH. Acute asthma. Admission chest radiography in hospitalized adult patients. *Chest* 1991;100:14-6.

322. Roback MG, Dreitlein DA. Chest radiograph in the evaluation of first time wheezing episodes: review of current clinical practice and efficacy. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 1998;14:181-4.
323. Cates C, FitzGerald JM, O'Byrne PM. Asthma. *Clin Evidence* 2000;3:686-700.
324. Rodrigo GJ, Rodrigo C. Continuous vs intermittent beta-agonists in the treatment of acute adult asthma: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Chest* 2002;122:160-5.
325. Camargo CA, Jr., Spooner CH, Rowe BH. Continuous versus intermittent beta-agonists in the treatment of acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003;CD001115.
326. Bradding P, Rushby I, Scullion J, Morgan MD. As-required versus regular nebulized salbutamol for the treatment of acute severe asthma. *Eur Respir J* 1999;13:290-4.
327. Travers AH, Milan SJ, Jones AP, Camargo CA, Jr., Rowe BH. Addition of intravenous beta(2)-agonists to inhaled beta(2)-agonists for acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;12:CD010179.
328. Manser R, Reid D, Abramson M. Corticosteroids for acute severe asthma in hospitalised patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000;2.
329. Rowe BH, Spooner CH, Ducharme FM, Bretzlaff JA, Bota GW. Corticosteroids for preventing relapse following acute exacerbations of asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007;CD000195.
330. Edmonds ML, Milan SJ, Camargo CA, Jr., Pollack CV, Rowe BH. Early use of inhaled corticosteroids in the emergency department treatment of acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;12:CD002308.
331. Ratto D, Alfaro C, Sipsey J, Glovsky MM, Sharma OP. Are intravenous corticosteroids required in status asthmaticus? *JAMA* 1988;260:527-9.
332. Harrison BD, Stokes TC, Hart GJ, Vaughan DA, Ali NJ, Robinson AA. Need for intravenous hydrocortisone in addition to oral prednisolone in patients admitted to hospital with severe asthma without ventilatory failure. *Lancet* 1986;1:181-4.
333. Gries DM, Moffitt DR, Pulos E, Carter ER. A single dose of intramuscularly administered dexamethasone acetate is as effective as oral prednisone to treat asthma exacerbations in young children. *J Pediatr* 2000;136:298-303.
334. Krishnan JA, Riekert KA, McCoy JV, et al. Corticosteroid use after hospital discharge among high-risk adults with asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;170:1281-5.
335. Kayani S, Shannon DC. Adverse behavioral effects of treatment for acute exacerbation of asthma in children: a comparison of two doses of oral steroids. *Chest* 2002;122:624-8.
336. Kravitz J, Dominici P, Ufberg J, Fisher J, Giraldo P. Two days of dexamethasone versus 5 days of prednisone in the treatment of acute asthma: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Emerg Med* 2011;58:200-4.
337. O'Driscoll BR, Kalra S, Wilson M, Pickering CA, Carroll KB, Woodcock AA. Double-blind trial of steroid tapering in acute asthma. *Lancet* 1993;341:324-7.
338. Lederle FA, Pluhar RE, Joseph AM, Niewoehner DE. Tapering of corticosteroid therapy following exacerbation of asthma. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med* 1987;147:2201-3.
339. Edmonds ML, Milan SJ, Brenner BE, Camargo CA, Jr., Rowe BH. Inhaled steroids for acute asthma following emergency department discharge. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;12:CD002316.
340. Rodrigo GJ, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Anticholinergics in the treatment of children and adults with acute asthma: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Thorax* 2005;60:740-6.
341. Goggin N, Macarthur C, Parkin PC. Randomized trial of the addition of ipratropium bromide to albuterol and corticosteroid therapy in children hospitalized because of an acute asthma exacerbation. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2001;155:1329-34.
342. Nair P, Milan SJ, Rowe BH. Addition of intravenous aminophylline to inhaled beta(2)-agonists in adults with acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;12:CD002742.
343. Rowe BH, Bretzlaff JA, Bourdon C, Bota GW, Camargo CA, Jr. Magnesium sulfate for treating exacerbations of acute asthma in the emergency department. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000;2.
344. FitzGerald JM. Magnesium sulfate is effective for severe acute asthma treated in the emergency department. *West J Med* 2000;172:96.
345. Gallegos-Solorzano MC, Perez-Padilla R, Hernandez-Zenteno RJ. Usefulness of inhaled magnesium sulfate in the coadjuvant management of severe asthma crisis in an emergency department. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2010;23:432-7.
346. Goodacre S, Cohen J, Bradburn M, Gray A, Bengier J, Coats T. Intravenous or nebulised magnesium sulphate versus standard therapy for severe acute asthma (3Mg trial): a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013;1:293-300.
347. Powell C, Dwan K, Milan SJ, et al. Inhaled magnesium sulfate in the treatment of acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;12:CD003898.
348. Colebourn CL, Barber V, Young JD. Use of helium-oxygen mixture in adult patients presenting with exacerbations of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review. *Anaesthesia* 2007;62:34-42.

349. Silverman RA, Nowak RM, Korenblat PE, et al. Zafirlukast treatment for acute asthma: evaluation in a randomized, double-blind, multicenter trial. *Chest* 2004;126:1480-9.
350. Ramsay CF, Pearson D, Mildenhall S, Wilson AM. Oral montelukast in acute asthma exacerbations: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Thorax* 2011;66:7-11.
351. Balanag VM, Yunus F, Yang PC, Jorup C. Efficacy and safety of budesonide/formoterol compared with salbutamol in the treatment of acute asthma. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2006;19:139-47.
352. Peters JI, Shelledy DC, Jones AP, Jr., Lawson RW, Davis CP, LeGrand TS. A randomized, placebo-controlled study to evaluate the role of salmeterol in the in-hospital management of asthma. *Chest* 2000;118:313-20.
353. Joseph KS, Blais L, Ernst P, Suissa S. Increased morbidity and mortality related to asthma among asthmatic patients who use major tranquillizers. *BMJ* 1996;312:79-82.
354. FitzGerald JM, Macklem P. Fatal asthma. *Annu Rev Med* 1996;47:161-8.
355. Lim WJ, Mohammed Akram R, Carson KV, et al. Non-invasive positive pressure ventilation for treatment of respiratory failure due to severe acute exacerbations of asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;12:CD004360.
356. Kelly A-M, Kerr D, Powell C. Is severity assessment after one hour of treatment better for predicting the need for admission in acute asthma? *Respir Med* 2004;98:777-81.
357. Wilson MM, Irwin RS, Connolly AE, Linden C, Manno MM. A prospective evaluation of the 1-hour decision point for admission versus discharge in acute asthma. *J Intensive Care Med* 2003;18:275-85.
358. Grunfeld A, FitzGerald J. Discharge considerations for adult asthmatic patients treated in emergency departments. *Can Respir J* 1996;3:322 - 7.
359. Pollack CV, Jr., Pollack ES, Baren JM, et al. A prospective multicenter study of patient factors associated with hospital admission from the emergency department among children with acute asthma. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2002;156:934-40.
360. Rowe BH, Villa-Roel C, Abu-Laban RB, et al. Admissions to Canadian hospitals for acute asthma: a prospective, multicentre study. *Can Respir J* 2010;17:25-30.
361. Weber EJ, Silverman RA, Callahan ML, et al. A prospective multicenter study of factors associated with hospital admission among adults with acute asthma. *Am J Med* 2002;113:371-8.
362. Cowie RL, Revitt SG, Underwood MF, Field SK. The effect of a peak flow-based action plan in the prevention of exacerbations of asthma. *Chest* 1997;112:1534-8.
363. Ducharme FM, Zemek RL, Chalut D, et al. Written action plan in pediatric emergency room improves asthma prescribing, adherence, and control. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;183:195-203.
364. Guerra S, Sherrill DL, Kurzius-Spencer M, et al. The course of persistent airflow limitation in subjects with and without asthma. *Respir Med* 2008;102:1473-82.
365. Silva GE, Sherrill DL, Guerra S, Barbee RA. Asthma as a risk factor for COPD in a longitudinal study. *Chest* 2004;126:59-65.
366. van Schayck CP, Levy ML, Chen JC, Isonaka S, Halbert RJ. Coordinated diagnostic approach for adult obstructive lung disease in primary care. *Prim Care Respir J* 2004;13:218-21.
367. Zeki AA, Schivo M, Chan A, Albertson TE, Louie S. The asthma-COPD overlap syndrome: a common clinical problem in the elderly. *J Allergy* 2011;2011:861926.
368. Abramson MJ, Schattner RL, Sulaiman ND, Del Colle EA, Aroni R, Thien F. Accuracy of asthma and COPD diagnosis in Australian general practice: a mixed methods study. *Prim Care Respir J* 2012;21:167-73.
369. Gibson PG, Simpson JL. The overlap syndrome of asthma and COPD: what are its features and how important is it? *Thorax* 2009;64:728-35.
370. Mannino DM, Gagnon RC, Petty TL, Lydick E. Obstructive lung disease and low lung function in adults in the United States: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;160:1683-9.
371. Marsh SE, Travers J, Weatherall M, et al. Proportional classifications of COPD phenotypes. *Thorax* 2008;63:761-7.
372. Shirtcliffe P, Marsh S, Travers J, Weatherall M, Beasley R. Childhood asthma and GOLD-defined chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Intern Med J* 2012;42:83-8.
373. Louie S, Zeki AA, Schivo M, et al. The asthma-chronic obstructive pulmonary disease overlap syndrome: pharmacotherapeutic considerations. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* 2013;6:197-219.
374. Miravittles M, Soler-Cataluna JJ, Calle M, Soriano JB. Treatment of COPD by clinical phenotypes: putting old evidence into clinical practice. *Eur Respir J* 2013;41:1252-6.
375. Soler-Cataluna JJ, Cosio B, Izquierdo JL, et al. Consensus document on the overlap phenotype COPD-asthma in COPD. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2012;48:331-7.

376. Kauppi P, Kupiainen H, Lindqvist A, et al. Overlap syndrome of asthma and COPD predicts low quality of life. *J Asthma* 2011;48:279-85.
377. Andersen H, Lampela P, Nevanlinna A, Saynajakangas O, Keistinen T. High hospital burden in overlap syndrome of asthma and COPD. *Clin Respir J* 2013;7:342-6.
378. Weatherall M, Travers J, Shirtcliffe PM, et al. Distinct clinical phenotypes of airways disease defined by cluster analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2009;34:812-8.
379. McDonald VM, Simpson JL, Higgins I, Gibson PG. Multidimensional assessment of older people with asthma and COPD: clinical management and health status. *Age Ageing* 2011;40:42-9.
380. Soriano JB, Davis KJ, Coleman B, Visick G, Mannino D, Pride NB. The proportional Venn diagram of obstructive lung disease: two approximations from the United States and the United Kingdom. *Chest* 2003;124:474-81.
381. Carolan BJ, Sutherland ER. Clinical phenotypes of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma: recent advances. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013;131:627-34.
382. Wardlaw AJ, Silverman M, Siva R, Pavord ID, Green R. Multi-dimensional phenotyping: towards a new taxonomy for airway disease. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2005;35:1254-62.
383. Halbert RJ, Isonaka S. International Primary Care Respiratory Group (IPCRG) Guidelines: integrating diagnostic guidelines for managing chronic respiratory diseases in primary care. *Prim Care Respir J* 2006;15:13-9.
384. Price DB, Tinkelman DG, Halbert RJ, et al. Symptom-based questionnaire for identifying COPD in smokers. *Respiration* 2006;73:285-95.
385. Thiadens HA, de Bock GH, Dekker FW, et al. Identifying asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in patients with persistent cough presenting to general practitioners: descriptive study. *BMJ* 1998;316:1286-90.
386. Tinkelman DG, Price DB, Nordyke RJ, et al. Symptom-based questionnaire for differentiating COPD and asthma. *Respiration* 2006;73:296-305.
387. Van Schayck CP, Loozen JM, Wagena E, Akkermans RP, Wesseling GJ. Detecting patients at a high risk of developing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in general practice: cross sectional case finding study. *BMJ* 2002;324:1370.
388. Masoli M, Fabian D, Holt S, Beasley R. The global burden of asthma: executive summary of the GINA Dissemination Committee report. *Allergy* 2004;59:469-78.
389. Simpson CR, Sheikh A. Trends in the epidemiology of asthma in England: a national study of 333,294 patients. *J R Soc Med* 2010;103:98-106.
390. Bisgaard H, Szefer S. Prevalence of asthma-like symptoms in young children. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2007;42:723-8.
391. Kuehni CE, Strippoli MP, Low N, Brooke AM, Silverman M. Wheeze and asthma prevalence and related health-service use in white and south Asian pre-schoolchildren in the United Kingdom. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2007;37:1738-46.
392. Martinez FD, Wright AL, Taussig LM, Holberg CJ, Halonen M, Morgan WJ. Asthma and wheezing in the first six years of life. The Group Health Medical Associates. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332:133-8.
393. Sly PD, Boner AL, Bjorksten B, et al. Early identification of atopy in the prediction of persistent asthma in children. *Lancet* 2008;372:1100-6.
394. Heikkinen T, Jarvinen A. The common cold. *Lancet* 2003;361:51-9.
395. Caudri D, Wijga A, CM AS, et al. Predicting the long-term prognosis of children with symptoms suggestive of asthma at preschool age. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;124:903-10 e1-7.
396. Brand PL, Baraldi E, Bisgaard H, et al. Definition, assessment and treatment of wheezing disorders in preschool children: an evidence-based approach. *Eur Respir J* 2008;32:1096-110.
397. Henderson J, Granell R, Heron J, et al. Associations of wheezing phenotypes in the first 6 years of life with atopy, lung function and airway responsiveness in mid-childhood. *Thorax* 2008;63:974-80.
398. Spycher BD, Silverman M, Brooke AM, Minder CE, Kuehni CE. Distinguishing phenotypes of childhood wheeze and cough using latent class analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2008;31:974-81.
399. Schultz A, Devadason SG, Savenije OE, Sly PD, Le Souef PN, Brand PL. The transient value of classifying preschool wheeze into episodic viral wheeze and multiple trigger wheeze. *Acta Paediatr* 2010;99:56-60.
400. Savenije OE, Kerkhof M, Koppelman GH, Postma DS. Predicting who will have asthma at school age among preschool children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012;130:325-31.
401. Doherty G, Bush A. Diagnosing respiratory problems in young children. *Practitioner* 2007;251:20, 2-5.
402. Pedersen S. Preschool asthma--not so easy to diagnose. *Prim Care Respir J* 2007;16:4-6.
403. Cano Garcinuno A, Mora Gandarillas I, Group SS. Early patterns of wheezing in asthmatic and nonasthmatic children. *Eur Respir J* 2013;42:1020-8.
404. Just J, Saint-Pierre P, Gouvis-Echraghi R, et al. Wheeze phenotypes in young children have different courses during the preschool period. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2013;111:256-61.e1.

405. Bacharier LB, Guilbert TW, Zeiger RS, et al. Patient characteristics associated with improved outcomes with use of an inhaled corticosteroid in preschool children at risk for asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;123:1077-82, 82.e1-5.
406. Roorda RJ, Mezei G, Bisgaard H, Maden C. Response of preschool children with asthma symptoms to fluticasone propionate. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001;108:540-6.
407. Mellis C. Respiratory noises: how useful are they clinically? *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2009;56:1-17, ix.
408. Van Der Heijden HH, Brouwer ML, Hoekstra F, Van Der Pol P, Merkus PJ. Reference values of exhaled nitric oxide in healthy children 1-5 years using off-line tidal breathing. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2014;49:291-5.
409. Singer F, Luchsinger I, Inci D, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide in symptomatic children at preschool age predicts later asthma. *Allergy* 2013;68:531-8.
410. Castro-Rodriguez JA, Holberg CJ, Wright AL, Martinez FD. A clinical index to define risk of asthma in young children with recurrent wheezing. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162:1403-6.
411. Murray CS, Poletti G, Kebabdz T, et al. Study of modifiable risk factors for asthma exacerbations: virus infection and allergen exposure increase the risk of asthma hospital admissions in children. *Thorax* 2006;61:376-82.
412. Bisgaard H, Allen D, Milanowski J, Kaley I, Willits L, Davies P. Twelve-month safety and efficacy of inhaled fluticasone propionate in children aged 1 to 3 years with recurrent wheezing. *Pediatrics* 2004;113:e87-94.
413. Bisgaard H, Hermansen MN, Loland L, Halkjaer LB, Buchvald F. Intermittent inhaled corticosteroids in infants with episodic wheezing. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:1998-2005.
414. Wilson NM, Silverman M. Treatment of acute, episodic asthma in preschool children using intermittent high dose inhaled steroids at home. *Arch Dis Child* 1990;65:407-10.
415. Guilbert TW, Morgan WJ, Zeiger RS, et al. Long-term inhaled corticosteroids in preschool children at high risk for asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:1985-97.
416. Nielsen KG, Bisgaard H. The effect of inhaled budesonide on symptoms, lung function, and cold air and methacholine responsiveness in 2- to 5-year-old asthmatic children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162:1500-6.
417. Szefer SJ, Baker JW, Uryniak T, Goldman M, Silkoff PE. Comparative study of budesonide inhalation suspension and montelukast in young children with mild persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;120:1043-50.
418. Knorr B, Franchi LM, Bisgaard H, et al. Montelukast, a leukotriene receptor antagonist, for the treatment of persistent asthma in children aged 2 to 5 years. *Pediatrics* 2001;108:E48.
419. Bisgaard H, Zielen S, Garcia-Garcia ML, et al. Montelukast reduces asthma exacerbations in 2- to 5-year-old children with intermittent asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;171:315-22.
420. Papi A, Nicolini G, Baraldi E, et al. Regular vs prn nebulized treatment in wheeze preschool children. *Allergy* 2009;64:1463-71.
421. Zeiger RS, Mauger D, Bacharier LB, et al. Daily or intermittent budesonide in preschool children with recurrent wheezing. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:1990-2001.
422. Piippo-Savolainen E, Remes S, Kannisto S, Korhonen K, Korppi M. Asthma and lung function 20 years after wheezing in infancy: results from a prospective follow-up study. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2004;158:1070-6.
423. Wennergren G, Hansson S, Engstrom I, et al. Characteristics and prognosis of hospital-treated obstructive bronchitis in children aged less than two years. *Acta Paediatr* 1992;81:40-5.
424. Goksor E, Amark M, Alm B, Gustafsson PM, Wennergren G. Asthma symptoms in early childhood--what happens then? *Acta Paediatr* 2006;95:471-8.
425. Castro-Rodriguez JA, Rodrigo GJ. Beta-agonists through metered-dose inhaler with valved holding chamber versus nebulizer for acute exacerbation of wheezing or asthma in children under 5 years of age: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *J Pediatr* 2004;145:172-7.
426. Zemek RL, Bhogal SK, Ducharme FM. Systematic review of randomized controlled trials examining written action plans in children: what is the plan? *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2008;162:157-63.
427. Swern AS, Tozzi CA, Knorr B, Bisgaard H. Predicting an asthma exacerbation in children 2 to 5 years of age. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2008;101:626-30.
428. Brunette MG, Lands L, Thibodeau LP. Childhood asthma: prevention of attacks with short-term corticosteroid treatment of upper respiratory tract infection. *Pediatrics* 1988;81:624-9.
429. Fox GF, Marsh MJ, Milner AD. Treatment of recurrent acute wheezing episodes in infancy with oral salbutamol and prednisolone. *Eur J Pediatr* 1996;155:512-6.
430. Grant CC, Duggan AK, DeAngelis C. Independent parental administration of prednisone in acute asthma: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study. *Pediatrics* 1995;96:224-9.
431. Oommen A, Lambert PC, Grigg J. Efficacy of a short course of parent-initiated oral prednisolone for viral wheeze in children aged 1-5 years: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2003;362:1433-8.
432. Vuillermin P, South M, Robertson C. Parent-initiated oral corticosteroid therapy for intermittent wheezing illnesses in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006:CD005311.

433. Connett G, Lenney W. Prevention of viral induced asthma attacks using inhaled budesonide. *Arch Dis Child* 1993;68:85-7.
434. Svedmyr J, Nyberg E, Thunqvist P, Asbrink-Nilsson E, Hedlin G. Prophylactic intermittent treatment with inhaled corticosteroids of asthma exacerbations due to airway infections in toddlers. *Acta Paediatr* 1999;88:42-7.
435. Gouin S, Robidas I, Gravel J, Guimont C, Chalut D, Amre D. Prospective evaluation of two clinical scores for acute asthma in children 18 months to 7 years of age. *Acad Emerg Med* 2010;17:598-603.
436. Deerojanawong J, Manuyakorn W, Prapphal N, Harnruthakorn C, Sritippayawan S, Samransamruajkit R. Randomized controlled trial of salbutamol aerosol therapy via metered dose inhaler-spacer vs. jet nebulizer in young children with wheezing. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2005;39:466-72.
437. Griffiths B, Ducharme FM. Combined inhaled anticholinergics and short-acting beta2-agonists for initial treatment of acute asthma in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;8:CD000060.
438. Powell C, Kolamunnage-Dona R, Lowe J, et al. Magnesium sulphate in acute severe asthma in children (MAGNETIC): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013;1:301-8.
439. Fuglsang G, Pedersen S, Borgstrom L. Dose-response relationships of intravenously administered terbutaline in children with asthma. *J Pediatr* 1989;114:315-20.
440. Robertson CF, Price D, Henry R, et al. Short-course montelukast for intermittent asthma in children: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;175:323-9.
441. Bacharier LB, Phillips BR, Zeiger RS, et al. Episodic use of an inhaled corticosteroid or leukotriene receptor antagonist in preschool children with moderate-to-severe intermittent wheezing. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008;122:1127-35 e8.
442. Rowe BH, Spooner C, Ducharme FM, Bretzlaff JA, Bota GW. Early emergency department treatment of acute asthma with systemic corticosteroids. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001;CD002178.
443. Panickar J, Lakhanpaul M, Lambert PC, et al. Oral prednisolone for preschool children with acute virus-induced wheezing. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:329-38.
444. Webb MS, Henry RL, Milner AD. Oral corticosteroids for wheezing attacks under 18 months. *Arch Dis Child* 1986;61:15-9.
445. Chan-Yeung M, Becker A. Primary prevention of childhood asthma and allergic disorders. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006;6:146-51.
446. Nurmatov U, Devereux G, Sheikh A. Nutrients and foods for the primary prevention of asthma and allergy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;127:724-33.e1-30.
447. Azad MB, Coneys JG, Kozyrskyj AL, et al. Probiotic supplementation during pregnancy or infancy for the prevention of asthma and wheeze: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2013;347:f6471.
448. Celedon JC, Milton DK, Ramsey CD, et al. Exposure to dust mite allergen and endotoxin in early life and asthma and atopy in childhood. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;120:144-9.
449. Lodge CJ, Lowe AJ, Gurrin LC, et al. House dust mite sensitization in toddlers predicts current wheeze at age 12 years. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;128:782-8.e9.
450. Custovic A, Simpson BM, Simpson A, Kissen P, Woodcock A, NAC Manchester Asthma Allergy Study Group. Effect of environmental manipulation in pregnancy and early life on respiratory symptoms and atopy during first year of life: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2001;358:188-93.
451. Perzanowski MS, Chew GL, Divjan A, et al. Cat ownership is a risk factor for the development of anti-cat IgE but not current wheeze at age 5 years in an inner-city cohort. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008;121:1047-52.
452. Melen E, Wickman M, Nordvall SL, van Hage-Hamsten M, Lindfors A. Influence of early and current environmental exposure factors on sensitization and outcome of asthma in pre-school children. *Allergy* 2001;56:646-52.
453. Takkouche B, Gonzalez-Barcala FJ, Ertinan M, Fitzgerald M. Exposure to furry pets and the risk of asthma and allergic rhinitis: a meta-analysis. *Allergy* 2008;63:857-64.
454. Bufford JD, Gern JE. Early exposure to pets: good or bad? *Current Allergy & Asthma Reports* 2007;7:375-82.
455. Ownby DR, Johnson CC, Peterson EL. Exposure to dogs and cats in the first year of life and risk of allergic sensitization at 6 to 7 years of age. *JAMA* 2002;288:963-72.
456. Lodrup Carlsen KC, Roll S, Carlsen KH, et al. Does pet ownership in infancy lead to asthma or allergy at school age? Pooled analysis of individual participant data from 11 European birth cohorts. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e43214.
457. Arshad SH, Bateman B, Matthews SM. Primary prevention of asthma and atopy during childhood by allergen avoidance in infancy: a randomised controlled study. *Thorax* 2003;58:489-93.
458. Becker A, Watson W, Ferguson A, Dimich-Ward H, Chan-Yeung M. The Canadian asthma primary prevention study: outcomes at 2 years of age. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004;113:650-6.
459. Schonberger HJAM, Dompeling E, Knottnerus JA, et al. The PREVASC study: the clinical effect of a multifaceted educational intervention to prevent childhood asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2005;25:660-70.

460. van Schayck OCP, Maas T, Kaper J, Knottnerus AJA, Sheikh A. Is there any role for allergen avoidance in the primary prevention of childhood asthma? *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;119:1323-8.
461. Chan-Yeung M, Ferguson A, Watson W, et al. The Canadian Childhood Asthma Primary Prevention Study: outcomes at 7 years of age. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005;116:49-55.
462. Scott M, Roberts G, Kurukulaaratchy RJ, Matthews S, Nove A, Arshad SH. Multifaceted allergen avoidance during infancy reduces asthma during childhood with the effect persisting until age 18 years. *Thorax* 2012;67:1046-51.
463. Wongtrakool C, Wang N, Hyde DM, Roman J, Spindel ER. Prenatal nicotine exposure alters lung function and airway geometry through 7 nicotinic receptors. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2012;46:695-702.
464. Burke H, Leonardi-Bee J, Hashim A, et al. Prenatal and passive smoke exposure and incidence of asthma and wheeze: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2012;129:735-44.
465. Gasana J, Dillikar D, Mendy A, Forno E, Ramos Vieira E. Motor vehicle air pollution and asthma in children: a meta-analysis. *Environ Res* 2012;117:36-45.
466. Sonnenschein-van der Voort AMM, de Kluizenaar Y, Jaddoe VVW, et al. Air pollution, fetal and infant tobacco smoke exposure, and wheezing in preschool children: a population-based prospective birth cohort. *Environmental Health* 2012;11:91.
467. Haahtela T, Holgate S, Pawankar R, et al. The biodiversity hypothesis and allergic disease: world allergy organization position statement. *World Allergy Org J* 2013;6:3.
468. Riedler J, Braun-Fahrlander C, Eder W, et al. Exposure to farming in early life and development of asthma and allergy: a cross-sectional survey. *Lancet* 2001;358:1129-33.
469. Braun-Fahrlander C, Riedler J, Herz U, et al. Environmental exposure to endotoxin and its relation to asthma in school-age children. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:869-77.
470. Karvonen AM, Hyvarinen A, Gehring U, et al. Exposure to microbial agents in house dust and wheezing, atopic dermatitis and atopic sensitization in early childhood: a birth cohort study in rural areas. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2012;42:1246-56.
471. Thavagnanam S, Fleming J, Bromley A, Shields MD, Cardwell CR. A meta-analysis of the association between Caesarean section and childhood asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2008;38:629-33.
472. Azad MB, Konya T, Maughan H, et al. Gut microbiota of healthy Canadian infants: profiles by mode of delivery and infant diet at 4 months. *CMAJ* 2013;185:385-94.
473. Kozyrskyj AL, Mai XM, McGrath P, Hayglass KT, Becker AB, Macneil B. Continued exposure to maternal distress in early life is associated with an increased risk of childhood asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;177:142-7.
474. Marra F, Marra CA, Richardson K, et al. Antibiotic use in children is associated with increased risk of asthma. *Pediatrics* 2009;123:1003-10.
475. Stensballe LG, Simonsen J, Jensen SM, Bonnelykke K, Bisgaard H. Use of antibiotics during pregnancy increases the risk of asthma in early childhood. *J Pediatr* 2013;162:832-8.e3.
476. Celedon JC, Fuhlbrigge A, Rifas-Shiman S, Weiss ST, Finkelstein JA. Antibiotic use in the first year of life and asthma in early childhood. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2004;34:1011-6.
477. Etminan M, Sadatsafavi M, Jafari S, Doyle-Waters M, Aminzadeh K, Fitzgerald JM. Acetaminophen use and the risk of asthma in children and adults: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Chest* 2009;136:1316-23.
478. Evers S, Weatherall M, Jefferies S, Beasley R. Paracetamol in pregnancy and the risk of wheezing in offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2011;41:482-9.
479. Blanken MO, Rovers MM, Molenaar JM, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus and recurrent wheeze in healthy preterm infants. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:1791-9.
480. Burgers J, Eccles M. Clinical guidelines as a tool for implementing change in patient care. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2005.
481. Woolf SH, Grol R, Hutchinson A, Eccles M, Grimshaw J. Clinical guidelines: potential benefits, limitations, and harms of clinical guidelines. *BMJ* 1999;318:527-30.
482. ADAPTE Framework. Available from <http://www.adapte.org>. 2012.
483. Brouwers MC, Kho ME, Browman GP, et al. AGREE II: advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in health care. *CMAJ* 2010;182:E839-42.
484. Boulet LP, FitzGerald JM, Levy ML, et al. A guide to the translation of the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) strategy into improved care. *Eur Respir J* 2012;39:1220-9.
485. Davis DA, Taylor-Vaisey A. Translating guidelines into practice. A systematic review of theoretic concepts, practical experience and research evidence in the adoption of clinical practice guidelines. *CMAJ* 1997;157:408-16.
486. Harrison MB, Legare F, Graham ID, Fervers B. Adapting clinical practice guidelines to local context and assessing barriers to their use. *CMAJ* 2010;182:E78-84.
487. Partridge MR. Translating research into practice: how are guidelines implemented? *Eur Respir J Suppl* 2003;39:23s-9s.

488. Baiardini I, Braido F, Bonini M, Compalati E, Canonica GW. Why do doctors and patients not follow guidelines? *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;9:228-33.
489. Boulet LP, Becker A, Bowie D, et al. Implementing practice guidelines: a workshop on guidelines dissemination and implementation with a focus on asthma and COPD. *Can Respir J* 2006;13 Suppl A:5-47.
490. Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group (EPOC). Available at <http://epoc.cochrane.org>. 2013.

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT ALTER OR REPRODUCE

The Global Initiative for Asthma is supported by unrestricted educational grants from:

Almirall

Boehringer Ingelheim

Boston Scientific

CIPLA

Chiesi

Clement Clarke

GlaxoSmithKline

Merck Sharp & Dohme

Novartis

Takeda